

Question	Waste Management Strategy Task Force	Lindsay Ops Landfill Public Review Committee	Fenelon Landfill Public Review Committee
Do you think that the City should keep the Adopt A Road program?	<p>Public will determine if program continues. Review in a year.</p> <p>Member doesn't care if it is eliminated. People will continue to clean ditches whether there is a program or not.</p> <p>Yes City should keep program. Creates awareness and encourages people to clean. Without the program we are discouraging people from doing it although some may do it anyway.</p>	<p>Yes, good.</p> <p>Supportive- City erected signs-good.</p>	<p>All members think Adopt A Road Program should Continue.</p> <p>There needs to be more promotion and advertising to the public.</p> <p>If City is going to continue with stringent regulations, scrap program.</p>
Do you support the recommendations made in the staff report? If not please indicate why?	<p>Report was good for busy roads but too stringent for secondary roads. The whole process is overkill.</p> <p>Supportive of recommendations in report.</p> <p>Supportive of recommendations in report. The world we live in demands documentation such as waiver.</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	<p>Scrap whatever regulation is not required by insurance (ex. specific days to bring garbage to landfill).</p> <p>Does not like the recommendations to have staff at clean ups, men at work signs, training, etc.</p> <p>Does not like age limits (under 12 unable to participate).</p>

Question	Waste Management Strategy Task Force	Lindsay Ops Landfill Public Review Committee	Fenelon Landfill Public Review Committee
Do you think the Adopt A Road program should be for only low speed traffic roads or should it include all roads including highways?	<p>Major roads should be excluded.</p> <p>Restrict to lower volume roads, no arterial roads.</p> <p>Include all roads.</p>	<p>All roads.</p> <p>Our own highways, *not on 7/12, City Roads, volunteers have flash lights.</p> <p><i>*Note: staff believe member meant to reference highway 7 and highway 35 which are provincially maintained</i></p>	All Roads.
Do you think the recommended Adopt A Road program is safe enough for the public? If not please indicate why not and what recommendations you would have?	<p>Not safe for all roads, has concerns about arterial roads. Recommendations are overkill for secondary roads but concerned with safety on major roads.</p> <p>Thought recommendations cover City to the best of their ability. May be ways to make the process less onerous.</p> <p>Recommendations cover safety of public.</p>	<p>Safe enough.</p> <p>Have men working signs, or road cleaning please slow down.</p>	The current program is safe enough.

Question	Waste Management Strategy Task Force	Lindsay Ops Landfill Public Review Committee	Fenelon Landfill Public Review Committee
<p>Do you think that the volunteers should fill out an agreement/waiver before participating in a road side clean up? If not, why?</p>	<p>Leaning towards a two tier system where there is a waiver for main roads but not for secondary.</p> <p>Perhaps only the program coordinator could sign and not every individual on the team.</p> <p>Everyone should have to sign the waiver.</p>	<p>If it gives the City some flexibility, yes.</p> <p>Hard to say no, waiver is positive.</p> <p>Waiver should say City is not responsible. See if waivers are needed to be signed for those under 18.</p>	<p>No it is a hassle and turn off for people to participate.</p> <p>Waiver has no legal power anyway.</p>
<p>Should the Adopt A Road contract continue to be a 3 year term with two clean ups per year, switch to something different, or should this be discretionary?</p>	<p>No problem with 3 yr term but would like to see some flexibility.</p> <p>3 yr term OK. Window for adopt a road should coincide with mayor's free tipping weeks.</p>	<p>No specific term.</p> <p>Should be 3 year term.</p>	<p>Discretionary.</p>

Question	Waste Management Strategy Task Force	Lindsay Ops Landfill Public Review Committee	Fenelon Landfill Public Review Committee
<p>Should the City continue to put up group recognition signs after they have adopted a road or should this be left up to the discretion of the groups?</p>	<p>Signs cost money so maybe ask them if they want a sign in recognition. A list at the landfill with groups and the landfill can track who participates and a letter of recognition could be sent to the groups at the end of the year.</p> <p>Signs aren't necessary, cost time and money and when the group stops the sign is still there. Recognize by putting group on website or in calendar.</p> <p>Recognize without asking perhaps on website and/or calendar. Saying thank you is important.</p>	<p>Signs are good ok for discretion of groups.</p> <p>Signs could act as a deterrent for garbage.</p>	<p>No signs.</p> <p>Discretion of the group.</p>
<p>What other recognition can we provide for volunteers?</p>		<p>Have Council recognize groups, plaque.</p>	<p>Service groups like recognition, individual families don't.</p> <p>Send out thank you letter to groups.</p> <p>Should advertise in newspaper about # of groups.</p>

Question	Waste Management Strategy Task Force	Lindsay Ops Landfill Public Review Committee	Fenelon Landfill Public Review Committee
Should the contract and waiver be available to fill out and sign online vs. hard copies or should both be available?	<p>Whatever is easiest.</p> <p>Both should be available.</p> <p>Online, anyone can access anywhere anytime.</p>	Both.	Both.
Should the City supply safety gear (vests, gloves, men at work signs, etc.) or is safety gear something the volunteers should supply? If volunteers supply what specific gear should that be?	<p>Arterial roads should have vest, gloves men at work signs supplied. Secondary roads not necessary to have safety equipment. Make the equipment available.</p> <p>Should be a minimum of equipment required (vests for sure) but not supplied by the City. Volunteers supply own equipment.</p> <p>Be flexible offer the supplies to groups if they want them.</p>	<p>City should supply everything for consistency.</p> <p>City supply vests, work signs, people supply gloves themselves.</p>	<p>Volunteers should supply, City could put up on website what safety equipment they suggest.</p> <p>Gloves and garbage bags should be supplied by City.</p> <p>City should supply t-shirts with advertising, good PR.</p>

Question	Waste Management Strategy Task Force	Lindsay Ops Landfill Public Review Committee	Fenelon Landfill Public Review Committee
<p>Currently the letters authorizing the groups to drop off their collected garbage for free specifies certain dates for cleanup and drop off at the landfill. Should this be more flexible i.e. should either the cleanup dates be kept flexible and/or the drop-off dates?</p>	<p>Would like to see a letter with 3 or 4 boxes on it that are signed off by landfill staff as garbage is brought in. This would keep the dates flexible.</p> <p>Clean up should be spring and fall to coincide with free tipping weeks.</p> <p>Be flexible, groups send an email and the landfill/admin staff have a list to track.</p>	<p>Flexible.</p>	<p>Flexible, cannot dictate when to bring garbage to landfill.</p>
<p>Do you have any other comments on the Adopt A Road Program?</p>	<p>Would like to see some of the groups approached to get feedback on what they would like the program to look like. Reassess numbers after a year will help to determine the success or failure of any new parameters put in place.</p> <p>We discourage people from doing anything else on City property because of the liability. We don't want just anybody picking up garbage because of the liability. Would also like to have feedback from the groups.</p>	<p>Lindsay Ops PRC supports outline as in staff report.</p>	<p>Simplify program, City should not be supplying anything.</p> <p>Program should continue but make it simple, streamline.</p> <p>Make as simple as possible.</p>