

Sarah O'Connell

From: Cathie Ritchie
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2019 11:15 AM
To: Agenda Items
Subject: FW: Pleasant Point proposed land purchase

From: Anne Nurse-Richardson [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2019 10:47 AM
To: Cathie Ritchie
Subject: Pleasant Point proposed land purchase

Dear Mayor Andy Letham and Councillors Yeo, Seymour-Fagan, Elmslie, Veale, Dunn, Ashmore, O'Reilly and Richardson

We are writing as owners of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] Rose Street with regards to the proposed change to Jessie Avenue at Pleasant Point from a road permitting lakefront access to include privately purchased parcels within the road system thus closing access to Jessie Avenue.

We are third generation members of a family who have been summer residents at Pleasant Point for over 90 years. During my lifetime, my family always looked forward to our summers being members of this close knit community. This is a trend which has continued with our own families. This community of cottagers - new and old - has always, and continues to be built on, lifelong friendships, comradery and authentic goodwill.

It would, in our opinion, be a travesty to have any portion of Jessie Avenue sold to any individual adjacent homeowner as it is truly one of the most unique and beautiful parts of the community. Most importantly, it is the conduit which ties the families together providing an opportunity for neighbours to socialize and catch up, and, for the children to pursue summer activities together. A sale to one homeowner could only lead to others. The next step would be building fences or installing hedges as owners now protect their private property.

Based on emails received, the issue that initiated the proposed purchase of the portion of Jesse Avenue does not really seem to be the desire for land itself, but more an attempt for protection from the unruly and disrespectful neighbours that are to the south of 35 Rose Street. This is a story that many residents of the community could comment on. The purchase of the portion of Jesse Avenue adjacent to 35 Rose Street will not help this situation!

We want to preserve the integrity of Pleasant Point for the next generation. Thank you for your consideration.

M. Anne Nurse-Richardson and Mary Nurse
[REDACTED]

Sarah O'Connell

Subject: FW: Jesse Avenue - Pleasant Point

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2019 1:43 PM
To: Council
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Jesse Avenue - Pleasant Point

I own [REDACTED] Rose Street on Pleasant Point. My Parents bought this Cottage forty years ago. Every summer I return to Pleasant Point from my home in Southern Alberta. Jesse Avenue is part of my Cottage experience along with kayaking, swimming and reading. It is a wonderful community of caring people who often visit on Jesse Avenue. Please do not make changes that would prevent us from walking on Jesse Avenue. Thankyou Margery Wiig

Sarah O'Connell

Subject: FW: AGAINST 35 Jesse Ave. closure/sale proposal

Subject: AGAINST 35 Jesse Ave. closure/sale proposal

Dear Mr. Ashmore,

I recently saw the notice of the proposed Road Closure and Sale of the portion of Jessie Ave adjoining 35 Rose Street. As co-owner of both [REDACTED] Rose Street and Lot [REDACTED] (lake side of road opposite) I wish to speak vehemently against this closure and sale.

For over 100 years this has been a public right of way for all cottagers on what is now Rose Street. Personally I have come to [REDACTED] Rose Street since the summer of 1967. Walking along the "front path" has always been a part of cottage life and what has made the cottage community the friendly, dynamic place it is.

To restrict access on Jessie Avenue to the 36 cottages adjacent to the lake will change the character of this area for all cottage owners. Cottage owner have been using this pathway for the last 100 years and is the reason this is a unique area on Sturgeon Lake.

Allowing this sale to take place will restrict everyone on the Point from using the public access and open up the sale of other parts of Jessie Ave. The cottage experience would be greatly diminished were this to happen.

Please feel free to contact me for more information.

Kirsten Partanen

Sarah O'Connell

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 10:23 AM
To: Agenda Items
Cc: Clerks
Subject: Proposed Shoreline Road Closure and Sale at Jessie Ave

January 11, 2019

Deputy Clerk
City Clerk's Office
City of Kawartha Lakes
PO Box 9000
12 Peet Street
Lindsay, ON K9V 5R8

Re: Public Notice Proposed Shoreline Road Closure and Sale
Jessie Ave on Plan 123, at 35 Rose Street

Good Morning Council,

We purchased a cottage at [REDACTED] Rose Street 10 years ago, being fully aware there is a shoreline road allowance running the length on the lakeside of all the cottages.

The past few years, more children and some adults are taking liberty and riding their bikes or four-wheelers along this 'right-of-way', totally disrespecting our privacy and our safety concerns. Also residents not even living on Rose Street continue to walk here, many living on Pleasant Point Road, and swimmers, boaters and visitors which come to the new floating dock at the end of Pleasant Point Road.

We are also very concerned regarding liabilities should they occur if someone becomes injured while using this right-of-way. We suggest a council representative walk along this right-of-way to see how hazardous this right-of-way actually is, with many obstructions such as tree roots, rocks, branches, holes, and uneven ground. Actually the original Jessie Ave and it's continuation as a right-of-way is now crossing private property, due to the original trail being eroded and fallen into the lake.

This right of way was originally intended (long before there were any cottages or roads built in 1917) for the fishing boats or people travelling from one place to another, to stop and rest or to camp overnight. It no longer makes any sense to have this right-of-way and we agree to it's proposed closure.

There is no reason anymore for anyone to use this right-of-way, as Rose Street runs behind all the cottages. Rose Street is a dead-end street with minimal traffic, very safe and very accessible for everyone.

We are in full agreement for the Council to pass the by-law to stop up and close this right-of-way and agree for you to proceed and approve the proposal requested by owner of 35 Rose Street.

Thank and Regards,

Sarah O'Connell

From: Aimée Haynes [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:31 AM
To: realtyservices
Cc: Clerks
Subject: Proposed Road Closure and Sale 35 Rose Street

Good Morning,

My name is Aimee and I have been a resident of the (now) CoKL since 1989. I moved here with my family whom have been active members of this community, owning a business downtown and contributing, investing and participating in the City for decades.

My family has lived in Pleasant Point (residing on Leslie Frost, Rose Street and now Calm Street) for nearly three decades, I married, in 1998 and remained in Pleasant Point (Calm Street) with my husband and we now have our two boys and we remain there today. One of the reasons for doing so just happens to be the area which includes the walking path that I have enjoyed since I was a teenager and I now have a teenager. I urge you as a resident of the City of Kawartha Lakes and a member of this community to keep this a walking path to be enjoyed by families and members of the community for years to come.

Lakes are meant to be shared! It is one of the most endearing features that continues to call people to take up residence here, full time or part time, its community!

There is absolutely no reason to justify the sale of this land, other then pure greed!

Aimée Haynes
[REDACTED]

Sarah O'Connell

From: Sharon Arruda [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 1:30 PM
To: Agenda Items
Subject: 35 Rose Street

Good afternoon,

We own a house on Holly Street, which is off Rose Street and close to 35 Rose Street, which is attempting to purchase land right up to Sturgeon Lake. This would mean that local residents, including our household, would no longer have the ability to walk the shoreline as we currently do. We purchased our house almost 3 years ago knowing that we had easy access to the lake for our enjoyment. The sale of land right up to the lake would effect the value of our house and would effect our enjoyment of the area. It will be even worse if other waterfront property owners decided to follow suit and buy the shoreline where their properties are.

Please do not approve the sale of any part of the shoreline along Rose Street. There are many of us who enjoy the area as it is, and selling shoreline would have a negative impact on the area. We would like to maintain the beautiful area for the enjoyment of all residents.

Thank you for your time,

Sharon and Steven Arruda
[REDACTED]

Sarah O'Connell

From: Tara Moffatt [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 10:21 AM
To: Clerks
Subject: 35 Rose Street Purchase Public Water Front Walkway

Good morning, I bought our house 10 years ago and one of the selling features was access to our public walk way. I feel as a home owner and a community member of Pleasant Point, I am outraged at the petition to purchase this property. When the owners of 35 Rose Street bought their residence they were fully aware of the terms and public path before they purchased their home. How is this even this entertained? We love being able to utilize our walking path along our beautiful view of Lake Sturgeon. This may mean the ripple affect of other water front home owners wanting to purchase the public walkway land on the edge of their property. This could also mean homes in our area decline in price. Please Please don't allow the selling of our beautiful path.
Thank you Tara Moffatt

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Sarah O'Connell

From: Amanda MacArthur [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 10:37 AM
To: Clerks
Subject: Road closure and sale at 35 Rose st

I am emailing in regards to the road closure and sale at 35 Rose St Lindsay, On K9V 4R6. I myself along with my family and local friends would be very disappointed to see that part of the waterfront walking path sold and be unusable. It will change the whole dynamic of Pleasant Point and will set a precedent for others along that walkway to do the same. I for one and completely against it.

Thank you
Amanda MacArthur

[REDACTED]

Sarah O'Connell

From: Nathaniel Clauser [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 6:17 PM
To: Clerks
Subject: Proposed road closure and sale

Hello,
>> I am a pleasant point resident and I would like to dispute the road closure and sale of 35 Rose street. I am completely against the sale of this property and the precedent it will set for other property owners with a public easement.
>>
>> We moved to Kawartha Lakes 6 years ago from Alliston and one of the huge appeals of moving down here was the easement at the front of properties on rose st. Our real estate agent really sold the area when we found out about the easement that allowed pleasant point residents to walk the lake.
>>
>> Pleasant point is a beautiful community and the sale and closure of this property will greatly reduce all of our property value. I have included the picture from the newspaper so there will be no confusion about what property I am referring to.
>>
>> Sincerely,
>>
>> Nathaniel Clauser [REDACTED]

Sarah O'Connell

From: Ashton Clauser [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 6:09 PM
To: Clerks
Subject: Proposed road closure and sale

Hello,

I am a pleasant point resident and I would like to dispute the road closure and sale of 35 Rose street. I am completely against the sale of this property and the precedent it will set for other property owners with a public easement.

We moved to Kawartha Lakes 6 years ago from Alliston and one of the huge appeals of moving down here was the easement at the front of properties on rose st. Our real estate agent really sold the area when we found out about the easement that allowed pleasant point residents to walk the lake.

Pleasant point is a beautiful community and the sale and closure of this property will greatly reduce all of our property value. I have included the picture from the newspaper so there will be no confusion about what property I am referring to.

Sincerely,

Ashton Clauser [REDACTED]

Sarah O'Connell

From: cathy jamieson [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 8:41 PM
To: Clerks
Subject: Fwd: Proposed Closure of Jessie Ave. Pleasant Point (35 Rose Street Application)

January 14, 20019

Mayor and Council

c/o Office of the City Clerk

26 Francis Street

P.O. Box 9000

Lindsay, ON, K9V 5R8

Re: Proposed Closure of Part of Jessie Avenue, Pleasant Point
(35 Rose Street Application)

Meeting Date: January 22, 2019

Dear Folks:

I am a property owner at [REDACTED] Leslie Frost Lane, Pleasant Point and cannot attend the above meeting. I have asked our neighbour, [REDACTED] to present my position about the above to the meeting on the 22nd. Since I have not received confirmation from you that will be allowed, I am submitting this letter for consideration by the Mayor and Council during the meeting.

Usually a municipality would not consider a "partial" closing and "partial" sale of a road on behalf of one owner but rather deal with all abutting property owners at the same time. If the municipality has decided to close the road called Jessie Ave. to dispose of any potential liability in future ownership, the whole road should be closed, not a small piece of it, and all owners would have the opportunity to purchase that part of the road abutting their lands. Usually there are costs involved in completing such a transaction such as application fees, survey costs, deed preparation costs, etc. and the adjoining property owners usually pay their share of these fees to complete the transfer. I have seen people pay in the \$5000 range before things are finalized.

Enough about road closures. There are a lot of interested parties that do not want Jessie Ave. closed and want it to remain public because it has been used by them or their families for close to one hundred years. Both of the Plans of Subdivisions at Pleasant Point date back to the early 1900's.

Our family has owned our property since 1935 and all generations have continually walked the waterfront from one end of Pleasant Point to the other including along Jessie Ave. during all seasons, but obviously more often in the summer time.

Our property on Plan 143 has and is subject to a "private" right-of-way along the waterfront for about forty cottages and homes to the southwest running along Leslie Frost Lane. This waterfront right-of-way, although private, has always been used by everyone including property owners along Jessie Ave. and they have always been welcomed. When people walk by, they are always greeted and many have made new friends in the process.

Plan 123, at the north eastern end of Pleasant Point, is different, in that Jessie Ave. is designated as a "public" street on the Plan. That has always meant that anyone can use it as a walking path and our family has done so since 1935 and would like to continue to do so. During my husband's seventy or so years at Pleasant Point, there have never been any problems at either end of the Point in relation to the use of the walking paths along the water.

If the City decides to dispose of Jessie Ave., one solution might be to sell it to Pleasant Point, which must be a legal entity because it owns a couple of parks, docking areas and even an old fire hall. That kind of a sale would make sense because the current usage by the residents could continue.

If that is not an option, and the City decides to close and sell all the pieces of Jessie Ave. to adjoining property owners, it should be done by "reserving a right-of-way" out of the transfers, giving all Pleasant Point Lakefront Property Owners, those lots on Plan 143, the right to continue to enjoy the Jessie Ave. lands as a "walking path" as they have done for nearly one hundred years.

I trust that my position will be heard at the meeting on the 22nd.

Sincerely,

Cathy Flett

