



Request to Make a  
Deputation/Presentation to  
Council/Committee  
City of Kawartha Lakes  
City Clerk's Office  
26 Francis Street, PO Box 9000  
Lindsay, ON K9V 5R8  
705-324-9411

Name: \*

James R. Webster (Jim)

Address: \*

[Redacted]

City/Town/Village:

[Redacted]

Province: \*

Ontario

Postal Code:

[Redacted]

Telephone: \*

[Redacted]

Email: \*

[Redacted]

There can be maximum of two speakers for each deputation. Please list the name(s) of the individual(s) who will be speaking. The names that are listed here will be included on the Council Meeting Agenda: \*

Jim Webster and Doug Carroll

Please provide details of the matter to which you wish to speak: \*

See Schedule "A" attached.

## **SCHEDULE "A"**

Jim Webster's corporation, Black Bear Estates Inc. has property within the original Village of Fenelon Falls boundaries together with adjacent acreage in the former Township of Fenelon, abutting the north side of Fenelon Falls.

Most of this property appears to have been deleted from the development designation in the last version of the Fenelon Falls Secondary Plan. All of the property had been included in the previous version. No explanation has been given for the deletion and there has been no consultation provided to Mr. Webster by the City of Kawartha Lakes Planning with respect to the deletion. A significant portion of the property has had a residential development designation since the late 1980's, most of which has now been deleted.

Another property holder who has a large acreage received a development designation in the latest version of the Secondary Plan on lands which had no previous development designation. I do not understand the merits or rationale of why this has been done.

I am requesting that council direct that the latest plan proposals be referred back to City of Kawartha Lakes Planning with direction that there be discussions between City of Kawartha Lakes Planning and interested property owners.

If the plan proceeds as is it will be appealed to the OMB. The requested discussions may or may not avoid an OMB appeal.