

Detailed Report

Dock Encroachment Policy Survey

01 March 2021 - 31 August 2021



Jump In Kawartha Lakes

Project: Proposed amendments to Dock Encroachment Policy



visitors 796					
CONTRIBUTORS 362			I	RESPONSES	
362 Registered	O Unverified	O Anonymous	362 Registered	O Unverified	O Anonymous

		Respondent No: 1 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 12:10:59 pm Jul 06, 2021 22:01:34 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	No		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	attly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What of the second seco	lo you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	-	a feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Safety concern Parking/traffic Docks limiting	your access to wat s due to docks concerns due to do your view of the wa g the attractiveness	ocks

waste, vandalism, garbage misuse

Respondent No: 2 Login: Registered	Responded At:Mar 26, 2021 12:21:23 pmLast Seen:Jul 11, 2021 10:14:46 am			
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? Damage and garbage on parkland. My tax dollars being used to repair / remove and police the areas. It is public prop and should remain as such.				
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Docks should be increased as well. The city currently charges approximately \$25.00 per foot at the City owned trailer park (Centennial Park). This is inline with other trailer parks and marines.			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?			
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view			

Give them an inch, and they will take a mile!!

		Respondent No: 3 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 12:25:22 pm Apr 24, 2021 19:23:26 pm
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional Irces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	I you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	lo you anticipate this dock policy would an wered	ffect you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both c propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No. Current be been there for boat houses o		be grandfathered in. Some have d fees should only apply to NEW
	What on the work of the work o	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any?	Should boathouse	es have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?		ee to clarify your seen or heard of ar	response below: ny problem here on Avery Point
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the	encroachment of	private docks on	municipal property?

Existing docks should be grandfathered in. Ours for instance has been put in in the same spot for over 71 years!

Respondent No: 4 Login: Registered	Responded At:Mar 26, 2021 12:50:50 pmLast Seen:Mar 26, 2021 16:49:13 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She Boathouses should be more but \$400 seems high.	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 5 Login: Registered	Responded At:Mar 26, 2021 12:57:29 pmLast Seen:Mar 26, 2021 16:49:54 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligibl for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	e Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would a not answered	affect you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remai \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any?	Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	o Undecided
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
	e encroachment of private docks on municipal property? s a back lot dock owner I am more than happy to pay a licensing fee to

maintain my space and also the value of our property. It will curb some of the issues that have arisen in Cedar Glen this past summer. I do wonder what the city considers to be the boundary to be considered a backlot. There is one dock owner currently who has a dock and lives 2km inland from the shoreline.

Respondent No: 6 Login: Registered	Responded At:Mar 26, 2021 13:05:26 pmLast Seen:Apr 08, 2021 13:52:43 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Yes, but it would be helpful for the city to outline the cost of administering. Also, for organized areas that can undertake some of the city's administration duties for this bylaw, perhaps fees could be reduced accordingly.
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Yes, but only in certain areas.
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

As there are conflicts there is necessity for some sort of management and if a bylaw works then that is the way to go. Since different locales have different situations it is not reasonable to assume a one-size-fits-all solution. Good on the City for their commitment to public consultations on this matter as it is ideal for identifying unique local situations and the development of solutions adapted to those situations.

	Respondent No: 7 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 13 Mar 26, 2021 17	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerr	ns due to docks		
	. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on r	nunicipal propert	y?

	n: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 1 Mar 23, 2021 1	
Q1. Do you curre Lakes?	ently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes			
-	a located in one of the areas eligible ment (please see Additional or listing)?	No			
Q3. Would you li	ike to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would	d you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you not answered	anticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?			
-	t it is acceptable to have private unicipal property?	Yes			
both docks a proposed an \$150 per yea to \$400 per y administrativ	e licensing fee is \$150 per year for and boathouses. Under the nendments dock fees would remain ar, but boathouses would increase year to help recover additional we costs. Do you think the fees and boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you not answered	I think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
-	that waterfront overcrowding due to issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided			
-	e a concern with any of the Belect all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provi	ide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	rivate docks on r	nunicipal prope	rty?

		Respondent No: 9 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 17:05:10 pm Mar 26, 2021 21:02:21 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes?	currently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes		
Q2.	for an	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do	o you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	I think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admini	tly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase o per year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	I think that wor	ee to clarify your n n't even cover the a as to cover on their	admin costs nor insurance that the
	What d	lo you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sl	nould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	-	I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	I have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
011	Dises	provide any additional comments to the en	acroschmont of	arivate docke on i	municipal property?

Respondent No: 10 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 17:07:30 pm Mar 26, 2021 20:58:12 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Maybe a little because you're including a structure. Yo doesn't!	u must remembe	r we maintain all th	e grasses in the property the ci	ty
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on	municipal property?	

I feel and have noticed better interaction in the community because of the dock spaces . If dark spaces are eliminated the congestion at the boat launch will be twofold. As now we put the boats in once a year and take them out at the end of the year. Also I am a single person retired trying to put my boat in and out every time I want to use it. I invested a lot of money in my lives and docs and retired here for the reason of having this access which is very important in my lifestyle golden years.

		Respondent No: 11 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 17:18:34 pm Jul 23, 2021 23:42:08 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakesʻ	currently have a dock in Kawartha	No		
	for an	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	South view est	ates	
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?		
	-	I think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No		
	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admini	tly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase) per year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What o	o you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
	-	i feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
	-	I have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic o	concerns due to do	cks
	. Please	provide any additional comments to the end	roachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 12 Login: Registered	Responded At:Mar 26, 2021 17:32:04 pmLast Seen:Aug 23, 2021 13:18:59 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No
as well as the new boat launch have been paid for by space lands at all, residents do. As for administrative of	build boathouses have a higher fee than docks? The nothing to justify those extra fees. New docks in Thurstonia Park of tax payers already. The COKL does nothing to maintain our dock toosts there shouldn't need to be any, they have taken an easy lease work that the dock space lessees have also filled out and submitted
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?
we did have 3 docks but one g	dock there are 2 public dock spaces in Thurstonia Park (

Respondent No: 13 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 17:48:39 pm Mar 26, 2021 21:40:17 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
No! This was never a thing? Please stop trying to take What has happened?? A pandemic?? Where we are al			-
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	orivate docks on I	nunicipal property?

We pay a yearly fee and insurance and taxes and for what?? The city dose ZERO for us ?? We do all maintenace comcerning waterway, beach front etc. Our dock fees have gone up astronomical. Our docks bring our community together its all we have please dont take away the best part of heritage. Thurstonia park, Dusnford ont.

Respondent No: 14 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 17:49:40 pm Jul 23, 2021 22:59:27 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?			
Much better for the environment and lake. Tired of seeing abandoned docks, strewn garbage, toys, etc on the lake shore. Brutal chopping down of trees and shrubbery on shoreline is a shame				
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerr	your access to wat ns due to docks concerns due to do		
011 Please provide any additional comments to the on	croachmont of	arivata docke on i	municipal property?	

I applaud the township removal of abandoned docks and concrete bases on hazel street. Many dock owners now have much nicer docks due to the new requirements. A bit more pride of ownership is beginning to show. Hope the rest of the eyesores will be cleaned up too! Thank you!

Respondent No: 15 Login: Registered		sponded At: st Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 18:1 Aug 27, 2021 20:54	-
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh No they should not as some of these structures came walls but the owners are being charged same		-		don't have 4
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en I do not feel it is acceptable for COKL to in my op	-			

proposals to find anyway possible to collect whatever they feel like in fees which have been increased significantly 2020 and dis

Respondent No: 16 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 18:29:29 pm Jul 14, 2021 21:04:03 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She No, why would there be an additional fee required?	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

I purchased my family cottage with a dock in Thurstonia. My property value will decrease without the dock. How will I be compensated? Everyone needs to be grandfathered. There are clear guidelines in place. If people do not obey, they can be fined. Everyone jumped through the city's "insurance" hoop and now more issues. Everyone now has their identified dock space. Everyone purchased their property with their current docking space and were happy with their purchase. Please let us be, we are busy making priceless memories. The lack of communication in this matter is horrendous. Each property owner deserves to have a mailed letter or put in with their tax bill, the items that are being discussed about our properties in Thurstonia. I truly believe COKL has much much bigger things to worry about.

Respondent No: 17 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 18:41:00 pm Apr 09, 2021 20:59:27 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ect you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? So not answered	nould boathouses	have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

I can understand fees going up, I can understand making sure docks are safe, but what this is doing is discrimination towards owners that aren't close to the water. , once told my father that "nobody has a lake front" in Thurstonia, but what you have tried to do is make people who live down by the water think they have a true lake front cottage. Im not sure why there is such hate towards the residents of Thurstonia, becuase the last time I check, we all pay the same property tax rate. We've had our dock since the mid 50's, I've been using it 1978, and not once have I ever seen "dock hopping" in fact I've only seen everyone there respect each others property. Raise the fees, but leave the rest alone, it works. 6ft between docks has never been an issue, so why make it one? The lake has always had that many docks, probably more at times, and not once have I ever eard a complaint about that, so why make it an issue? If the people that live there, and use it every year are happy with it, why is this even worth wasting time that could be used in a better way, to actually improve the conditions? Just scrap what you are doing, and leave things alone, the city makes money, the residents have their docks, so everyone should be happy.

		Respondent No: 18 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 18:56:09 pm Aug 28, 2021 14:48:41 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes'	currently have a dock in Kawartha	No		
Q2.	for an	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	As close to my	home as is possib	le
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affect	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	I think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?		ee to clarify your r ce is actually legall	response below: Iy deeded with the home, then
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admin	tly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase o per year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?		ee to clarify your r	response below: hore, but \$400 is too much
	What o	lo you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	I have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

I'd like to see the dock spaces clearly marked as such as a number clearly marking whose dock space is whose. And as a full time resident in Thurstonia park, I'd like an opportunity to have a dock space. I feel it is unfair seasonal residents have more opportunities than me. I'd also like a waiting list, so if someone moves, doesn't pay or passes away, etc., those in the waiting list have a chance to apply and dock spaces don't just get signed over to their friends or new residents. I was told via email I cannot apply for a dock space at this time. Very frustrating

		Respondent No: 19 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 19:27:49 pi Apr 27, 2021 22:15:48 pr	
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would at wered	ffect you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No			
Q8.	What o	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any?	Should boathouse	es have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
	For doc	ks no fee we got we got along fine until	dropped	docks in anywhere	to sell houses without ask	ing
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the	encroachment of	private docks on	nunicipal property?	

There should be limited parking for people who do not live on the waterfront which was originally their waterfront they should walk to their docks or use a 4 wheeler

Respondent No Login: Register			Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 19:: May 07, 2021 16:	
Q1. Do you currently have a Lakes?	a dock in Kawartha	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in for an agreement (pleas Resources for listing)?	se see Additional	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have	a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to	o have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate	this dock policy would affec	et you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is accept docks on municipal pro	-	Yes			
\$150 per year, but boat to \$400 per year to help	uses. Under the dock fees would remain houses would increase precover additional o you think the fees and	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an not answered	n acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfi docks is an issue for K	-	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern following? Select all the	-	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any add	litional comments to the end	-			

It's been this way for 70 years. No one bought a property in Thrustonia Park— and likely elsewhere in KawRtha Lakes who wasn't aware of the existence of docks prior to purchasing. Any complaints are NIMBYism gone wild. City council and staff should not be wasting taxpayers' money on this.

	Respondent No: 21 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 19:38:38 pm Mar 26, 2021 23:31:39 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for	Please feel fre	e to clarify your	response below: your boathouse and 150 for	vour
	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	-	ave to pay 400 for Ir that seem a little		y
	proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	dock fees a yea	r that seem a little	high to me.	,
	proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	dock fees a yea	r that seem a little	high to me.	
Q9.	proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to	dock fees a yea	r that seem a little	high to me.	

care what we have to say

		Respondent No: 22 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 1 Aug 31, 2021 1	
	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?			
	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What on the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11.	Please	e provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	orivate docks on r	nunicipal prope	erty?

	Respondent No: 23 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 19:58:29 pm Mar 26, 2021 23:49:41 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? I've owned my cottage for almost 10 years we have never had issues with docks and Neigbours we purposely purchased the cottage because the access to water				

	Respondent No: 24 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 20:06:16 pm Aug 28, 2021 04:20:59 am	
Q1. Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?			
-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain her year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What on the other ot	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please	provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?	

	Respondent No: 25 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 20:09:22 pm Mar 26, 2021 22:59:19 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	IS NO ONE IN ONE WHEN W COULD NOT H	/E GOT OUR HOU	IN THURSTONIA THERE WERE TOLD WE COULD HAVE ISE. THEN TOLD THAT WE OUR HOUSE IS GOING TO GO THIS!
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would afferent of answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No in the curre	-	response below: nake it that the public can come ngerous to our kids!
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	ocks

My comments are more selfish than as a commentary.! We have lived in Thurstonia for the past four years. The first year, as renters and the past three as owners. The moment we became owners, we applied for a dock space and were told that we could not because all spaces had been absorbed into public access.. This arbitrary decision is particularly harmful to the people who have chosen to purchase in this area because of the personal access to Sturgeon Lake through their own leased dock space. The people who have been on a waiting list for a dock space should be given special " grandfathered " status and be allowed to lease a space to go along with their beloved homes in the Geenhurst-Thurstonia area!

	Respondent No: 26 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 20:17:26 pm Aug 13, 2021 23:35:10 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh Equal to marinas.	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
	Please provide any additional comments to the en			

have Insurance for the business of renting docks.

nce for the business of renting docks.

Respondent No: 27 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 21:25:37 pm Aug 28, 2021 19:13:22 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	st you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes		

Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

not answered

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?

Please feel free to clarify your response below:

No Docks go with the cottages. Some docks do need to be respected however allowing full public access in many area would create traffics and parking issues. I tried to find lake access prior to owning a cottage and it's not easy to find parking on a small street to can public access. If the public was offered free access the crowding would increase as city traffics would increase if the areas were opened for full public use. Currently the space is semi private to the local residents and I think that is an important factor. Some people rent cottage and enjoy the spaces. But allowing a new group of visitors to the area with no parking could be problematic. Most people who have a dock park at cottage and walk to dock

Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.

Other (please specify)

Making docks open to public traffic could cause parking issues if public traffic is increased. Allowing locals to rent dock spaces and access the water keeps the parking controlled as they can park at cottage an walk. Over up multiple public access points may cause new issues with log jam parking on a street with narrow lanes. Have controlled owner or leased space reduces those concerns The space go with the cottages as a package vs gta population coming in for the day and leaving. If too many spots are opened up for public access to the masses then new parking lots should be constructed Also if a back lot dock becomes available and meets the guidelines of six feet it should be make available for front lot cottage rather than all of them become public due to the concerns mentioned above We do enjoy the public dock for swimming and see lots of the locals who walk from cottage to the new dock. But same feeling exist that the area is not designed to promote public access for day visitors who do not come to visit family and friend or to rent a cottage Those high volume visitors would explode causing huge parking and safety concerns. All this area would require more maintaining of garbage and clean up. Currently dock owner typically take care of this if they are licensed need as they care about the space

Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

I support replacing problematic spaces less than six feet when possible I support making guidelines for safer docks for the local people. I support local people being able to stop and sit along the lakeside for a rest I have concerns for making too much of the space open for public access to out of town people New issues are created that have not been reviewed. Parking Overcrowding Garbage Parties Overnight campers Currently the spaces are policed and controlled by the lease holders so Parking is controllable in most cases People enjoy the space and camp back at cottage after the sun goes down Allowing free new public access is concerning due to the fear of overcrowding the waterfront area as it has not been designed as public free park Places like Sibald point have parking and paid access and can overflow with tourist as we have limited waterfront access as the best of times. I am in support of cleaning up the area as much as possible while respecting the Heritage that goes with all of the existing space that currently do function well Making improvements gradually is preferred. That would mean not allowing a new lease be granted to a back lot space that is in clear violations of 6 feet rule I do not support making all back lot docks that conform into public spaces This is a concern Maybe signs to support local residents and cottage owners If made public then some kind of day permit access should be explored to control parking and upkeep It's delicate for everyone And all improvements and efforts are appreciated Thank you for your efforts to make a difference.

		Respondent No: 28 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 21:32:14 pm Mar 27, 2021 01:29:52 am
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What on the state of the state	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho wered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Please let us keep our docks, it's the reason we live here. Thank you

	Respondent No: 29 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 21:43:39 pm Jun 19, 2021 00:26:53 am
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concern	your access to wat ns due to docks concerns due to do	
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the er	croachment of	private docks on	municipal property?

		Respondent No: 30 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 22:18:53 pm Sep 10, 2021 21:05:05 pm
	Do yoι Lakes	currently have a dock in Kawartha	No		
1	for an	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d e	o you anticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?		
	-	think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
 	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admini	tly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase o per year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What d	o you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
	-	feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
		have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11.	Please	provide any additional comments to the enc	roachment of p	rivate docks on r	nunicipal property?

Docks should go to permanent residents of Kawartha Lakes first!

Respondent No: 31 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 26, 2021 22:36:03 pm Mar 27, 2021 02:28:33 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end	-		

Thurstonia has long since been a community based on docks. The reason why people are here is because they can get to water by way of there own dock. My 12×12 piece of paradise. It has worked for so many years!! I think the old rule applies if it's not broken, don't fix it. Love my dock. love where I live love. my community.

Respondent No: 32 Login: Registered	Responded At:Mar 27, 2021 08:06:06 amLast Seen:Mar 27, 2021 11:58:38 am			
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	Yes			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	Lake side to match my nieghbour			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? not answered				
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: There are definitely examples of where it is acceptable, where it benefits the community, such as a marina or activity rental business			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?			
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: I feel the boundaries for who, and what usage has to be made clear again. And it must be throughout KL, not just selective spots.			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view			

		Respondent No: 33 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 27, 2021 08:32:35 am Mar 27, 2021 12:24:33 pm
	Do you Lakes'	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?		
	-	a think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Undecided		
	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admini	atly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase D per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No		
	What o	lo you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sl	hould boathouse	es have a higher fo	ee than docks?
	-	a feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
	-	a have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
		provide any additional comments to the entity it municipal property. Why does the rest of the		-	

docks to be in the lake. Why is the water in some areas municipal property and others not?

	Respondent No: 34 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 27, 2021 09:28:06 am Sep 04, 2021 22:57:27 pm
	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
1	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3. 1	Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. I	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affed	ct you, if at all?		
	am anticipating a desire to access a dock			
	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	I feel the docks	den plot. As one b	response below: available to all taxpayers like a ecomes available it can be
 	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerr Parking/traffic Other (please	your access to wat as due to docks concerns due to do specify) If dock integrity	

	Respondent No: 35 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 27, 2021 09:48:10 am Mar 27, 2021 13:43:21 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes - if docks maintained, ar permitted with the municipalit	nd owner is respons out licensing. Licen y where cottages a	response below: removed during winter), well sible then docks should be using feels like a cash grab from and full time residents support cal economy thriving.
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?			response below: ees should be decreased to the
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on I	municipal property?
	Enjoying the water is part of the joy of the Kawartha La	kes and what bri	ngs tourism to the	area which is a significant driving

Enjoying the water is part of the joy of the Kawartha Lakes and what brings tourism to the area which is a significant driving force for the local economy. There is no need to increase the financial burden of dock owners who happen to be in conflict with municipal RAs.

Respondent No: 36 Login: Registered	Responded At:Mar 27, 2021 09:54:54 amLast Seen:Aug 14, 2021 00:11:33 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe As a resident and taxpayer providing funds to enforce a	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

		Respondent No: 37 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 27, 2021 11:25:14 am Mar 26, 2021 01:57:44 am
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	No		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
		o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo ccess to water front	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?		ee to clarify your r	response below: reserved for public access only.
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Docks and esp municipal prop	erty. If they are per	response below: a should not be permitted on rmitted the fees for docks should ad by private marinas.
	What of the second seco	to you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho wered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10		a have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Safety concern	your access to wat is due to docks your view of the wa	

The majority of people do not own waterfront property in the City of Kawartha Lakes. Many can not afford it. Municipal property should be preserved to provide lake access to the public. Many of the private docks presently on municipal property block lake access to the public. In addition, many home/cottagers who own these docks attempt to block the public from accessing this same public water frontage by claiming a right of exclusive use or even by claiming ownership of these lands. Many of these docks are maintained in poor condition representing a safety hazard to anyone who may access them (including children). Some of these docks may not be properly anchored to the shoreline and may break away on whole or in part to become a navigational hazard on the waterways.

Respondent No: 38 Login: Registered	Responded At:Mar 27,Last Seen:Mar 27,	2021 18:38:31 pm 2021 22:35:32 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not directly, family and friends yes	you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ld boathouses have a higher fee than de	ocks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water	

	Respondent No: 39 Login: Registered	Responded At:Mar 27, 2021 20:34:46 pmLast Seen:Mar 28, 2021 00:27:53 am
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: We agree with increases in line with cost of lining, but what is being proposed greatly exceeds that
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	We agree with increases in line with cost of lining, but what is being proposed greatly exceeds that
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	We agree with increases in line with cost of lining, but what is being proposed greatly exceeds that
Q8. Q9.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to	We agree with increases in line with cost of lining, but what is being proposed greatly exceeds that
Q8. Q9. Q10	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes? Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	We agree with increases in line with cost of lining, but what is being proposed greatly exceeds that

Turned our old cottage into a brand new log home and created our permanent residence here 4 years ago. Our quality of life would be drastically impacted if we were to lose our lake access and Dock. Thanks, for your consideration of our comments!

		Respondent No: 40 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 27, 2021 21:39:22 pm Aug 28, 2021 21:36:50 pm
	Do you Lakes?	currently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes		
	for an a	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo	t you, if at all?		
	-	think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
	both do propos \$150 po to \$400 admini	tly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the ed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase per year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What d	o you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
	-	feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
	-	have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	Docks reducing	g the attractiveness	s of your property and view
	Please	provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 41 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 27, 2021 22:08:54 pm Mar 28, 2021 01:53:44 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	not answered		

Docks for residents, permanent or seasonal, backlot or closer to lake, are a huge part of the Greenhurst-Thurstonia community and have been what has made this area a "community" for many decades. As backlot owners, we have had our property since the early 1950's and have raised 3 generations here, enjoying countless hours both at our property and on our dock. We have never experienced or been a part of dock hopping and have enjoyed years of enjoyment of our leased dock space and our neighbouring dock owners. I find it terribly upsetting that any considerations are being considered to disallow backlot owners of their right to retain their dock spaces. None of the properties here are true lakefront properties aa their is a road between all properties and the lake. Pride of ownership for us has always included our actual property, our cottage AND our dock. We have paid for, and had the pleasure of our dock space.

		Respondent No: 42 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 28, 2021 11:41:35 am Mar 28, 2021 15:29:46 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	No		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
		o you anticipate this dock policy would affe t restricts my access to the waterfront on munic	-		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?		ee to clarify your r	response below: property then no fees
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh wered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	-	your access to wat concerns due to do	
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 43 Login: Registered	Responded At:Mar 28, 2021 12:47:06 pmLast Seen:Aug 09, 2021 16:08:25 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view Other (please specify) Most of my concerns that I checked off in question #6 are answered below in the comments of question#7

People that own a waterfront property should be the only people that have their own docks. It is overcrowded and unattractive. I realize that this would be difficult to change but to ignore and not make the right call is a worse choice. There are many other people that are near to us with waterfront properties but don't have to rent it. We should have the same opportunity. On many occasions cars park in front of my home in a no parking zone ruining my view and creating safety issues with children, pets and people just out for a walk

		Respondent No: 44 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 28, 2021 13:31:47 pm Mar 28, 2021 17:14:15 pm	
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional Irces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	I you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both o propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Without unders costs or the nu	-	n for the additional administratives owners impacted by this	e
	What on the work of the work o	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho wered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on I	nunicipal property?	

	Respondent No: 45 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 28, 2021 16:49:06 pm Jul 07, 2021 00:33:01 am
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of I	private docks on r	nunicipal property?
	the docks have been there for decadeswe maintain o	our dock and are	e very respectful of	others. There are 'government '

docks available in the area. the dock we have is the whole reason we come and spend our time and money in the community-restaurants-marinas tradesmen etc.

Respondent No: 46 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 28, 2021 21:03:18 pm Mar 29, 2021 00:56:01 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would aff not answered	ect you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? S	hould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
\$1.00 a foot (for docks) like it used to be, before this a -then prices can go up. But, Yes- Boathouses shoul allowed Boathouses but NOT everyone is allowed to l	d be charged the		
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the e Dock spaces that are already here should be allowed already exists.			

Respondent No: 47 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 29, 2021 00:14:59 am Mar 27, 2021 03:51:48 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect Ensuring this does not interfere with the water front view	-		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	your access to wat concerns due to do your view of the wa g the attractiveness	ocks

	Respondent No: 48 Login: Registered			Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 29, 2021 08:17:15 am Mar 29, 2021 12:11:54 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a doc Lakes?	k in Kawartha	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of for an agreement (please see Resources for listing)?	_	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a doo	k?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to hav	e your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this d	ock policy would affec	t you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable docks on municipal property	-	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is both docks and boathouses. proposed amendments dock \$150 per year, but boathouse to \$400 per year to help reco administrative costs. Do you increase to boathouses is fa	Under the fees would remain es would increase ver additional think the fees and	No			
	What do you think is an acce When we first paid for docks it v			-	e than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront o docks is an issue for Kawart	-	No			
Q10	Do you have a concern with following? Select all that app	-	No concerns			
	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?					

	Respondent No: 49 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 29, 2021 09:23:07 am Mar 29, 2021 13:19:55 pm		
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No				
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	e not answered				
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes				
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	municipal lot o	n washburn island			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would a not answered	affect you, if at all?				
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes				
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remai \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	n				
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any?	P Should boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?		
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due t docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	to Undecided				
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns				
	11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? If there is a fee to put a dock on municipal property, I would be open to paying. I have not witnesses overcrowding					

Respondent No: 50 Login: Registered	Responded At:Mar 29, 2021 15:58:27 pmLast Seen:Mar 29, 2021 19:50:14 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

We have been using our dock for over 20 years. There has never ever been an issue with safety. Or overcrowding in our area. We chose to make Dunsford our retirement home. Spent a lot of money to build a new forever home which goes hand in hand with having a dock space. The thoughts of not having our dock to enjoy the summer would be devastating to me and my family. I seriously hope council reconsiders removal of any docks!!! The main reason we chose to build here was to be able to access our dock. Thank you.

Respondent No: 51 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 30, 2021 15:19:16 pm Mar 30, 2021 19:08:48 pm		
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes				
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes				
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered				
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered				
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?				
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes				
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No				
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?		
like my neighbour's who's is falling apart?!?!? Perhaps 4 posts and a roof should be charged MAYBE an addit	No I do not feel that this is fair. My "boat house" is basically a canopy with a roof. How is that the same as a true boathouse like my neighbour's who's is falling apart?!?!? Perhaps full boathouse can be charged additional \$\$\$\$ but ones that have 4 posts and a roof should be charged MAYBE an additional \$25 but no more. How can you justify charging that much more for my structure??? I do not agree with charging more period for a dock like mine or a regular dock. Why don't you start charging for bigger and longer docks instead?????				
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes				
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	ocks		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on	municipal property?		
I do feel that traffic along Hazel is insane especially wh		_	-		

areas!!! I do not feel it is safe as Hazel is very narrow and the speed limits and parking should be respected and enforced! If you are launching your boat, it would be smarter to book an appointment time to do so otherwise you have upto 10 vehicles with boats and unfortunately those awful jet skis (that are risen like formula one cars on Sturgeon and endangered so many boaters, swimmers, canoes, SUP and cottagers!!!) Perhaps a launch fee would be better?

	Respondent No: 52 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 31, 2021 16:30:21 pm Jul 21, 2021 16:50:26 pm		
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes				
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No				
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered				
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered				
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?				
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No				
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes				
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?		
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes				
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	-	your view of the wa	ater s of your property and view		
	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? Have seen to many shorelines, especially in marshy areas being ruined by docks and overuse. Lakes and wildlife can not					

be sustained under these pressures.

Respondent No: 53 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 31, 2021 19:04:57 pm Mar 31, 2021 23:00:17 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She Based on square meters	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	orivate docks on I	nunicipal property?	

	Respondent No: 54 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Mar 31, 2021 22:27:54 pm Apr 01, 2021 00:38:51 am
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	I can only spea Our dock has with the Police City of Kawart that the space than 6 feet, we	been "licensed" for Village of Thursto ha Lakes since the between "dock nei have never had a	response below: on Hazel St. in Thurstonia Park. approximately 35 years, originally nia, and more recently with The amalgamation. While it may be ghbours" is in some cases less ny issues with this arrangement, overcrowding concern.
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

While it seems appropriate for a general approach to be taken with respect to "dock spacing requirements" for FUTURE licensing agreements, I am respectfully asking that current owners with license agreements (such as Hazel St in Thurstonia Park) be allowed to continue with existing spacing arrangements, unless an issue or discrepancy arises between the "dock neighbours".

Respondent No: 55 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 01, 2021 07:23:29 amLast Seen:Aug 13, 2021 22:10:03 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She Those who can provide evidence of exclusive use shou should be removed.	uld boathouses have a higher fee than docks? d be able to purchase the land. Boat houses on municipal prope	erty
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns	

This better apply to municipal property only. It looks like just another cash grab by the city.

	Respondent No: 56 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 01, 2021 09:28:49 am Aug 13, 2021 20:42:16 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	ocks
	. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of I	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 57 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 03, 2021 16:46:03 pmLast Seen:Sep 03, 2021 20:09:44 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered		
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view Other (please specify) Neighbour to my west is "extending" his area into mine, encroaching on my area, reducing my ability to enjoy my leasehold.	

I am very grateful for the opportunity to have this leasehold as I own a property on Hazel Street in Thurstonia and my dock is right across from. I wish to keep it as it is.

	Respondent No: 58 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 05, 2021 11:35:14 am May 03, 2021 13:22:33 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kav Lakes?	wartha Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the an for an agreement (please see Additi Resources for listing)?	-		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not ansv	vered	
Q4.	Where would you like to have your o	dock? not ansv	vered	
	How do you anticipate this dock pol	licy would affect you, if a	ıt all?	
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have docks on municipal property?	e private Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 p both docks and boathouses. Under proposed amendments dock fees w \$150 per year, but boathouses woul to \$400 per year to help recover ado administrative costs. Do you think to increase to boathouses is fair?	the ould remain d increase litional		
	What do you think is an acceptable not answered	fee, if any? Should boatl	nouses have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrow docks is an issue for Kawartha Lake	es? Where n	eel free to clarify your ny dock is located we are and dock spaces pristir	well spaced out and keep all the
Q10). Do you have a concern with any of t following? Select all that apply.	the No conc	erns	

As stated, my dock space is not encroaching any others space or enjoyment of the water. I purchased my home because I had a dock space. I have put a lot of money into the space to ensure it is not an eye sore or a danger. I am a house behind my dock space and don't feel it is right to loose it. My self and family respectfully use that space a lot and would loose the quality of life that we have become accustom to.

Respondent No: 59 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 07, 2021 11:51:03 amLast Seen:Apr 07, 2021 15:28:42 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Every other surrounding municipality allows front lot owners (whose lots are separated from the lake by the road) to buy the land on the other side of the road. This happens in all the neighboring municipalites and municipalities across cottage country. Why doesn't the City of Kawartha Lakes allow this to take place as per a 2001 document. It happens in Selwyn and other areas that access the Trent Severn, so that isn't the reason.	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the	No	

Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

Again, I believe the city should follow other municipalities to allow front lot owners the opportunity to apply to purchase the shore allowance via a very simple application process. It would take this situation out of the City's hands and if it was in place already, would have prevented people who live kilometers away from setting up dock.

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to Yes docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?

administrative costs. Do you think the fees and

increase to boathouses is fair?

Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.

Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view **Other (please specify)**

It is already a gong show with people who drive vehicles to their docks and park them for the day, limiting the abilty to drive down an already narrow road. Fire pits are also left burning, garbage is left behind, and the collegial nature of the community is damaged.

Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

All surrounding municipalities allow for the purchase of the shore allowance, and it is a simple process. The CKL has an amendment not allowing this starting in 2001, which was the year of amalgamation. This amendment should be changed, which would allow those affected most the ability to control the situation, which the city has not been able since the amalgamation. www.trentlakes.ca has a link to the Shoreline Road Allowance Closure Application Guidelines.www.algonquinhighlands.ca has a similar link which allows people who own land to buy the adjoining space on the other side of the road their property borders on. Simple solution.

Respondent No: 60 Login: Registered	Responded Last Seen:	At: Apr 07, 2021 13:17:35 pm Apr 30, 2021 20:21:05 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? not answered		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private	Please feel free to clarify v	our response below:

Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?

Please feel free to clarify your response below:

I believe that management of shorelines involving both front and backlot owners is problematic. Associations created to manage these are also problematic due to the majority of votes would be allocated to backlot owners. By-law enforcement has been challenging to remain fair and equitable.

Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?

Please feel free to clarify your response below:

In my view, which is shared by everyone that I have had a chance to talk to, is that the shoreline allowance be made available to purchase by front lot owners only. This has worked well in our neighbouring communities. The front lot owners would be responsible for enforcing the by-laws and could recover the initial outlay of funds to purchase by voluntarily and optionally leasing space to back lot owners who would meet all safety, insurability and by-law requirements.

Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

not answered

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?

Please feel free to clarify your response below:

Overcrowding in Cedar Glen area is out of control. Back lot property owners have the belief that they are entitled to squeeze in a dock wherever it is physically possible. This has been exacerbated by Realtors providing wrong information. Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.

Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

In my view, arriving at a fair solution that will satisfy everyone is near to impossible. Forming a Community Association or any form of self management poses additional problems. Kawartha Lakes clearly wants the issue resolved and as we all know, each year the problem and definition of backlot entitlement has grown out of control. It is not uncommon for me to arrive at my cottage to find a dozen vehicles including 4X4's parked along Cedar Glen Road, blocking access to docks and boat ramp. There are seven-eight docks in front of the first property as you turn the corner. This is unacceptable!! I believe that allocating the ends of lower Cedar Glen Road where the road meets the shore for backlot access and a boat ramp would satisfy the community needs. My suggestion of allowing front lot ownership of shoreline has been highly successful in neighbouring municipalities and townships. An application is paid for and submitted to KL, a new survey created and paid for, then ownership is registered on title by lawyer. The shoreline owners would become responsible for following the by-laws when allowing others to occupy space on their shoreline. A portion of the costs to obtain ownership could be recovered over time with a license/lease agreement with users. It would be extremely difficult for KL to decide who has to forfeit their current occupancy to conform to by-laws. They are not in the dock/shoreline management business. What would the qualification criteria be for current users to remain? What about others who feel entitled and are not given an opportunity to secure space. Regardless of choices made, there will be disappointments. We would need to start with a clean slate with all docks removed except front lot owners. Based on by-laws and shoreline frontage on each lot, the number of additional allowable docks can be calculated easily. Front lot owners would be selective on who they allow to use the space based on safety of dock, pride of ownership, payment of fee, insurability and inter-personal relationship. The option of ownership should be offered to all front lot owners but some may not be interested in or able to purchase. The bylaws would still apply regardless of ownership or licensing use of shoreline/dock space. I sent sample applications to purchase shoreline allowances from four neighbouring municipalities to , our Councillor. and I met with to discuss this option. It was well received. Thanks for your cooperation in this matter. I would love to see Cedar Glen get back to a thriving, friendly community. Continuing on in the same manner will only cause further anxiety and disagreements.

Respondent No: 61 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 08, 2021 09:08:45 amLast Seen:May 08, 2021 12:53:00 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Other (please specify) If docks are not being put in lake they should be removed by owner from shoreline by July 1st

Break walls should be repaired and maintained by the City so people with current agreements see something for their \$150.00 Public beach and open spaces should have garbage picked up and grass maintained by the City

Respondent No: 62 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 08, 2021 15:16:00 pmLast Seen:Apr 08, 2021 18:41:47 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh No I think they should be the same.	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

I do not agree with deleting the back lots especially since these people have had these docks before the city came into being. I believe you are being really unfair. If you are allowing some backlocks at Thurstonia to keep their's, then the few that are on Kenstone should be allowed to stay. The city is really creating hard feelings among the other people. There are only 16 docks here and some of them are not in the water and others are not used only once in a while. Stop and think how you would feel if you were one of the ones that is going to lose to your dock because of this. People like their docks, it is part of their property and if you take them away, you are lowering their property values. Enough is enough, this is the 4th time we have had dealings over our docks. If Hickory Beach can have an association then why not us since there is only 16. We have done everything over the years to keep the area and our docks in good state of repair as requested by the City.

Respondent No: 63 Login: Registered	Responded At: Apr 08, 2021 20:09:28 pm Last Seen: Apr 09, 2021 00:04:52 am	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns	
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? Docks have been an ongoing issue that should not present such a difficult issue for the CKL - SELL US OUR DOCK		

SPACE, realize the revenues they represent to you and walk away from the responsibility and exposure they represent to you. You are then also absolved from the good guy/bad guy conversations that arise whenever you try and change things up again!

Respondent No: 64 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 09, 2021 16:57:56 pmLast Seen:Apr 09, 2021 20:53:48 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	st you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh There should be no fees	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 65 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 09, 2021 17:10:56 pm Apr 09, 2021 21:08:04 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

My family has had a dock in Thurstonia Park for 70 years. There were never any issues until this city government got involved and started making things up on the fly. This includes creating a "dock hopping problem" that doesn't exist. No one one at the City has ever been able to point to an actual example.

Respondent No: 66 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 10, 2021 04:08:13 amLast Seen:Aug 17, 2021 17:43:13 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

	Respondent No: 67 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 10, 2021 10:45:30 am May 29, 2021 01:07:33 am
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes, but it nee up keep is req	uired. There should is an advantage of	response below: the standard of construction and I be a fee that is more than cost having or saying that one has a
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She Yes, given that the users of the property do not pay ar close to what the increase in taxes that the property w to inflation or the tax increase / mill change.	ny waterfront tax	es, it is in my mind	that the fees charged should be
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	orivate docks on r	nunicipal property?

Where dock / boat houses are on a one to one ratio, I think the fees should be raised even further as indirectly the adjacent landowner has a private waterfront without paying waterfront taxes. Have no data but my guess is that the tax difference is more like \$1000 per year. The province assessment group could provide data to support what the correct fees should be.

	Respondent No: 68 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 10, 2021 10:45:31 am Sep 03, 2021 19:57:34 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawa Lakes?	rtha Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the area for an agreement (please see Addition Resources for listing)?	-		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answe	red	
Q4.	Where would you like to have your do	ck? not answe	red	
	How do you anticipate this dock policy	y would affect you, if at a	all?	
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have p docks on municipal property?	orivate No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees woul \$150 per year, but boathouses would i to \$400 per year to help recover additi administrative costs. Do you think the increase to boathouses is fair?	e Ild remain increase onal		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee		uses have a higher f	ee than docks?
	\$600 for docks and \$1000 for boathouses Do you feel that waterfront overcrowd docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	ing due to Yes		
Q10	D. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.		ing your access to wa Ific concerns due to de	
Q11	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?			

Designate sections of the waterfront for docks and install proper finger docks to accommodate cottage/home owners. If the City wants to control docks then establish themselves as a Dock Corporation and rent space just like any other marina.

Respondent No: 69 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 10, 2021 20:05:55 pm Apr 08, 2021 00:00:04 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Lakes?	n Kawartha Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of t for an agreement (please see A Resources for listing)?	-		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not ans	wered	
Q4. Where would you like to have y	rour dock? not ans	wered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this doc not answered	k policy would affect you, if	at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to docks on municipal property?	have private Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$ both docks and boathouses. Up proposed amendments dock fe \$150 per year, but boathouses to \$400 per year to help recove administrative costs. Do you th increase to boathouses is fair?	nder the es would remain would increase r additional ink the fees and		
Q8. What do you think is an accept Why should there be a difference i		thouses have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront ove docks is an issue for Kawartha	-		
Q10. Do you have a concern with an following? Select all that apply	. There i		with waterways getting narrower I boats passing closer together.

As long as the municipality is making money on them and they are not an insurance issue I don't a problem. Whose is responsible for them if they are a banded?

	Respondent No: 70 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 11, 2021 08:07:11 am Apr 26, 2021 12:29:50 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting	your access to wat	er
	Please provide any additional comments to the en	cipal dock spot/	access assigned t	o them, should be able to keep

them. The access spot should be maintained by the home it was originally assigned to years ago and if they don't want it, a legal document should be drafted and signed, in order to legally transfer the spot to a new home. When that home is sold, the access spot goes with it. OR to make it easier, just keep all access spots belonging to the original home no matter what, whether they want to use it or not. Hickory Beach community has a dock association (as do others) that should be given the blueprints of the original assignments and should mind their own original assignments of access. I hope that makes sense.

Respondent No: 71 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 11, 2021 08:23:49 amLast Seen:Sep 05, 2021 12:25:52 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ect you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? SI not answered	nould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	ncroachment of private docks on municipal property?

Traffic concerns may not be directly related to dock usage. Can be easily regulated with municipal authority (parking).

Respondent No: 72 Login: Registered	Responded At: Apr 12, 2021 (Last Seen: Apr 12, 2021 (
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?			
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? I do not believe that there are any issues with docks in the area at the bottom of Thurstonia Road. The docks in our area are well maintained. There are a few government docks in this area that are available for anyone to enjoy - yet they are rarely occupied.			

		Respondent No: 73 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 12, 2021 09:17:04 am Aug 17, 2021 13:54:42 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	No		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
		o you anticipate this dock policy would affect vid boater and fisher we make extensive use of	-	CKL.	
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admin	attly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What of the second seco	lo you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	a feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	-	a have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting	your access to wat	er
Q11	. Please	provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

over the past 2 years we have had numerous issues with cottagers who feel that we should not be on "their lake" particularly if fishing near their docks. The increasing congestion has made it difficult to avoid casting around areas where a private dock is located. In fact, we have been threatened and advised fishing near their property was illegal. While we do not own waterfront where we boat (our waterfront is on a small lake with not motor allowance), we are residents in the area and access such lakes legally via the public launches and use our legal license to fish as set out under the act. I feel that increasing density would only cause further altercations of such nature. As for increasing fees for boathouses, I do not feel they are a right and if someone has the financial capacity to own waterfront and a boat, they should be able to afford this increase.

Respondent No: 74 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 12, 2021 11:08:03 amLast Seen:Apr 12, 2021 15:02:50 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? My family has property in one of the communities affected, and it can be concerning when people install private docks on		

public property- safety, parking, challenges created for people trying to launch boats at the public boat launches where private docks have been installed are among my concerns

 Q6.
 Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?
 No

 Q7.
 Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?
 Yes

Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

not answered

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the	Safety concerns due to docks
following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic concerns due to docks
	Docks limiting your view of the water
	Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 75 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 13, 2021 04:19:36 amLast Seen:Apr 13, 2021 08:10:35 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	uld boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks

The suggested 6 foot distance between docks definitely is too close as two people could easily collide if jumping off of opposing docks. Our dog can easily jump 6-8 foot with a running start. If two dock owners each had a boat and lift, there wouldn't be enough room to set up safely. Thurstonia has allows looked overcrowded and junky, and I'm hoping Cedarglen doesn't go down that same path. Thank you.

	Respondent No: 76 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 13, 2021 08:51:30 am Jul 22, 2021 11:08:30 am
	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo ot answered	et you, if at all?		
	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided		
	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
	Please provide any additional comments to the end am in support of dock licensing for front and back lot	-		

the areas currently presented in the proposed bylaw.

	Respondent No: 77 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 13, 2021 09:24:57 am Sep 10, 2021 22:22:22 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	-	ns due to docks concerns due to do	ocks	
	Please provide any additional comments to the er				D

we want to prevent out of area citizens access to dock locations?

		espondent No: 78 ogin: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 13, 2021 10:54:02 am Apr 13, 2021 14:46:07 pm
	Do you c Lakes?	urrently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes		
	for an ag	ock located in one of the areas eligible reement (please see Additional es for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would yo	u like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where we	ould you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do y	ou anticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	ink it is acceptable to have private municipal property?	Yes		
	both doc proposed \$150 per to \$400 p administr	the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ks and boathouses. Under the l amendments dock fees would remain year, but boathouses would increase er year to help recover additional rative costs. Do you think the fees and to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do y	you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	eel that waterfront overcrowding due to an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
	-	ave a concern with any of the ? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
	-	ovide any additional comments to the enc	-		

grateful.

Respondent No: 79 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 13, 2021 11:08:50 am Apr 13, 2021 15:05:54 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on i	nunicipal property?

All of our dock neighbors display pride of ownership in our dock area and we have a great relationship between all of us.

Respondent No: 80 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 14, 2021 18:09:20 pm Apr 14, 2021 21:44:10 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She Maybe a little bit. Maybe \$200 or \$250	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of I	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

We do have a 5 year dock agreement but our dock is not in one of the eligible areas. The Kawartha Lakes is a beautiful spot and we are surrounded by all this water. It seems a shame that some of these docks will have to be removed after the 5 year agreement. I am sure that the docks are well used and maintained. Why can't we keep the agreements with the City like we are doing now?

	oondent No: 81 n: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 14, 2021 21:37:52 pm Aug 30, 2021 00:27:48 am
Q1. Do you curr Lakes?	ently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes		
2	< located in one of the areas eligible ement (please see Additional for listing)?	No		
Q3. Would you I	ike to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where woul	d you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you not answered	anticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?		
-	k it is acceptable to have private unicipal property?	Yes		
both docks proposed ar \$150 per yea to \$400 per administrati	e licensing fee is \$150 per year for and boathouses. Under the mendments dock fees would remain ar, but boathouses would increase year to help recover additional ve costs. Do you think the fees and boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you	u think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
-	that waterfront overcrowding due to issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided		
-	e a concern with any of the Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please prov	ide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	rivate docks on r	nunicipal property?

	Respondent No: 82 Login: Registered	Responded At: Apr 14, 2021 22:41:23 pm Last Seen: Apr 15, 2021 02:28:40 am
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: The fee could be lowered to \$100 dollars yearly put on one tax bill!
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: On Cedar Glen, over crowding was not an issue, till a certain real estate agent, bullied there way into one persons dock space to sell their house, then they began telling ppl that didn't have a dock in the water, to put one in to get more money before listing their house! That's not right!
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
011	Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

No additional concerns!

Respondent No: 83 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 15, 2021 11:37:10 am Aug 31, 2021 17:34:27 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	rivate docks on r	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 84 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 19, 2021 16:10:58 pm Aug 31, 2021 00:00:20 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of I	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Some people are building big decks and taking more space than they should. Some are very close to the road. Some people have a dock at the waterfront and they live way up the road and have no right to the space they are taking. The odd home owner along here has no dock due to a lack of space.

Respondent No: 85 Login: Registered	Responded At: Apr 19, 2021 17:17:21 pm Last Seen: Apr 19, 2021 20:07:51 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Acceptable if the owner of the dock keeps up the area involved as we have, ie. keeping up the lawns and areas cut, picking up any trash or bird feces, and keeping docks maintained.

Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?

Please feel free to clarify your response below:

Not applicable at this time as this was not a requirement when we acquired our property on Cedar Glen Road. (Front Lot). Also there are no boathouses there at this time, and we would prefer for them not to be allowed or started.

Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to	Please feel free to clarify your response below:
docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes, definitely as all this overcrowding has gradually become a
	worse and worse problem in the last 15 years (even to the point of
	causing serious conflict amongst original residents) who know ho
	it used to be. It also has become a huge safety issue compared to
	how it originally was. For example there was FAR more space that
	two metres (six feet) between the docks to compensate for *
	safety of swimmers, boating - with boat lifts, and fishing. Also
	parking and traffic was not a problem in the area and on the main
	road.

Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.

Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view **Other (please specify)** Once again we feel that it is important to go back to the original more than two metres (six feet) of spacing between docks. Please see above #5. Thank You.

Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

Important : Please see above # 5 and 6.

Respondent No: 86 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 23, 2021 16:17:45 pm Apr 23, 2021 20:12:20 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns	rour access to wat s due to docks concerns due to do		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the er	croachment of p	rivate docks on r	nunicipal property?	

Respondent No: 87 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 23, 2021 16:32:40 pmLast Seen:Apr 23, 2021 20:30:27 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Parking/traffic concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 88 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 23, 2021 17:00:32 pmLast Seen:Apr 23, 2021 20:56:50 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks

	Respondent No: 89 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 23, 2021 17:02:08 pm Apr 16, 2021 12:59:57 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would af	fect you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	The fee's to ha considerably h	nigher, \$150 per se vaterfront home ow	response below: ess to the water should be ason is a joke. Compare the ners pay in taxes, not even in	
	Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered				
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes it is an iss area is unsigh	tly and poses safet vaterfront will harm	r esponse below: Itiple docks jammed into a sr y issues. Additionally, I feel property values of surroundi	
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concer Parking/traffic Docks limiting	your access to wa ns due to docks concerns due to do your view of the w ng the attractivenes	ocks	

Respondent No: 90 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 23, 2021 17:15:58 pmLast Seen:Jul 26, 2021 16:11:11 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

		Respondent No: 91 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 23, 2021 19:02:43 pm Jul 21, 2021 12:32:50 pm
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affect	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Undecided		
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What on the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes? Yes Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)? Yes Q3. Would you like to have a dock? not answered Q4. Where would you like to have your dock? not answered Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? mot answered Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property? Yes Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses would increase to boathouses and increase to boathouses is fair? No Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Shouthouses have a higher fee than docks? Same as dock as long as they are in good repair. No Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes? No concerns following? Select all that apply. Q1. Jeses provide any additional comments to the encercethment of private docks on municipal property? No concerns	Respondent No: 92 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 23, 2021 19:12:50 pm Apr 23, 2021 23:06:52 pm
for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)? Q3. Would you like to have a dock? not answered Q4. Where would you like to have your dock? not answered Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? not answered not answered Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property? Yes Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would increase to \$400 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year, to thelp recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? No Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? Same as dock as long as they are in good repair. No Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes? No Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply. No concerms Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encreachment of private docks on municipal property?		Kawartha Yes		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock? not answered Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? not answered Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property? Yes Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? No Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? Same as dock as long as they are in good repair. No Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes? No concerns following? Select all that apply. Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply. No concerns Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encrochment of private docks on municipal property?	for an agreement (please see Ac	-		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? not answered Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property? Yes Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? No Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? Same as dock as long as they are in good repair. No Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes? No concerns Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply. No concerns Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encochment of private docks on municipal property?	Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answer	red	
not answered Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property? Yes Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? No Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? Same as dock as long as they are in good repair. No Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes? No Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the tollowing? Select all that apply. No concerns Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encochment of private docks on municipal property?	Q4. Where would you like to have yo	our dock? not answer	red	
docks on municipal property? Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? No Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? Same as dock as long as they are in good repair. No Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes? No Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply. No concerns Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?		a policy would affect you, if at a	all?	
both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? Same as dock as long as they are in good repair. Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes? Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply. Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?		h ave private Yes		
Same as dock as long as they are in good repair. Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes? No Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply. No concerns Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?	both docks and boathouses. Un proposed amendments dock fee \$150 per year, but boathouses w to \$400 per year to help recover administrative costs. Do you thi	der the es would remain would increase additional		
docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes? Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply. Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?		-	uses have a higher fo	ee than docks?
following? Select all that apply. Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?		-		
		of the No concern	ns	
Do not like the idea of the City taking away backlot docks. I have one and I use it constantly in the summer and if it's gone			-	

it would devalue my property if I ever sell it. Please reconsider this, there is no problems at Kenstone Beach where my dock is. Everyone gets along.

		Respondent No: 93 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 23, 2021 20:10:19 pm Aug 13, 2021 18:39:08 pm
	Do you Lakes'	currently have a dock in Kawartha	No		
1	for an	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3. V	Would	you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4. V	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d e	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo vered	ct you, if at all?		
	-	I think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No		
 	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admini	tly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase o per year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?		ee to clarify your r allowed regardless	
	What c	lo you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
	-	I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided		
	-	I have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	Safety concerr	your access to wat ns due to docks concerns due to do	

Public property is for the good and use of all, having exceptions for docks is discriminatory and docks are a fixed item which should not be on public property

Respondent No: 94 Login: Registered	Responder Last Seen:	At: Apr 24, 2021 06:41:09 am Aug 14, 2021 21:04:51 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She I think any fees should be part of one's property taxes.	uld boathouses have a hig	her fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns	

I think this initiative has generated much frustration within CKW. If the primary reason is to generate more taxes then the city has a right to increase property taxes where appropriate. Many docks and boathouses have been in existence for over 80 years and should be grandfathered into the property. There is also the issue of who has responsibility in this area, CKL or Parks Canada. Requesting the city to be named on a cottage owners private insurance on these structures is asking for more exposure to the city which will mean unnecessary legal liability. I understand there are some areas in the Kawarthas where clarification of ownership is required but implementing a area wide mandate to address these specific issues is using a hammer to kill a fly. There are other ways to address this problem for the city but this is clearly not the most effective way to resolve. The city should focus on those problem areas without involving many other areas that have never been an issue. Thank you for the ability to supply input and the city should never introduce new policies in the winter when most cottagers are gone for the winter. This is not the first time the city has used this approach. The city needs to give cottagers an opportunity to have input into these types of decisions as you are doing now but it must be tabled in the summer months when we are all here. Except during Covid.

Respondent No: 95 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 24, 2021 07:08:51 amLast Seen:Jul 24, 2021 12:11:12 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	e No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would a not answered	affect you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: There has been a dock at the City's right of way for over 50 years. It is always in terrific condition, safe for everyone to use and out of the way of any boat traffic. We have been maintaining the City's right of way for years too. Removing trees, cutting the grass and keeping it looking very tidy. City officials don't one down here often at all. This is why I feel it is ok to have a dock on city property.
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? not answered	Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	 Please feel free to clarify your response below: In our area this is not a concern.
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Other (please specify) In our tiny community this has never been an issue. And to be honest, I don't see that happening anytime in the future. People who live in our community have been here for generations and have never complained about there being a dock in the right of way. They stand to benefit from it as welllaunching boats etc.

I feel everything should be on a case to case basis. Many community's in the CKL have public access and are using city property for a dock. There may be some areas that are overcrowding etc at which point could be a danger however, some are not and should be considered. I feel that everyone should be given a chance to explain their current situation.

Respondent No: 96 Login: Registered	Responde Last Seen	d At: Apr 24, 2021 14:25:47 pm : Apr 24, 2021 18:24:31 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	t you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	uld boathouses have a hig	her fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns	
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	roachment of private docl	s on municipal property?

Respondent No: 97 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 24, 2021 17:17:51 pmLast Seen:Apr 16, 2021 21:26:18 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	uld boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
There should be no private docks or boathouses on Mu Many of the docks are located in significant wetland loc	nicipal land. There are many problems that arise from this situation. ations where abuse of the land and water occurs.
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks

Private docks we are familiar with are in significant wetland areas and has caused noticeable land and water pollution, damage to wildlife, . No toilet access causes people to pollute the area around the dock. Docks in West Bay wetland area of Balsam Lake, is used for airplanes to moor and take off through the wetland area on a daily basis during the Spring, summer and Fall months. This should be stopped. We have had to clean up huge amounts of garbage thrown into the water and on land around the dock areas.

		-	ndent No: 98 Registered			Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 25, 2021 05:15:27 am Jul 07, 2021 01:43:03 am	
Q1.	Do you Lakes		tly have a doo	k in Kawartha	No			
Q2.	for an	agreem	ocated in one ent (please se listing)?	of the areas eligible e Additional	not answered			
Q3.	Would	l you like	e to have a do	ck?	No			
Q4.	Where	e would y	ou like to hav	ve your dock?	not answered			
		2	-	lock policy would affeo ples of docks on municip		ead Lake.		
Q6.	-		t is acceptable icipal propert	e to have private y?	Undecided			
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	locks an sed ame ber year, 0 per ye istrative	d boathouses ndments doc but boathous ar to help reco	k fees would remain es would increase over additional u think the fees and	\$400/yr sounds	-	response below: or ft over the water "boathouse", all wooden shed used to store	
	What of the second seco	-	hink is an acc	eptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	-		at waterfront o	overcrowding due to the Lakes?	No			
Q10	-		concern with ect all that ap	-		your view of the wa	ater s of your property and view	
Q11	. Please	e provide	any addition	al comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on I	municipal property?	

Respondent No: 99 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 25, 2021 09:40:08 amLast Seen:Apr 25, 2021 13:37:39 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She same fee	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 100 Login: Registered	Responded At:Apr 25, 2021 14:02:04 pmLast Seen:Apr 25, 2021 17:43:06 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ect you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: No I do not. These property owners are essentially getting the use and benefit of the waterfront, at no cost. Their fees should be made closer to what it would cost if they owned the waterfront. The City is losing a lot of tax revenue here.
	No I do not. These property owners are essentially getting the use and benefit of the waterfront, at no cost. Their fees should be made closer to what it would cost if they owned the waterfront. The City is

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks

The use of the waterfront and cost of using it, should be equal for every property in the City. Obviously, grandfathering older agreements makes people happy, but it is time to update these policies. The City should be charging more than a paperwork fee, and be making money on the rental/use of Municipal property, when they cannot charge taxes.

		Respondent No: 101 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 28, 2021 13:08:00 pm Sep 03, 2021 20:40:16 pm	
Q1.	Do you Lakes?	currently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes			
Q2.	for an a	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	No			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do	o you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	both do propos \$150 po to \$400 admini	tly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase oper year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What d	o you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Si	nould boathouse	es have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	-	feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	-	have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	-	ns due to docks concerns due to do	ocks	
Q11	. Please	provide any additional comments to the e	ncroachment of	private docks on	nunicipal property?	

Unless you totally regulated these structures, it's a huge liability issue for the town. Are their any provisions ensuring that the people currently having or new owners going forward have the adequate personal insurance in place.

		Respondent No: 102 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 30, 2021 08:04:51 am Apr 17, 2021 16:25:52 pm
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain her year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What on the state of the state	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Showered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

		Respondent No: 103 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Apr 30, 2021 16:18:36 pm Apr 30, 2021 20:16:49 pm
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What on the state of the state	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

	Respondent No: 104 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 01, 2021 06:42:26 am May 01, 2021 10:38:47 am	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer An example for an adjoining municipslity	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8.	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
	not answered				
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided			
Q10	. Do you have a concern with any of the	Safety concerr	ns due to docks		
	following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	ocks	
		Docks limiting	your view of the wa	ater	
		Docks reducin	g the attractiveness	s of your property and view	
Q11	.Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on I	nunicipal property?	

		Respondent No: 105 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 01, 2021 07:10:17 am May 01, 2021 11:04:55 am
Q1.	Do you Lakes	i currently have a dock in Kawartha	No		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	Washburn Islar	nd	
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	a think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Undecided		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admin	ttly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional distrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What on the second seco	lo you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided		
Q10	-	I have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic o	concerns due to do	icks
	. Please not ansv	provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 106 Login: Registered	Responded At: May 01, 2021 Last Seen: Aug 27, 2021	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawarth Lakes?	Yes	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas of for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	i gible Yes	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy w not answered	uld affect you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have priv docks on municipal property?	te Yes	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would \$150 per year, but boathouses would inc to \$400 per year to help recover additionar administrative costs. Do you think the fea increase to boathouses is fair?	emain ease	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, in not answered	any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	due to No	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns	
Q11. Please provide any additional comments	o the encroachment of private docks on municipal prop	erty?

Respondent No: 107 Login: Registered	Responded At:May 01, 2021 16:08:04 pmLast Seen:May 01, 2021 17:20:17 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	Yes
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	in the water!!
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would afferent not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Why would one buy a cottage at a lake and not want to enjoy the water!! The water, to my understanding does not belong to the City of Kawartha!! Instead of trying to get funds this way The City NEEDS to go after ALL the SEPTICS that that are many years past their prime and POLUTING the LAKE. Docks sit in the water and that is NOT Municipal Property. Your thinking is, in my opinion, screwed!
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Absolutely they should not be charged a fee. They h grandfathered!!	nave been where they are for years and, inmy opinion, should be
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Other (please specify) My concern is the seadoos that tear up nd down the lake, not staying out in the middle so as not to cause waves eroding the banks!

First and foremost Septics should be a first priority!

		Respondent No: 108 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 01, 2021 20:47:09 pm Jul 03, 2021 19:30:27 pm
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional urces for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affect	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho wered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	ocks
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 109 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 04, 2021 17:02:38 pm Aug 31, 2021 16:37:55 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on I	nunicipal property?	

It is unfair to not allow backlot owners to have a dock. In our community there is 4 right of ways that is municipal property. Our deed indicates legal access to our right of way to get to the water and it is not easy to access the water without a dock (slippery rocks and zebra mussels make it dangerous). One of the main reasons these right of ways were originally put there was for the backlot owners to enjoy the lake. If you eliminate the dock access it greatly impairs us to enjoy this wonderful lake properly.

		ndent No: 110 Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 07, 2021 13:35:2 Aug 22, 2021 14:28:0	
Q1.	Do you curren Lakes?	tly have a dock in Kawartha	No			
Q2.	-	ocated in one of the areas eligible ent (please see Additional · listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would you like	e to have a dock?	Yes			
Q4.	Where would y	you like to have your dock?	The end of divi	sion st, Lindsay on	tario	
	How do you an	nticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	t is acceptable to have private icipal property?	No			
Q7.	both docks an proposed ame \$150 per year, to \$400 per ye administrative	licensing fee is \$150 per year for ad boathouses. Under the endments dock fees would remain but boathouses would increase ar to help recover additional e costs. Do you think the fees and bathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you t not answered	hink is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	at waterfront overcrowding due to sue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	-	e concern with any of the lect all that apply.	No concerns			
	211. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? No reason that we can not build a dock. We own the property and have never been able to use it, in my lifetime! Just let us build a dock					

		ndent No: 111 Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 13, 2021 23:25:32 pm May 14, 2021 03:19:31 am
Q1.	Do you currer Lakes?	ntly have a dock in Kawartha	Yes		
Q2.	-	ocated in one of the areas eligible ent (please see Additional r listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you lik	e to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would	you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you a	nticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	it is acceptable to have private nicipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both docks an proposed amo \$150 per year to \$400 per yea administrative	licensing fee is \$150 per year for nd boathouses. Under the endments dock fees would remain , but boathouses would increase ear to help recover additional e costs. Do you think the fees and pathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8.	What do you	think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
	not answered				
Q9.	-	aat waterfront overcrowding due to ssue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	. Do you have a	a concern with any of the	Docks limiting	your access to wat	er
	following? Se	lect all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	ocks
			Docks limiting	your view of the wa	ater
			Docks reducing	g the attractiveness	s of your property and view
Q11	. Please provid	e any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	orivate docks on I	nunicipal property?

Front lot owners should have first priority to purchase the shore/road allowance in front of their lot

		Respondent No: 112 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 14, 2021 15:46:23 pm May 28, 2021 22:41:22 pm	
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional Irces for listing)?	No			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affect wered	t you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What on the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.		concerns due to do	ocks s of your property and view	
	211. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? Lake Avenue between Cedar Glen and Kenhill Beach rd has a dock and a boathouse with power. It is sitting on municipal land. The people that have the dock get angry when we cut our grass on our property because it's their quiet spot by the					

water. ???

Responde Login: Re	nt No: 113 egistered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 14, 2021 16:26:05 pm Jun 20, 2021 20:58:58 pm
Q1. Do you currently Lakes?	have a dock in Kawartha	Yes		
-	ted in one of the areas eligible (please see Additional ting)?	No		
Q3. Would you like to	have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you	like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you antic not answered	ipate this dock policy would affec	et you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is docks on municip	acceptable to have private oal property?	No		
both docks and b proposed amendr \$150 per year, but to \$400 per year to	nsing fee is \$150 per year for oathouses. Under the ments dock fees would remain t boathouses would increase o help recover additional sts. Do you think the fees and ouses is fair?	No		
Q8. What do you think	k is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
-	vaterfront overcrowding due to for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a con following? Select	-	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide an	y additional comments to the end	croachment of p	orivate docks on r	nunicipal property?

	Respondent No: 114 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 14, 2021 17:18:51 pm May 14, 2021 20:50:53 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No I should no		response below: no one has paid for this space on ow which has been maintained at
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	There were no home on the re shore with no	bad, then sold it aft regard for the perso ear and caused an	response below: ar Glen until a certain agent built a er she slammed a dock in on the on using it! She sold another other incident! Because of her we
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic Docks reducin Other (please Some of the o in size They a	specify) n shore platforms a	s of your property and view are to large and should be reduced I and close to each other! They

Unfortunately we have a person taking up a dock space that already has a lake front property on Cedar Glen. Ppl from Dunsford come park and use it! We thought Dock usage was for the ppl of this subdivision! not for ppl out of area!

	Respondent No: 115 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 14, 2021 17:26:35 pm Jul 07, 2021 15:04:41 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: No! We do not pay now and have had a dock in front of our home & all previous owners of our property on Cedar Glen for over 85 years. We all have maintained, planted trees, etc during these 85 years. We have squatters rights & should be grandfathered ownership to this property. Years before the road was put in this water front belonged to our property.			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	es have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Up until 5-10 y becoming mor real estate age for a dock but	e of an issue now the of an issue now the other telling them the truly only have deet the lake there is a deet there is a deet the other	response below: Glen it was not an issue but it is with new residents moving in and ey have deeded access to a spot eded access to swim, launch a dicated spot as you come into the	
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic Other (please Some of the hi too close to the over crowding	uge platforms built e road & have crea . The cars drive too	ocks on Cedar Glen in resent years are ted the undesirable appearance of o fast through the street which ntrol and hit one of these	

I believe the new property owners on Cedar Glen that have squeezed/crowded in docks in the last 10 years should be examined on whether they encroached on docks that were previously in place for so many years without any crowding. There has always been a place on the road for public swimming & launching a boat. Also there's a property owner on Cedar Glen closer to not even in the lake front area that has a dock & lift in the water. This should be removed immediately before everyone in The City of Kawartha Lakes decides they have the right to the same opportunity. I feel that property owners that live on Cedar Glen (from Earl Kennedy Road toward the lake) should be the only ones with permission to put in a dock. Reason being, we are the ones that paid a premium for the properties by the lake front & the cost of this is reflected in our higher taxes.

	Respondent No: 116 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 14, 2021 17:39:10 pm Jul 21, 2021 20:43:53 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?		ee to clarify your i f it is grandfathered	response below: I and follows a contractual
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on I	nunicipal property?

		Respondent No: 117 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 15, 2021 09:13:54 am Aug 30, 2021 18:30:58 pm
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional irces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No		
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	-	ocks s of your property and view

	Respondent No: 118 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 15, 2021 12:44:05 pm Aug 08, 2021 21:04:27 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?		ee to clarify your i y are in compliance	
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerr Parking/traffic Docks limiting Docks reducin Other (please large rafts atta	specify)	ocks

		Respondent No: 119 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 16, 2021 10:20:18 am Jun 21, 2021 15:06:37 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

	Respondent No: 120 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 16, 2021 10:28:2 May 15, 2021 19:42:3	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh Maybe \$25 /yr. for docks and \$150 for boathouses.	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting	concerns due to do your view of the wa g the attractivenes		iew
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on I	nunicipal property?	

Our privacy has been eroded for those owning waterfront property. Cars parked on road near back lot owners' docks cause a hazard .

Respondent No: 121 Login: Registered	Responded At:May 16, 2021 18:14:33 pmLast Seen:May 16, 2021 21:43:38 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: People on cedarglen are making big platforms putting in paving stones railings and encroaching the road. These things should not be allowed. Simple strong safe docks should be permitted for all to use. Dock permits should be required, parking can be an issue, walk to your dock don't leave cars there all day especially when kids are playing near by.
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Dock fees,should be approximately \$300, there are no boathouses

both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?

Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

$\ensuremath{Q9.}$ Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to	Please feel free to clarify your response below:
docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Things were fine up to about 10 years ago. Now everyone is trying
	to jam a dock in. Those that are aggressive push their way in,
	those that have docks for 40 years are pushed out, or antimidated
	by aggressive dock owners who make bigger and bigger platforms

Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.

Safety concerns due to docks

Parking/traffic concerns due to docks

Other (please specify)

Size of docks platforms are not equal. By making people remove them in the fall they will not make these giant platforms so close to the road. Small is good

Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

I hear some residents on cedar glen want to try and buy the road with plans on restricting assess and block access then charge them for access to make money. This cannot happen, especially with some of the personalities attempting to do so. They would restrict access for all to use. Who would maintain the road, what about garbage, city services, what about those further down the road that need access. The city needs to purchase the road, or expropriate if necessary. If necessary add an equal amount to each and every cedar glen property owners tax bills until paid for. This should have been address many many years ago by the City. MANY OF US have used this road for access to our properties for 80 years. The road must be owned by the City of kawartha lakes period.

	Respondent No: 122 Login: Registered	Responded At:May 17, 2021 17:18:03 pmLast Seen:May 17, 2021 21:09:58 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for	Please feel free to clarify your response below:
	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Doesn't affect me
	proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	
	proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	
Q9.	proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

Respondent No: 123 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 18, 2021 10:29:51 am Aug 22, 2021 03:39:45 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

Thurstonia has been a quaint community for generations. Families get a lot of enjoyment from the use of their docks. They also bring the community together in a good way. Part of the problem regarding encroaching docks is there are no markers to indicate where your dock is supposed to be. Markers would be helpful. I don't feel that everyone should lose their docks just because they are on a back lot. Everyone has gotten insurance at your request and paid higher fees. For years people who didn't pay were allowed to keep their dock spaces. These would have been ideal to shut down and not renew. It is unfair to penalize those who have always been responsible, even though on a back lot. I suggest that reducing the number of lots on a shore line be done much more gradually and be based on non-payment.

	Respondent No: 124 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 18, 2021 14:03:19 pm May 18, 2021 18:01:47 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concern Parking/traffic Docks limiting	your access to wat ns due to docks concerns due to do your view of the wa g the attractivenes	ocks	

Respondent No: 125	Responded At: May 21, 2021 08:38:38 am
Login: Registered	Last Seen: Aug 16, 2021 19:37:25 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	Yes
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	Cedar Glen
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Yes (can't answer yes and then expand answer). If restrictons are in place and fair for ALL and enforced as need be.
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Would be enough room for all if some people weren't so greedy and overstretched the size of docks and squeezed some others out on purpose.
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Other (please specify) People outside of cedar glen are reported to have had docks there for some time contributing to the the sqeezing out of legimate residents.

1 dock per legitimate resident with restrictions on width so no one can take up LARGE spaces. Equality for ALL. There is enough room for all if everyone cooperates and doesn't overdo it.

Respondent No: 126 Login: Registered		ponded At: t Seen:	May 21, 2021 15:26: Aug 28, 2021 03:28:1	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	uld boathouses have	ve a higher fee	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	roachment of private	te docks on m	unicipal property?	

Respondent No: 127 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 22, 2021 19:21:41 pn May 22, 2021 23:16:11 pn	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She There shouldn't be a fee.	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	orivate docks on r	nunicipal property?	

Clarification needs to be provided on the definition of 1 dock to 1 house.

Respondent No: 128 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 23, 2021 19:33:45 pm May 23, 2021 23:30:01 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

I bought my house entirely because of the dock access.

Respondent No: 129 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 24, 2021 12:42:13 pm Aug 14, 2021 00:59:06 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

	Respondent No: 130 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 26, 2021 12:41:43 pm Jun 18, 2021 21:34:38 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of I	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

My family has had the same dock for 62 years and we are a back lot owner. To think cottages on the waterfront road should have more rights then the ones on the back lot's is wrong! Just like us the people on the front lot's need to "cross the road" to get to their dock (it is not part of their property). We all have to cross the road to get to the docks on Hazel. Everyone should be treated the same and as someone with a dock for 62 years, Kawartha Lakes needs to stop messing and changing every year something, we are a community and not individuals!

	Respondent No: 131 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 28, 2021 15:00:53 pm May 28, 2021 18:53:29 pm
	o you currently have a dock in Kawartha akes?	Yes		
fo	your dock located in one of the areas eligible r an agreement (please see Additional esources for listing)?	No		
Q3. W	ould you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. W	here would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	ow do you anticipate this dock policy would affect answered	t you, if at all?		
	o you think it is acceptable to have private ocks on municipal property?	No		
bo pr \$1 to ac	urrently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for oth docks and boathouses. Under the roposed amendments dock fees would remain 50 per year, but boathouses would increase \$400 per year to help recover additional Iministrative costs. Do you think the fees and crease to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	hat do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
	o you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to ocks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
	o you have a concern with any of the llowing? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	your access to wat concerns due to do your view of the wa g the attractiveness	icks

Posting private property signs on areas that are clearly municipal lands and strings of private docks and lifts are creating an environment more like a parking lot than a shoreline.

Respondent No: 132 Login: Registered	Responded At: May 28, 2021 21:04:41 pm Last Seen: May 29, 2021 00:58:54 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Please clarify between boathouses and boat lifts. Boa obtrusive. Fees for boathouses are ok at \$400. For boa	houses are bigger structures that block views. Boatlifts are not as lifts, \$150 is more acceptable.
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

Let front lot owners purchase the shoreline. It minimizes work for the city, and maintains the attractiveness/preservation of the surroundings and the lake. Front lot owners can then sublease within by-law regulations if there is ample space to do so, enforce the by-laws and ensure safety.

Respondent No: 133 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	May 31, 2021 13:50:11 pm May 31, 2021 17:24:26 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She No fees	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

I didn't know the municipal owed all the land around all the lakes.

Respondent No: 134 Login: Registered	Responded At:May 31, 2021 19:51:40 pmLast Seen:Aug 23, 2021 19:56:16 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: I don't agree with private docks on municipal property I support the upgrading of the public dock and park space for everyone to enjoy
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for	Please feel free to clarify your response below:
both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	I support rewilding the municpal waterways and helping the local marinas by allowing them to serve as private docking spots and boat houses for those who want lake access
both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	marinas by allowing them to serve as private docking spots and boat houses for those who want lake access
both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	marinas by allowing them to serve as private docking spots and boat houses for those who want lake access

It is not appropriate, the public lands are for everyone to enjoy

Respondent No: 135 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 01, 2021 09:02:34 amLast Seen:Jul 07, 2021 12:41:23 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	Yes
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	On North Bay Drive public waterfront
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	st you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

North Bay Drive public access is unregulated, citizens placed very unsafe and unattractive docks there and claim ownership to the waterfront. On several occasions some of us were bullied out of fishing from the shore. In order to prevent serious consequences I ask that the City intervene.

Respondent No: 136 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 01, 2021 11:00:42 amLast Seen:Jun 01, 2021 14:52:07 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Other (please specify) When I purchased my property; in my deed, it spells out, "Water ACCESS". Yet people from other areas in the Cedar glen area, who do not have in deed, have occupied this area. For fairness, there needs to be "CLEAR" guidelines for water access. Not having people using :I have been here for years" as their ownership. Should be deeded and taxed.

For Cedar glen: all docks should be evenly divided along lines of residents. We have enough room, if the people /did not take up two and three spots. This lake front and we should share as community, not "bullying" by some land owners.

	Respondent No: 137 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 01, 2021 11:26:27 am Aug 27, 2021 14:39:03 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would aff not answered	ect you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? S	hould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concern Parking/traffic Docks limiting	your access to wat ns due to docks concerns due to do your view of the wa g the attractivenes:	ocks	

I own property on sturgeon lake paying high taxes the docks on Longbeach rd are a danger with people crossing the street and storing their docks at shoreline in winter the docks are a eyesore.Longbeach rd is dangerous enough without people and children think they have the right to control this road

Respondent No: 138 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 01, 2021 13:55:58 pmLast Seen:Jun 01, 2021 17:47:13 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would afferent not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: I feel that everyone has been using the municipal property for way to long for free. If they want to use itthey should have to pay taxes just like anyone else on the water. They sit back and pay nothing and brag about living on the water. It really is time that they all were made aware that this is not their property - it is all of ours. If they can put a dock in placewhat is stopping everyone else from doing the same. Keep the rules the same for everyone. Remove everything at their expense and start with a new slate. All of these home owners actually believe that they are entitled to the lakefront. Stop it everywhere. Or tax them accordingly. The docks and decks are an eye sore and a detriment to everyone travelling on these roads during the off season as well. The docks and decks should have to be removed during the fall and winter months. This

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to Yes docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?

Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.

Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

At this time, I believe that anyone who wants to put a dock in on municipal land is entitled to. The people who already have think they own the land and need to be set straight. They do not have deeded access and should respect the waterfront. Look at Cedar Glen....there are docks right along the water and not even enough room to walk to the water. They are taking over every inch that they can and actually do believe that they own it. I am entitled to this area as much as they are. This is consistent with everyone who lives in Cedar Glen. If you purchase property on the water....pay the taxes and enjoy it. If not....stay off.

Respondent No: 139 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 01, 2021 15:04:30 pm Aug 13, 2021 21:42:13 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end not answered	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 140 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 01, 2021 17:25:58 pmLast Seen:Jun 01, 2021 21:22:15 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	et you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh there should be no fees at all	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns	
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?	

We look after the shoreline. Charge for public access launches. Non owners pollute!!! Owners do not

Responder Login: Re			Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 01, 2021 18:33:20 pm Jun 01, 2021 22:31:15 pm
Q1. Do you currently I Lakes?	nave a dock in Kawartha	Yes		
-	ed in one of the areas eligible (please see Additional ing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to	have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you	like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticing not answered	pate this dock policy would affec	et you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is a docks on municip	acceptable to have private al property?	Yes		
both docks and be proposed amendr \$150 per year, but to \$400 per year to	nsing fee is \$150 per year for pathouses. Under the nents dock fees would remain boathouses would increase o help recover additional sts. Do you think the fees and puses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think not answered	is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
-	aterfront overcrowding due to for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a con following? Select	-	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide an	y additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

	Respondent No: 142 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 01, 2021 23:13:22 pm Jun 02, 2021 02:59:26 am
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns Other (please if you purchase of the charm o	ed property in these	e areas as i did the docks are part

back lot owners have a small piece of what makes Kawartha great please dont take that away

Respondent No: 143 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 02, 2021 15:42:53 pmLast Seen:Jun 02, 2021 19:37:25 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

The issue on our lake (Balsam) has been the creation of private docks on right-of-ways by owners of back lots. They impede the use of the right-of-way to put boats into the water and the dock owners make people feel like they are trespassing on their property. In one case, the dock is unsafe. It is not clear that whether the dock owners have the necessary licenses for the docks.

		Respondent No: 144 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 02, 2021 17:05:00 pm Jun 02, 2021 20:58:41 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	No		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
		o you anticipate this dock policy would affect nes I like to sit on the dock that my neighbors ha	-		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain her year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	-	I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided		
Q10	-	a have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	ocks
Q11	Please	provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

At one time our road had that is the backlots had a clause that said we were able to use the docks, now there are saying that our neighbors have to take the dock out, they have 2 boats there and it doesn't bother me at all. It's nice to hear the children laughing when they are down there.

Respondent No: 145 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 02, 2021 18:02:24 pm Jul 23, 2021 23:38:56 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?		
Probably not at all but when we owned a 20 ft boat, a	6 foot spacing be	tween two adjoining	docks would be too little
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the er Private docks , particularly proximate to public launch			

friction with those occasional public users and the private party who thinks he controls the area.

	Respondent No: 146 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 03, 2021 08:27:40 am Jul 08, 2021 12:22:38 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	It is acceptable makes reason of docks is cor	able sense. I think	response below: ocks on municipal property when it its reasonable so long as density access to those docks is
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on I	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 147 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 03, 2021 22:51:38 pm Jun 04, 2021 02:47:40 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 148 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 04, 2021 17:11:05 pmLast Seen:Jun 04, 2021 20:51:48 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

My house is on Hazel street. I take care of my dock space and surrounding area. Unfortunately there is a lot of very old docks that are not taken care of and they look hideous. I do not overcrowd around my dock. But unfortunately the fellow dock that sits to the right of me is presently taking two dock spaces. I'm dock space and he has dock space and is using as well. He said he doesn't care and that he's going to do what he wants.

Respondent No: 149 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 07, 2021 20:46:40 pmLast Seen:Jun 08, 2021 00:45:18 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

	Respondent No: 150 Login: Registered	Responded At: Jun 08, 202 Last Seen: Jun 08, 202	21 09:19:38 am 21 12:55:44 pm
	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha .akes?	Yes	
fe	s your dock located in one of the areas eligible or an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes	
Q3. V	Vould you like to have a dock?	not answered	
Q4. V	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect of answered	ct you, if at all?	
	Do you think it is acceptable to have private locks on municipal property?	No	
b	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain	Please feel free to clarify your response be I don't think that there should be docks or boat lands period.	
te a	3150 per year, but boathouses would increase o \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and ncrease to boathouses is fair?		
te a ir Q8. V	o \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	ould boathouses have a higher fee than dock	:s?
tu a in Q8. V no Q9. D	o \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and ncrease to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than dock	:s?

Since having a private dock put beside my property, I have seen overcrowding (in a pandemic), Shampooing in the lakes, garbage (incl. Diapers), increased alcohol consumption, sexual acts on the docks, increased screaming, unleashed dogs on my property, boats being moored over night, damages to my property and increased traffic (resulting in theft). People who own the docks have used physical force to remove others from their dock. The city's decision to allow a dock does not match with the TSW's policy for dock placement (15' from the adjoining property). The net net.. while the city thinks they are granting access... in reality they are restricting others from using the waters (including first responders). They are also negatively impacting those who pay waterfront taxes. Do the correct thing, stop private docks on municipal lands. You don't see people building fire pits in the middle of baseball diamonds throughout the community ??? No other region to my knowledge allows private docks to be placed on municipal lands. Eliminate this practice!

	Respondent No: 151 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 08, 2021 10:01:33 amLast Seen:Jun 08, 2021 13:58:05 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: No you can't put a price on the aggravation these private docks cause get rid of them all together
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	No you can't put a price on the aggravation these private docks cause get rid of them all together
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	No you can't put a price on the aggravation these private docks cause get rid of them all together

People walk across my property, I have been actually assaulted because of these docks, they bring nothing but trouble, I hope someone reads this a helps voice my concerns

	Respondent No: 152 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 08, 2021 10:37:11 am Jun 08, 2021 14:26:53 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5.	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fo	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concern Parking/traffic Docks limiting Docks reducin Other (please These need to Treewood land and hid in the weeks ago and	specify) be removed, the c e and the back litter bush. The docks w d are still sitting on	ocks	

These need to be removed immediately, people especially during covid over crowd, swim from the docks to private owned floating rafts and we have even had the person who puts the dock in hit one of our watercraft with their boat and damage it severely. This needs to be accounted for immediately as their is no respect while using the docks and they feel they own the public launch. We have had numerous back litters trespass on our property which is beside the public access and has only created problems and harassment. As a result of a back lotter thinking they own the dock I was actually assaulted on one of these docks which lays on city land and if anything happens again I will be pursuing legal action against the city for not solving the problem that has existed for years and if one of these docks that is on city land is unsafe which they almost all are because they're old then I will be also pursuing legal action on that or any damage that these docks which encroach on the launching ramp cause to any of my property since they did not remove them.

Respondent No: 153 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 08, 2021 13:33:11 pm Jun 08, 2021 17:28:02 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect Had a dock in the past on municipal property and may I	-	the future	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered			
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concern Parking/traffic o	s due to docks concerns due to do	ocks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?			

Coulson Park on Washburn Island has a very good program and lease agreement that we entered in to with the township of Mariposa in the past. It includes liability insurance that each member has to carry as a rider on their own insurance(it was for 2 million but not sure now what the amount is) this insurance should have to be carried by anyone that has a dock on public property to help reduce the risk to the city

	Respondent No: 154 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 08, 2021 18:14:29 pm Jun 08, 2021 20:39:36 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No		
Q8.	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
	I do not think there should be any docks or boathouses	on public lands.	Backlotters do not	pay taxes for waterfront.
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concern Parking/traffic Docks limiting	your access to wat ns due to docks concerns due to do your view of the wa g the attractivenes	ocks

My family has owned property beside a right-of-way all of my life. Over the course of 50+ years I have experienced everything imaginable. As a child I was almost hit by boats coming in as I was swimming. As a mother I have had my children almost hit by boats coming in as they were swimming. We even had one of my son's friends in the water at the end of a ski rope, ready to take off skiing and someone trying to dock their boat ran right over the ski rope! I have watched people trying to launch their boats be told they weren't allowed to as it was a private dock. In fact the backlotter behind us even drilled out a trough in the cement ramp so other people could not launch their boats. He then would bring down ramps to place over the trough so he could launch his own boat. To this day this has not been filled in. Even children coming down to fish were told they were not allowed on the dock as it was private. We have had property damage from people congregating on these docks. People casting off boats, motors won't start and smash into our dock. Trash being dumped on our property. Our own boat was hit by someone attempting to park their boat at the dock on the right of way. People fishing off the dock casting and catching their hooks in our seadoo seat, ripping it. Hedges on our property being cut by backlotters trying to widen the dock area. Unleashed dogs coming down to the dock with their owners and attacking my dog that was tied up. Fireworks being set off the end of the dock and landing on top of my boathouse roof. City of Kawartha Lakes Right Of Way signs are being ripped down so no one else attempts to use the dock. The list goes on and on. If you say anything you fear retaliation from the backlotters who own these docks. In fact I actually noticed last month that the city had placed signs at 2 docks requesting they be removed by a certain date or they would be disposed of (I believe the date said May 10, 2021) and they are still there as of June 7, 2021. People come down and monopolize these docks, not allowing others access to them. They place their belongings all over the dock so that even if they are not physically there no one else can use the dock. Backlotters tie their boats to these docks to prevent others from launching their boats. We have even had to go and look for the owner of a boat that was tied up overnight to ask them to move it so we could launch our own boat. By allowing backlotters to put docks on public land the city is setting itself up for a class action lawsuit. It is only a matter of time before someone is seriously hurt on or because of one of these docks. Leave these public lands as parkland!

	Respondent No: 155 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 09, 2021 08:12:39 amLast Seen:Jun 09, 2021 12:05:47 pm		
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: The cost of having a dock on municipal land, for private use should increase to 500 a year for one dock one boat. There are docks that have the capacity of 20 boats on municipal property		
	Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered			
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10.	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view		

	Respondent No: 156 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 09, 2021 09:59:49 am Jun 09, 2021 13:55:57 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	Lake dalrympl	e	
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would af	fect you, if at all?		
	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered			
	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks reducin	g the attractivenes	s of your property and view
	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? Happy to pay my share to cover costs for keeping public land safe, aesthetically appealing and ecologically sound.			

Respondent No: 157 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 09, 2021 12:23:51 pm Jun 09, 2021 15:45:27 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? not answered				
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?				
the fee should be the same as a dock space. The boathouse has the same ground coverage as dock space and there was no differences in registering them 4 years ago and going back 30 years plus.				
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			

6'spacing between the docks:: I have been paying for my lease on the dock space for over 33 years. Although the 6' space does not involve me I would like to comment. It was mentioned that there have been concerns with dock hopping and arguments. Fences make good neighbours and why should ALL be punished for a Few of the offenders. In our area, the closeness of the docks have made it very friendly and much cooperation in sharing space and sharing of water toys. 3metre from edge of road:: Let me point out that the new beach area on Hazel Street has a railing very close to the road. Let me also point out that I have been here for over 33 years and have never had an issue with the snow plow hitting my stair rail. mentioned that someone could hit the trees and stair rails. That is a BIG WHAT IF. It has not happened and if it did, who is in the wrong. The driver should obey the speed sign and drive with their EYES OPEN. I should not have to worry about all the what ifs because of someone else's carelessness. Back lots 5year and front lots 10year:: My interpretation of this is scary. The city feels that the back lots should lose their dock space because they are not in front of the water. This area has been doing fine with the distribution and managing of the waterfront for many many years and treating back and front lot owners equally. This is a community and it should not be judged by where you live. When the lease of the dock space was managed by a local committee, it was affordable and was managed fairly. Since the city got involved, it has been a big headache for us property owners. There has been a big money grab at the beginning and after many meetings and finally an agreement there has been some relative peace and then another big money grab and more headaches. As a property owner of a dock space, I should not worry every time there is a renewal on what the city will do to create more obstacles in keeping my dock space.

Respondent No: 158 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 09, 2021 18:18:33 pmLast Seen:Jun 09, 2021 22:14:33 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas e for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	igible not answered	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy we not answered	uld affect you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have priva docks on municipal property?	te No	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would in \$150 per year, but boathouses would incr to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fee increase to boathouses is fair?	emain ease	
B. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? Usually there is a boat house and a dock.		
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	due to Yes	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water	
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? We have deeded access on Pickerel Point and the 2 that have docks on it, think they own that property and give others a		

hard time for using that space. This should not be allowed, it is for all in the neighborhood to use.

Respondent No: 159 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 10, 2021 10:14:40 amLast Seen:Jun 10, 2021 14:10:37 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh \$200	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

There should be limits on the length and breadth of docks. Too many stretch up to 50' into the lakes. 20' max more than appropriate. And City needs to publicize these bylaws - via Lake and Road Associations would be useful.

Respondent No: 160 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 11, 2021 21:12:44 pmLast Seen:Jun 12, 2021 00:45:56 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: No I do not think it's good to have a private dock on Municipal property as I pay taxes and I had to get a permit for my dock from the Trent Waterways. One reason I purchased the property was there is no neighbors on the one side .That is was a right away .Now there is two docks and a boat lift in the right of way (Municipal Property) which is about 30 feet wide .The boat lift is so close to my property that I've hit it with my boat coming in for fishing. I pay taxes for the benefits of waterfront property which are higher then the taxes that the people with docks and boat lifts on Municipal Property witch they are getting the same benefits as I do BUT they are getting it for free.
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to Undecided docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?

Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.

Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

Should no be allowed

	Respondent No: 161 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 15, 2021 11:51:16 am Jun 15, 2021 15:38:07 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would afferent of answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Neighbours ha well taken care properties loca docks have alw grass cutting & family. I have r	of and is basically ted on back lots. th vays been maintain tree trimming has	cipal property - this dock is very y used by neighbours on ne docks and areas around the ned by the owners of the dock. All been done by neighbours or our om the municipality care for the
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?		e to clarify your I ppear to be the iss	-
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Other (please The dock in ou percentage of t	r area does not ha he time we have c	ocks we parking and a large ars/trucks/trailers parked on our urse do we have as a property

The dock closest to our property is well maintained presenting a safe place for families on back properties to have access to swimming, water activities as well as safe launches for our neighbours ... We have not had problems with the dock next to our property - there has been a dock at this location for about 5 decades with no safety issues presented. I would be interested in knowing if the municipality is in fact responsible for cutting grass/trimming trees and maintaining the areas in questions ..

Respondent No: 162 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 18, 2021 1 Aug 13, 2021	-
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	Yes			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	Bobcaygeon			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting	your view of the wa	ater	
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end not answered	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal prop	erty?

Respondent No: 163 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 18, 2021 18:09:36 pm Sep 03, 2021 21:56:07 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	l don't live on t	he water but would	I like to
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
People living on waterfront pay a lot more taxes, why r pay \$150 extra if I had to	not use part of the	e tax money instea	d of dinging them again? I would
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	ocks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on i	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 164 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 21, 2021 09:48:59 amLast Seen:Jul 09, 2021 21:31:47 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect none	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

	spondent No: 165 gin: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 21, 2021 10:08:17 am Jun 21, 2021 14:02:55 pm
Q1. Do you cu Lakes?	rrently have a dock in Kawartha	No		
for an agre	ck located in one of the areas eligible eement (please see Additional s for listing)?	not answered		
Q3. Would you	I like to have a dock?	No		
Q4. Where wo	uld you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do yo	ou anticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?		
-	nk it is acceptable to have private municipal property?	Yes		
both dock proposed \$150 per y to \$400 pe administra	the licensing fee is \$150 per year for s and boathouses. Under the amendments dock fees would remain ear, but boathouses would increase r year to help recover additional tive costs. Do you think the fees and b boathouses is fair?	No		
Q8. What do y	ou think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
	re what the licensing fee is even for? I have be a fee, I do not see why boathouses should			oathouse at my own expense. If
-	el that waterfront overcrowding due to n issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided		
-	ve a concern with any of the ' Select all that apply.	not answered		
Q11. Please pro	vide any additional comments to the enc	roachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

where we are located is not directly impacted by private docks. Each homeowner has their own space and uses it accordingly. I know there are other areas where docks are very close together and it is not the most attractive but the enjoyment of the docks by the homeowners is also important. So long as they maintain and follow distancing restrictions I do not see it as an issue.

Respondent No: 166 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 21, 2021 11:30:14 am Jul 28, 2021 13:22:54 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
A boat house carries no extra liability to CKL than a do a boat house. All docks have the same liability clauses.		-	apply an extra fee to a dock with
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	rivate docks on r	nunicipal property?
These docks have been here for decades. Anyone pu	rchasing a house	cottage in the are	ea buys their place fully knowing

the view, private dock policies, etc. It is not up to the city to start to deny dock space that has been in place for generations. Keeping them clean and safe is great, but pulling out or possibly re-structuring existing structures does not make sense. Access to docks for waterfront properties adds to the value of the cottage/house. Cottage/house owners who have dock access have been upgrading their lots and structures over the years far quicker than the residents not on the lake. CWL needs to continue to keep the municipal dock and boat launch in good shape so residents have a place to swim, fish, picnic and not look to trespassing on private docks to do so.

Respondent No: 167 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jun 22, 2021 11:57:29 amLast Seen:Sep 03, 2021 23:04:11 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Νο
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: provided that they are far enough from the roadway and that there is ample parking. Currently on Cedar Glen when docks are in use vehicles are parked along the side of the road and it is not uncommon to have kids "pop out" from between the vehicles onto the active road.
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Cost should be relative to the premium taxes those with their own shoreline pay
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

Respondent No: 168 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jun 25, 2021 18:46:56 pm Jun 25, 2021 22:40:10 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Fees should be in line with marina fees for dock space replacement costs on docks. No insight to boathouses	ace. Municipality	should be chargir	ng enough for maintenance and
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

Municipality should not be subsidizing any costs to this. Costs to be recouped in fees

Respondent No: 169 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 02, 2021 15:06:15 pmLast Seen:Jul 02, 2021 19:04:13 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

	Respondent No: 170 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 02, 2021 15:08:35 pm Aug 27, 2021 19:49:14 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would af I have a boat kept on a trailer and use local waterwa	-	fects me.	
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? S	Should boathouse	es have a higher fo	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	,	ns due to docks concerns due to do	ocks
	. Please provide any additional comments to the with the		-	

sidewalk and curb.

Respondent No: 171 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 02, 2021 15:13:12 pm Aug 13, 2021 18:45:18 pr	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ect you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	nould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the er	ncroachment of	private docks on I	nunicipal property?	

Respondent No: 172 Login: Registered	Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 02, 2021 17:16:27 pm Sep 04, 2021 14:22:44 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect Not at all	you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ld boathouses have a higher fo	ee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic concerns due to do	ocks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end	oachment of private docks on	municipal property?

	Respondent No: 173 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 02, 2021 18:58:42 pm Jul 02, 2021 22:52:23 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? SI	hould boathouse	es have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting	your access to wat	ter	
	. Please provide any additional comments to the en	ncroachment of	private docks on I	municipal property?	

	Respondent No: 174 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 02, 2021 20:15:13 pm Jul 03, 2021 00:06:48 am
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of I	private docks on I	municipal property?

I have had a dock on municipal land for over 45 years and there is no issue. If you do not allow my dock then I would have no way to enjoy the lake properly and it would cut me off of the lake for the 5-6 months that I use it. My deed also allows me to have access to this right of way. There is absolutely no overcrowding as there are 4 right of ways in our community and 4-5 backlots share each right of way closest to them. Please do not pass this bylaw as it would greatly affect my living and enjoying this area if you enact it.

Respondent No: 175 Login: Registered		oonded At: Seen:	Jul 03, 2021 07:11:43 am Aug 17, 2021 03:00:52 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer Possibly may affect my ability to use municipal property	•		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	uld boathouses have	e a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your a Parking/traffic conce		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	roachment of private	e docks on r	nunicipal property?

	Respondent No: 176 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 03, 2021 08:35:02 amLast Seen:Jul 11, 2021 13:22:49 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
07		
	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: I pay \$500/year in Peterborough County for just a 1/2-shared dock on township property and there's a constant waiting list for people to get one. Your fees are way too cheap!
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	I pay \$500/year in Peterborough County for just a 1/2-shared dock on township property and there's a constant waiting list for people to get one. Your fees are way too cheap!
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	I pay \$500/year in Peterborough County for just a 1/2-shared dock on township property and there's a constant waiting list for people to get one. Your fees are way too cheap!

It shouldn't be tolerated! Now with so many GTA'ers now living in the area the overcrowding is becoming prevalent and they're used to getting their way.

	Respondent No: 177 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 03, 2021 13:11:57 pm Jul 03, 2021 17:07:05 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would aff	iect you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? S I think when the property touches municipal land, ie a		-		
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
	. Please provide any additional comments to the e	encroachment of	private docks on	municipal property?	

Respondent No: 178 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 04, 2021 17:42:00 pmLast Seen:Jul 04, 2021 21:35:10 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eli for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	igible Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy wo not answered	uld affect you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have privat docks on municipal property?	te Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would re \$150 per year, but boathouses would incre to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees increase to boathouses is fair?	emain ease
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if a	any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
We believe that the taxes we pay for our prope our dock or boathouse, and we believe that it is	erty, should cover these fees. There is nothing that the City has ever done to s not on city property,
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding o docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	due to No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to	o the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?
The City's proposed plan to not allow hydro a	at the waterfront, and the plan to eliminate Grove Road Cottage Owners to

withdrawl water from Cameron Lake in systems which have been in place for upwards of 100 years, is frankly absurd. We as a group of cottage owners will defend what has been in place for many decades. We do not understand this plan and strongly disagree with it. Thank you,

	Respondent No: 179 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 05, 2021 14:51:00 pmLast Seen:Jul 05, 2021 18:43:36 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: To go from zero to \$400 will affect those on fixed incomes and seniors. Suggest a cap of \$200, starting at \$50 and a 4 year ramp up.
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	To go from zero to \$400 will affect those on fixed incomes and seniors. Suggest a cap of \$200, starting at \$50 and a 4 year ramp up.
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	To go from zero to \$400 will affect those on fixed incomes and seniors. Suggest a cap of \$200, starting at \$50 and a 4 year ramp up.

Quite a bit of confirmation bias in this survey structure. Designed to support the City's view that it should regulate docks. Redo it and get an academic to structure it in the neutral.

Respondent No: 180 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 06, 2021 17:01:40 pmLast Seen:Jul 06, 2021 20:59:40 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Νο
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 181 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 09, 2021 14:50:18 pmLast Seen:Aug 14, 2021 03:55:38 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Yes if your property is directly across the road from the water & have been using & taking care of the space for decades.
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Please feel free to clarify your response below: No. I've been maintaining, cutting the grass, planting trees and using my space in front of my home for many many years. Up until recently there has been no problems.
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Yes. The over crowding is caused by people who think they have deeded access to a specific spot on the water when they actually only have deeded access to access the water for swimming etc.
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Other (please specify) People drive to the lake instead of walking. Cedar Glen is very narrow by the water & parked cars obstruct your vision of the road. If live too far to walk to the water then you do not live close enough to access our waterfront & should be driving to a public beach. The over crowding has caused the areas at open end spaces to limit the access to the water & made it more difficult to launch a boat & go swimming.

As I stated we have been enjoying, maintaining, planting trees & treating our space as if it is part of our property for many decades. This section is private property & we should have Squatters rights to this space. If this property was part of our deed the city would not have to deal with these issues any longer. We would be the registered owners and take care of this ourselves. New residents have been lead to believe by real estate agents they have deeded access to put in a dock. This has caused the issues on Cedar Glen over the last 4-5 years. We even have a dock on our shore that belongs to a home at

they should not be allowed to intrude into our lakefront community. They park multiple cars on the bend of the road way for the full day as if it's a public park. This space is supposed be an open area for the residents to launch their boats & those who are not in front of the water to access for swimming. The only docks that should be allowed on the lake shore are the property owners directly in front of the water & the back lots on the laneways directly in front of the lakeshore. This is they way it was for many decades. All the properties directly across from the water have all payed more in taxes for many years for "Water View". We also paid more for our properties because of our position on the road. Why should we suddenly, be forced to share the space we've been using & maintaining for decades. The residents that have squeezed their docks in and caused the crowding of the original spaces should be removed. This was never the intent of the original plan of the neighbourhood. There has always been open areas to access the lake for swimming. These areas were the intent of the deeded access clause on the residents deeds not a space to install a dock.

Respondent No: 182 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 09, 2021 16:36:51 pmLast Seen:Jul 09, 2021 20:30:54 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? ShI would propose NO FEE and have owners spend sproblem.	buld boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns Other (please specify) I have no concerns noted here and none of our neighbours on the Grove Road have this issue. Docks are beautifully spaced and owners take pride in caring for their waterfront and dock properties.

Here is a copy of my letter sent July 8th 2021... To His Worship Mayor A. Letham, City of Kawartha Lakes Council Members and City Staff, My name is and I, along with my husband are the third generation of the to own for over , Fenelon Falls. I have served as for over . I also serve as a

was the second cottage built in the

. We have just become aware of the proposed dock encroachment policy CP2018-001 and we are thankful that you are conducting a public consultation. In our case, we entered into an Encroachment agreement with the City of Kawartha Lakes for the un-travelled portion of our shoreline road allowance on Grove Road on March 23, 2006. We have liability insurance for our boathouse, pump house, retaining wall, dock and boat rail storage facility and we indemnify CKL harmless from all costs arising out of any claim for damages, expenses, costs, charges, claims, liabilities, indebtedness, and sums, including legal fees for our encroachment onto the Highway (Grove Road). As you may know, the affected owners on Grove Road are very keen to either purchase or license the exclusive use of their waterfront with CKL. If this could be enacted by CKL, it would provide the City with several benefits, including: (a) no more liability (b) a one-time cash infusion for the sale (c) increased long term property tax revenues / licensing dues and (d) relieves the City of the dock monitoring and associated staffing costs to expedite same. The prospect of cutting hydro and water on the Grove shoreline road allowance and the setback requirement of handrails has owners very concerned and stressed at the very thought! For over a hundred years, we have used hydro to pull water and filter it from the lake for our drinking water. As we are on granite, a well is not possible, and we must pull our water from the lake. We have lighting and a handrail to safely navigate the steep decline of our stairs to the boathouse and waterfront. We also have lighting in the boathouse to monitor our water pump if needed at night. We must have railings to support our walk down those stairs as it is steep. Not just for the elderly, but all ages rely on the support of the handrail. How would we access the waterfront safely without the use of the handrail? I can't imagine that you would want to put everyone at risk. We also use hydro for our boat lift to both use and store our boat. I also think that the Byrnell Golf Course would be alarmed as they have a pumphouse on the Grove to water their grounds and replenish their water ponds. We don't understand why it is only Grove Road that has been designated to lose hydro and water access. I understand that the deadline for owners to submit their concerns to CKL is July 31, 2021. As we have only heard about this proposed policy a few weeks ago, please consider extending the time for submissions to late Fall of 2021 as there are owners who have not yet returned this summer due to Covid. This is a very emotional and highly charged issue for all of us who are affected. Thank you sincerely for taking our concerns into consideration. We all hope that licensing the exclusive use or purchasing our waterfronts is possible. Respectfully, and

, Fenelon Falls.

Respondent No: 183 Login: Registered	-	Jul 09, 2021 17:20:38 pm Jul 09, 2021 21:17:48 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect It would not.	you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered		
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns	
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?		

	Respondent No: 184 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 09, 2021 18:41:24 pm Aug 13, 2021 18:45:30 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	In the areas th familiar with Lo shoreline from owners on the piece of prope	ong Beach)so you the big dock going west side of Long rty between the roa	response below: ified (for example, I'm very u have the road following the northwhy can't the property Beach Road actually own that little ad and the water (and hence, pality has to own it).
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No		
Q8.	Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?			
	I would agree to boathouse being a greater feehow about just making it double rather than almost 3 times.			
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Other (please I believe maint looked after th by-laws, then I have seen son docks). Is ther	enance of docks/b ings, or if we could don't think there w ne decrepit looking e a concern if there	oathouses is the key. If people make people look after things via rould be great concerns. But I boathouses (and unsafe looking e is an injury (and because its on ay be legal issues? (just asking)

Respondent No: 185 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 11, 2021 09:01:22 amLast Seen:Aug 20, 2021 17:23:28 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	Yes	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	On the end of my property (live on the lake)	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	et you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered		
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns Other (please specify) \$150 is way too cheap.	
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?	

	Respondent No: 186 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 11, 2021 12:23:29 pm Aug 29, 2021 22:44:50 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered			
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Hickory Beach.....Our family has cottaged here since the 1940's and have always had a dock. **Example** deeded the land to Lot 153 owners which includes all properties south of Edgewood to the lake. The current agreement is working, however the current situation includes many owners north of Edgewood. We do not want to lose our generations of usage and would only recommend that if crowding is the issue, we go back and honour Mr. Golden's wishes of Lot 153 owners only, which we strongly feel should have been the case moving forward when the Hickory Beach Dock Association began. Kind regards

	Respondent No: 187 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 12, 2021 19:50:34 pm Jul 12, 2021 23:43:43 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? I think it is very difficult and unfair to have one policy for all areas as each shoreline is different. Some docks and boathouses have existed for over 100 years near the water with zero issues on certain small cottage roads. Thank you.					

Respondent No: 188 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 13, 2021 10:53:10 amLast Seen:Jul 13, 2021 17:18:25 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?
not answered	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: My understanding is that the area up to the high watermark on the shore is under Federal jurisdiction. Our dock and boathouse are lower than the high watermark, therefore on Federal water, not Municipal land. So I question CKL licensing our dock and boathouse. Should our licenses be with the Federal government who are responsible for the Trent-Severn Waterway?

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q8}}$. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Not in the Grove Road area.
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the	Safety concerns due to docks
following? Select all that apply.	Other (please specify)
	The proposal for Grove Road forbidding any hydro or plumbing on
	the lake side of the road is a safety concern. Lights, boat lifts, lights
	for navigating etc. are needed and our well is at lake level and
	since we are on a granite outcropping, drilling a new well is an
	impossibility.

Basically I feel our dock and boathouse are not encroaching on municipal property, but is under federal jurisdiction. I feel the proposals are going to be difficult to manage by Realty Services and unnecessary. There is no mention here about the liability issues, but I believe each cottage owner already has liability coverage for that area and could provide that information or correct it. I also am concerned that we (Cameron Lake South Shores Association CLSSA) only learned about these recent proposals on 23 June which gives us only a little over a month to respond to this policy. I hope we can continue our good relations with CKL as in the past. We have owned our cottage for 104 years. Our boathouse was built in 1910 and is still solid. Thank you for allowing our input.

		Respondent No: 189 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 13, 2021 17:49:25 pm Jul 31, 2021 20:32:46 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes	a currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Undecided		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	attly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	it costs 2000 a	and owner I know t	response below: rina,so I feel it is way to cheap.As he amount of taxes I pay.They are
	What on the second seco	lo you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	2	a feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	2	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	ns due to docks concerns due to do g the attractivenes	ocks s of your property and view

People living right across from lake and having a dock in front of their place across the road are not paying waterfront taxes, but enjoying the amenities of it. When they have guests or even homes from further away come to use docks you can barely and unsafely get up road. Fires on the little strip of property they are using are almost on road its so narrow. Unused docks sitting on side of road are unsightly as well. This is in reference to Cedar Glen Docks

Respondent No: 190 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 14, 2021 08:57:41 amLast Seen:Jul 14, 2021 11:37:53 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered

Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all?

I own 2 waterfront lots and 2 back lots on Cedar Glen. I do not have a dock for either back lot. However if I choose to ever sell these backlots they currently have a much lower resale value that the backlots that are dock squatting. Yet I pay the same taxes. One local real estate agent has told backlot owners that they can ask more if they have a dock. This has caused quite a problem in the past, last year when one owner without a dock was selling they tried to install a dock on the already overcrowded waterfront, the confrontation escalated and the police were called.

- Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?
- No
- Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?

Please feel free to clarify your response below:

I think the fees should be far higher. You don't mention boat hoists as some of these are very large and take up more shoreline than a dock. Compared to the taxes that waterfront owners pay to what backlot owners who will have dedicated access with a dock permit, the comparison is not equitable.

Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?

Please feel free to clarify your response below:

YES I feel it is a huge problem. The GofC Department of Transport -Navigable waters Protection Act 4.1(a), (b) states that docks and boat lifts (works) must be 5 metres of adjoining property lines at the ordinary high water mark 4.1(a) and the works are not within 10 m of dock, boathouse or other structure that is in, on, over through or across the navigable water and that is not owned by the owner of the works 4.1(b). I would assume 4.1(b) would apply where no property line is in effect and that therefore two works must be a least 10 metres apart as stated above. On Cedar Glen the works are extremely close together making swimming and docking watercraft extremely dangerous. This extreme overcrowding of docks is very unsightly with all of the water toys and junk that sits on the shore. Many of the docks on this road have built large onshore decks with no building permits, damaging the shoreline in the process. These decks not only take up large spaces of shoreline, many are within feet of the edge of the road causing dangerous situations with vehicles.

Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks

Other (please specify)

So many backlot owners have claimed the waterfront on Cedar Glen Road that there is NO PUBLIC ACCESS left for the remainder of the backlot owners without docks. On weekends the road becomes almost impassable with parked vehicles. This is extremely dangerous for walkers. This is also a problem for vehicles coming and going, as there is nowhere for vehicles to pass with the parked vehicles taking up one side of the road. Many have also added fire pits which accumulate items to be burned at sometime in the future in the meantime they are unsightly. Some of these squatters have numerous water toys, campfire wood, old docks and junk piled in and around their deck/dock. This area looks junky and denigrates the whole area.

Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

I am a 3rd generation cottage whose family has been on Cedar Glen for over 70 years. For years the only docks on the public lands of this road were owned by the properties directly across the road. When the back lots were sold and developed the owners took it upon themselves to place docks in this area. Some of these owners have said their deed claims they have deeded access, but on both of the deeds for my back lots which would be the same as theirs It is stated that we have "a right of way in common with all others entitled thereto over a 66 feet road allowance as shown on said Registered Plan Number 163." the same is said for "Registered Plan Number 209". There is not statement regarding deeded water access only road access.

Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.

Respondent No: 191 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 14, 2021 20:04:18 pm Jul 14, 2021 23:49:48 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

I bought my "back lot" property as a primary residence in CKL under the assumption I could have a dock. I went through the process of leasing an unattended, run down section of shoreline and refurbished it better than it was. I purchased a dock and boat lift under the assumption I was investing in something that my family could enjoy indefinitely. When CKL said I needed to jump through hoops and insure it to their liking, I did just that because it was worth the effort. My dock space, while within the road allowance, does not affect road maintenance. My spot is at the bottom of a 15ft cliff. I could have a houseboat docked and it would not block line of sight to the houses across the road. This shouldn't matter anyway as these "front lot" residents bought into the area as it is. "Dock hopping" is a zero liability to CKL due to the insurance CKL had us all get, aside from the fact it simply doesn't happen and is a non-issue.

	Respondent No: 192 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 14, 2021 20:54:15 pm Aug 30, 2021 18:16:08 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	I've lived here dock space ha house I was to number of yrs didn't own the	is always gone with Id it's deaded acce ago and asked abo spot and the trent	response below: yrsmy house is 100 yrs oldmy this housewhen I bought my essI called the town n the trent a but a permit and was told the town said they didn't so a permit was ease tell me so I can get one
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?		ee to clarify your ne property then no	response below: if it's municipal property then yes
Q8.	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
	not answered			
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concern	ns due to docks	
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on	municipal property?

I would like to get an answer about my deeded access..I've looked after n maintained the dock n area for 25 yrs

Respondent No: 193 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 14, 2021 21:34:28 pmLast Seen:Jul 15, 2021 01:07:48 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	not answered
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

As a resident that has completed everything requested by the city of Kawartha Lakes to complete the licensing process, I have earned the right to this small dock that is licensed to me. There were additional costs to those of us with docks (licensing and insurance). To say, that for this privilege to be taken away after such a short period of time is an injustice, would be an understatement.

Respondent No: 194 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 14, 2021 22:09:50 pmLast Seen:Jul 15, 2021 01:58:29 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

on decks and docks along with up keep. In return these dock spaces add value to our houses and cottages. With out our spaces our home values will decrease

Respondent No: 195 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 14, 2021 23:31:06 pm Jul 15, 2021 03:11:35 am		
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No				
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered				
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No				
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered				
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all?					
I own a back lot and this new dock policy on cedar glen road would devalue my property. Not having the ability to access the value of my property.					

Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for	No
	both docks and boathouses. Under the	
	proposed amendments dock fees would remain	
	\$150 per year, but boathouses would increase	
	to \$400 per year to help recover additional	
	administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	
	increase to boathouses is fair?	

Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

a) you need to install a public dock for the remainder of the cottagers on Cedar Glen Road b) fees should be equivalent to or close to what others with waterfront properties are being taxed. c) you are in essence giving the individuals exclusive access to a strip of public property d) they will post do not trespass signs and claim as their own e) you need to monitor the situation; cedar glen road is out of control

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

You need to install a government dock on Cedar Glen Road and a public dock launch so the remainder of the home owners along the road WILL HAVE ACCESS TO THIS STRIP OF PROPERTY. These home owners will be paying the same taxes as the individuals that you will be giving docks. You need to fine **Constitution** as she has been selling houses along Cedar Glen Road on the backlots and telling people that they have a dock; when she posts a house she installs a sign at the dock with the house number. You cannot give people private docks on municipal property and not tax them same as everyone else. You cannot give people private docks and not provide the remainder of the residence with access to a public dock and boat launch.

Respondent No: 196 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 15, 2021 07:26:14 amLast Seen:Jul 15, 2021 11:20:09 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: There should be a few docks for public use. It is a very small stretch of property on cedar Glen. There shouldn't be multiple docks
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view Other (please specify) Along cedar Glen it is a huge safety concern and it is unsightly. There have been a few altercations due to dock placements.

Along cedar Glen it is unsightly, trees have been cut down, it is over crowded, fights have broken out, and it is a mess. People who don't even live on the road have put a dock in. We use to have two boat launches but people have built docks over them. I am for a regulated system that can benefit everyone along the road.

	Respondent No: 197 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 16, 2021 16:00:20 pmLast Seen:Jul 05, 2021 15:28:59 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligib for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	le not answered
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	At my property.
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would not answered	affect you, if at all?
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would rema \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees an increase to boathouses is fair?	in 9
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any	? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	to Yes
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

This should not be permitted.

	Respondent No: 198 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 16, 2021 16:36:24 pm Aug 17, 2021 20:11:35 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer Allowing private docks on public property would affect options for the general public.	•	ng areas and inter	fere with views and recreational
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concern Parking/traffic	your access to wat ns due to docks concerns due to do your view of the wa	ocks

Respondent No: 199 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 16, 2021 17:20:04 pm Sep 03, 2021 20:29:52 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kar Lakes?	wartha Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the a for an agreement (please see Additi Resources for listing)?	-		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your	dock? not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock po not answered	licy would affect you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have docks on municipal property?	e private Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 p both docks and boathouses. Under proposed amendments dock fees w \$150 per year, but boathouses woul to \$400 per year to help recover add administrative costs. Do you think t increase to boathouses is fair?	the vould remain ld increase ditional		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable not answered	fee, if any? Should boathous	es have a higher fo	ee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrow docks is an issue for Kawartha Lake	-		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of following? Select all that apply.	the No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comm	nents to the encroachment of	private docks on	municipal property?

Respondent No: 200 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 16, 2021 17:39:50 pmLast Seen:Jul 16, 2021 21:34:04 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 201 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 17, 2021 07:38:20 amLast Seen:Jul 24, 2021 11:05:05 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: As long as the structure is kept to an aproved size and removeable.
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Please feel free to clarify your response below: fee's for a dock should be \$200 per and Boat house \$300
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Docks should be limited in lenght and width, and 1 per house hold
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water

At what rate are Boat Lifts taxed at? How many are aloud per household?

Respondent No: 202 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 17, 2021 11:11:56 amLast Seen:Jul 17, 2021 14:42:38 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would aff not answered	ect you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private	
docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: In my opinion it should only be acceptable if the property owners are paying a fair price to use the waterfront. As a highly taxed waterfront property owner, I resent the fact that throughout the Cof KL, there are property owners who have essentially laid claim to waterfront property on municipal lands who are not paying their fair share for the use of that land. I believe that the city is missing out on a major source of lost revenue in these situations.

to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and

\$150 per year, but boathouses would increase

increase to boathouses is fair?

Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

If the structures are permanent, whether docks or boathouses, fees should be higher than for removable docks. There is not a "one size fits all" solution to this situation. Some people have a single dock on a section of lakefront adjacent to many other similar installations. In other cases, there are property owners located on the opposite side of a road allowance or rail trail who have essentially claimed the waterfront on the other side of the allowance. They essentially have waterfront property at a far lower level of property taxes. They should be paying much more than \$400 for this privilege.

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?

Please feel free to clarify your response below:

Strictly from observation, I suspect this is a concern in some areas. This is a very complex situation.

Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.

Other (please specify)

My main concern is that the city is missing out on huge amounts of revenue from property owners who are not paying their fair share for the use of waterfront property on public land.

Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 203 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 17, 2021 13:01:48 pm Jul 17, 2021 16:32:02 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
No, boathouses should not. The administration costs s "extra administration" is needed?	should not increa	se because it is a	boathouse and not a dock. W	Vhat
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on	municipal property?	

Respondent No: 204 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 18, 2021 12:32:17 pmLast Seen:Jul 07, 2021 21:57:42 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

Respondent No: 205 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 18, 2021 12:45:39 pmLast Seen:Jul 18, 2021 16:41:57 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en Docks should be limited, controlled and insured.an as the responsibility off the city.	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 206 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 19, 2021 15:31:02 pm Jul 19, 2021 19:24:55 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

As a family, we have owned property on Pavillion Rd., and leased and maintained "our" dock on Hazel for 20+ years, without incident. The access to the lake was the primary reason for purchasing the property and also why we complied, in good faith, providing liability insurance and increased lease fees among other things, when the new realty division took over managing the leases a couple of years ago. We've enjoyed being a part of this lakefront community and have forged great, lifelong friendships in our time here, and we are devastated that the council would consider us ineligible to continue to do so at our next renewal, as we are considered "backlot owners". We've made significant investments to our property in Thurstonia specifically because of the lake access. While the CoKL may have some concerns, we believe that they can be addressed, as needed, without the significant changes being suggested here.

Respondent No: 207 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 20, 2021 12:52:20 pmLast Seen:Sep 06, 2021 17:11:28 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: My family is 4 generations on the property adjoining this lakefront we have always had a dock. How is it determined who needs a license and who doesn't?
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Why are some people having to pay and others are free?
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Other (please specify) There is no issue. Everyone along our lakefront has been putting in docks for a century.
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

This has never been an issue in our area.

Respondent No: 208 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 20, 2021 17:25:35 pmLast Seen:Jul 20, 2021 21:14:47 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect Access to the lake(s), overcrowding on the shoreline	st you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Only if there is adequate compensation to the municipality and docks are built and used safely. Often I see large decks built in front of the docks for socializing. I don't see where this is being addressed. It appears that the area is private.
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Other (please specify) Shoreline appears to be privately owned but it is municipal property so users should have to pay for that area. Decks, furniture, fire pits, storage bins etc.

I agree with revoking permits if dock owners get violent or who harass others.

Respondent No: 209 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 21, 2021 12:07:08 pmLast Seen:Jul 21, 2021 15:59:04 pm		
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?		
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?			

I have had a dock in the same place for decades and have had no problem with encroachments until my neighbor bought a bigger boat and now cannot fit it into his dock which is sideways on his land attachment instead of straight out, without bumping into my dock. He has demanded I shorten my dock for his access. I feel some things should be grandfathered to the land and access to the dock should be directly in front of your property instead of constant use of other's lake front.

	oondent No: 210 n: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 21, 2021 16:10:01 pm Jul 29, 2021 20:51:18 pm
Q1. Do you curr Lakes?	ently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes		
-	< located in one of the areas eligible ement (please see Additional for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you I	ike to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where woul	d you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you not answered	anticipate this dock policy would affeo	ct you, if at all?		
-	k it is acceptable to have private unicipal property?	Yes		
both docks proposed ar \$150 per yea to \$400 per administrati	e licensing fee is \$150 per year for and boathouses. Under the mendments dock fees would remain ar, but boathouses would increase year to help recover additional ve costs. Do you think the fees and boathouses is fair?	No		
Q8. What do you	u think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
-	that waterfront overcrowding due to issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
-	e a concern with any of the Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please prov	ide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Real estate division needs to stop harassing long term dock owners and stop their high handed attitude

	Respondent No: 211 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 21, 2021 17:23:28 pm Jul 21, 2021 21:20:59 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer Socks encroaching onnmunicipal waterfront that I use	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerr Parking/traffic	your access to wat ns due to docks concerns due to do your view of the wa	ocks	

	Respondent No: 212 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 21, 2021 19:56:23 pm Jul 21, 2021 23:52:33 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	In Bobcaygeor	n in an accessible a	area with parking.	
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.		ns due to docks concerns due to do	ocks	
	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? Many of these private docks/boathouses are also unsightly areas for storage of garbage, building materials, and/or				

vehicles. Private docks are fine if they're maintained appropriately

	Respondent No: 213 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 21, 2021 20:20:22 pmLast Seen:Jul 22, 2021 00:13:27 am
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
07	Currently the licensing for is \$150 per year for	
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Private docks should not be allowed on municipal property
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	Private docks should not be allowed on municipal property
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	Private docks should not be allowed on municipal property

Additional docks result in more boat traffic on already overcrowded waterways


	Respondent No: 214 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 21, 2021 20:51:50 pm Jul 22, 2021 00:49:07 am	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	Thurstonia			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
	Please provide any additional comments to the en	-			to

obtain a dock lease from the City. If the leases are discontinued for backlot owners, it will bring down property values.

Respondent No: 215 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 22, 2021 09:51:02 amLast Seen:Jul 22, 2021 13:46:50 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

I like the idea of being able to terminate an application or license if someone is being abusive. However, I'd be interested to know what kind of hoops we all need to jump through to have this done. With all the city people moving here and kinda taking over, it'll be nice to have it better regulated because they don't seem to have the same respect for others that long time residents do, their entitlement is staggering. I think \$150 is still pretty low considering the cost of everything else these days. \$250 would give us more revenue and if someone can afford a boat, they can afford that fee. Thank you for taking the time to ask the public for our opinions.

Respondent No: 216 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 22, 2021 09:58:18 am Jul 22, 2021 13:56:48 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses	have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of pri	ivate docks on r	nunicipal property?



Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all?

Yes. The ability to walk along the public lands are significantly impaired when the docks are being stored on public lands. You literally have to climb over the docks on narrow public land allowances for 7-8 months of the year.

Q6.	- ,	Please feel free to clarify your response below:		
	docks on municipal property?	Only for those whose properties border the public lands with clear restrictions on distancing between docks and the size of dock allowed.		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for	Please feel free to clarify your response below:		
	both docks and boathouses. Under the	These fees should absolutely cover all municipal costs associated		
	proposed amendments dock fees would remain	with administering and enforcing the by-laws. These are public		
	\$150 per year, but boathouses would increase	lands and should be open to members of the community to enjoy.		
	to \$400 per year to help recover additional	Some dockowners quickly migrate to treating the property as their		
	administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	own personal property refusing other residents access or even		
	increase to boathouses is fair?	walking in the area. These are public lands!!!!		

Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?

Please feel free to clarify your response below:

Currently in a number of locations the docks are so crowded it is almost impossible to access the water by other community members to launch a canoe or paddle board or even go bathing. The number of water craft associated with private docks in a number of cases makes the 6 foot separation much too restrictive to ensure public access. If the CKL intends to move forward with this proposal they need to implement a maximum percentage of linear water access coverage that private docks can consume of the total linear footprint of water access at the specific location. This would follow a similar requirement for private lands where the footprint of structures can only consume a certain percentage of the total lands without a variance. However on public lands the percentage should be far less.

Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.

Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks

Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

Many private dock owners are realizing a personal monetary advantage when selling their residential properties. They sell their properties with the inclusion of water access and private dock. This also disadvantages other residents wanting a dock on the public lands as the new owners automatically get a private dock on public lands while other longtime residents awaiting an opening do not get the opportunity to acquire a dock. As stated above, the off season storage of docks should not be on the public lands as this significantly impairs access to the public lands by others who are not fortunate enough to have a dock. These are public lands, of which there are very few relative to the total shoreline on our lakes. Any consideration for this proposal should approach the situation with the viewpoint of enhancing general public access as many residents do not have direct water access.

	Respondent No: 218 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 22, 2021 14:48:28 pm Jul 22, 2021 18:38:04 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would af It might in the future.	fect you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? S	Should boathouse	s have a higher f	ee than docks?	
	Maybe \$250. \$400 is too much. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.			ificant problems with the doc	cks
Q11	.Please provide any additional comments to the	encroachment of	private docks on	municipal property?	

I only see a small part of Kawartha Lakes. I don't know what goes on everywhere.

	Respondent No: 219 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 22, 2021 19:37:34 pm Jul 22, 2021 23:29:07 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concern Parking/traffic	your access to wat is due to docks concerns due to do g the attractiveness	

We live next to a right of way where there are 3 or 4 private docks. Some are run down and look to be very unsafe yet people in the neighborhood walk on them. Some are extremely close to our own private dock which also makes privacy a concern. These regulations make me want to take advantage and put a dock and boathouse next to mine to extend my property and gain some privacy. I don't see anything preventing me from doing this.

Respondent No: 220 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 22, 2021 19:50:37 pmLast Seen:Jul 28, 2021 20:25:53 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Other (please specify) We have a problem with neighbours having multiple docks with non residing family members boats docked permanently there
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

	Respondent No: 221 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 23, 2021 08:46:14 am Jul 23, 2021 12:33:50 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?		ee to clarify your i	-
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	nould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concern	ns due to docks	
	. Please provide any additional comments to the en	ncroachment of	private docks on	municipal property?

	Respondent No: 222 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 23, 2021 10:55:39 am Jul 23, 2021 14:50:06 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerr Parking/traffic Docks limiting Docks reducin Other (please	specify)	ocks

Who is liable for accidents on these docks?

Respondent No: 223 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 23, 2021 11:13:56 amLast Seen:Aug 07, 2021 00:29:19 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She Boathouse should not be penalized	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

	Respondent No: 224 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 23, 2021 13:26:17 pm Aug 16, 2021 00:31:58 am
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas elig for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	gible not answered		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	Scugog River		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy wou not answered	IId affect you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	e Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would rer \$150 per year, but boathouses would increa to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees increase to boathouses is fair?	main ase		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if an not answered	ny? Should boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding do docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	ue to No		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
	. Please provide any additional comments to not answered	the encroachment of p	private docks on I	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 225 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 23, 2021 16:14:44 pmLast Seen:Jul 23, 2021 20:10:20 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Νο
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 226 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 24, 2021 01:20:29 amLast Seen:Jul 24, 2021 05:05:40 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	Yes
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Docks limiting your view of the water
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

Should any dock located on public property not be a public dock?! Who comes up with these rules which allow the private use of public land?!! How about getting rid of every single private dock on public land and opening these spaces back up for public use and access? While we're at it, let's change an annual fee for all of these affluent seasonal waterfront residents who wish to put a dock in the water! They purchased the land, not the lake!!! All private docks should all require inspections and permits. These menaces of shoreline ecology have gone unchecked long enough.

Respondent No: 227 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 24, 2021 10:51:15 am Jul 24, 2021 14:38:30 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

I feel that the docks have been regulated by the city to the point where the remaining docks are maintained by the leaseholders to a very high standard. The waterfront at Thurstonia is well used, attractive, safe and can continue to be a wonderful place for the families who live and cottage there. I feel that the city is now exerting undo stress upon the people who have docks and boathouses. Please grandfather the leases, stop pitting lake-facing lots against back lots, and let people here enjoy their lake time with their families for another hundred years. Respectfully,

		Respondent No: 228 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 24, 2021 11:08:56 am Aug 23, 2021 18:52:09 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	No		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
		o you anticipate this dock policy would affe and enjoying water and nature	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain her year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?		ee to clarify your i	response below: nould be used to lease docks or
	What of answ	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	· ·	your view of the wa	ater s of your property and view
Q11	Please	e provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of J	private docks on I	nunicipal property?

Municipal property should be equitably accessible for the enjoyment of all residents, not for the lease/rental for some.

	Respondent No: 229 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 24, 2021 18:19:50 pm Jul 24, 2021 22:16:40 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerr	your access to wat ns due to docks concerns due to do		
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on i	municipal property?	

Respondent No: 230 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 25, 2021 10:55:20 amLast Seen:Jul 25, 2021 14:51:53 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?
My concern is the use by people so far away that th	ey need to drive to use the dock they have put in. Please register

cedar glen back cottages that have had a dock for decades peacefull and respectfully. The parking by well distanced users along cedar glen is a hazard for kids that have been able to check for traffic but are now blocked seeing oncoming traffic because of cars parked with boat trailers

Respondent No: 231 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 26, 2021 10:47:08 amLast Seen:Aug 20, 2021 20:50:44 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

Respondent No: 232 Login: Registered		Responded At: ast Seen:	Jul 26, 2021 12:18:16 pm Jul 26, 2021 14:54:30 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses h	nave a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns of Parking/traffic cor		cks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of priv	vate docks on n	nunicipal property?

My comments refer to Long Beach were some dock owners seem to think they own the road. Vehicles parked on the road stop traffic Pedestrians walk on the road with total disregard for traffic. Docks, decks sun umbrellas etc are positioned very close to the road. There is virtually no road allowance on the lake side in places.

	Respondent No: 233 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 26, 2021 12:46:36 pm Jul 26, 2021 16:38:23 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	I have a boat i		response below: a dock with a hoist.I dont consider i should pay \$400.00 .
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Many lots seen permits showin or clearing the or have it rene government do person even p using it with no	ng and leaving gart beach.I dont think wed.We also have ock for weeks on er ut a two seado hois	the are used by people with no page on the lot.Never cutting grass those parties should get a permit people docking their boats at the nd so not paying permit.One st in the lake with no permit and is we had an inspector who would go
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.		rn is all the docks I ole should have to	ying at the side of the road when move them to their own property

Simply ticket the parties abusing the system and leaving garbage on the parts they use permit or no permit.

Respondent No: 234 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 26, 2021 17:52:23 pmLast Seen:Aug 15, 2021 18:17:33 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Please feel free to clarify your response below: The current area for our boat is what we considered to be a permanent dock. If the municipality were to remove it we'd be happy to have our dock and a boat lift.
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

Within our dock proximity/area we have a dock that has been unused for some time - rusting and sitting ashore, a dock that has been left with garbage and personal items for weeks and a dock next to us with a large pontoon boat (we understand a back lot has rented to a neighbor who already has a dock - for docking pontoon).

Respondent No: 235 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 27, 2021 07:15:39 amLast Seen:Jul 27, 2021 11:12:37 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 236 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 27, 2021 11:36:24 amLast Seen:Jul 27, 2021 14:37:00 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: I do believe that there should be an additional charge for having a boathouse on "municipal" property. Although I do not understand what the Additional Administrative cost are. Could you please clarify or give a breakdown.
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic concerns due to docks
following? Select an that appry.	

Respondent No: 237 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 27, 2021 13:50:20 pm Jul 27, 2021 17:15:33 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			

This comment is specific to Cedar Glen Rd. Front lot owners are seeking to purchase the shorefront road allowance from the city. If the city entertains this action then there must be consideration for fairness to back lot owners who have used the road allowance for docks for decades (just as front lot owners have). Excluding historic Cedar Glen dock owners from the benefits of the Encroachment bylaw by selling the road allowance may make the city's life simpler but is unfair and inequitable unless historic dock owners are accommodated by those purchasing the land. That might mean that the city mandates, through the sale, that backlot owners be afforded, by the front lot owners, the same rights of access that the Encroachment bylaw was to provide. This might be through deeded access or licensing using the city bylaw details.

Respondent No: 238 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 27, 2021 16:35:28 pmLast Seen:Jul 27, 2021 20:28:22 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
	athouse especially when our dock is clean and not interfering with athouse compared to what I see along the shore by the government
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

As mentioned some docks are very unsafe and I'm surprised they haven't been taken out as what was supposed to happen a couple of years ago. We did our due diligence and submitted all the necessary paperwork and got the required insurance and nothing had happened to other docks that didn't do this work. I'm sure Covid delayed things but it's very unattractive along the government dock area especially in the winter when everyone leaves their docks on the side of the road. We take pride in our dock space and shouldn't be penalized with a price increase.

Respondent No: 239 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 27, 2021 18:44:08 pmLast Seen:Aug 20, 2021 22:47:24 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered

Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all?

It could open up green space if some are removed.

Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: There are a number of areas within the City of Kawartha Lakes which have private docks on city property that are unmaintained and undocumented. Private docks on city property are extremely unregulated and pose a liability to the city. We cannot have all taxpayers suffering the costs of these liabilities. I feel the city should carefully monitor and enforce strict guidelines regarding construction and maintenance of docks as well as ensuring that any and all liabilities surrounding any private docks be solely the responsibly of the dock owner. I feel it has taken too long to address issues regarding private docks. I feel that the private docks on undocumented properties should be removed and that not all city owned waterfront properties should have docks on
		them.
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	Please feel free to clarify your response below: I feel that fees should be raised for both, in a more balanced manner. Everyone can help cover the additional costs.

Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

not answered

increase to boathouses is fair?

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to Yes docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?

Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.

Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks

Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

Get rid of the docks on properties that should not have any.

	Respondent No: 240 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 27, 2021 19:43:11 pn Jul 27, 2021 23:39:44 pn	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligit for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would Enjoyment of municipal property adjacent to water	-			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would rema \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees ar increase to boathouses is fair?	ain e			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any not answered	? Should boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	to Undecided			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting	your access to wat	er	
	. Please provide any additional comments to th	ne encroachment of	private docks on I	nunicipal property?	

Respondent No: 241 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 27, 2021 21:28:29 pmLast Seen:Jul 28, 2021 01:24:58 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

If you are adjacent to the water I would say that you should have full access to the water. Many people buy houses in the area with "docks" as long as they are maintained they should be allowed to keep them. The owner should also have full legal right and responsibility to whom and what is allowed on the dock.

Respondent No: 242 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 27, 2021 21:53:38 pmLast Seen:Jul 28, 2021 01:43:54 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

people should be allowed to use the water as they have for many years before. most people have bought places with docks that have been used for a long time. make it easy to liscence them! also if this is going to be enforced it needs to be enforced city wide. picking on a select few areas isnt right, this needs to be across the board. being only complaint based means that one bad neighbour can cause alot of problems for one person yet their neighbour next door is left alone. how is that fair! this is 2021 everyone needs to be treated equally

	Respondent No: 243 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 28, 2021 09:41:04 am Jul 16, 2021 19:59:45 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	25. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? road maintenance may be hindered			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?		ee to clarify your i should be for publi	r esponse below: c use, not private use.
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	3. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered			
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting	your access to wat	ter
	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? Can I build a shed on municipal property? What about a deck?			

Respondent No: 244 Login: Registered	Respond Last Seer	ed At: Jul 28, 2021 10:26:25 am Aug 21, 2021 11:51:01 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all?		

Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?

not answered

Please feel free to clarify your response below:

The licensing system implemented by the city is a good way to manage the partnership between dock users and the city. With the skyrocketing costs of waterfront properties throughout the region, it is essential the city maintains waterfront accessibility for all, particularly for Thurstonia, which has evolved and flourished around it's waterfront access through it's history. If the city takes away the docks from the backlot owners, this Hamlet may lose it's uniqueness and inclusiveness. Front lot owners having exclusive access to the waterfront will blunt the attractiveness and desire for future real estate investors to buy and improve the backlot homes and cottages. The City of Kawartha Lakes, like all modern municipalities throughout Canada are striving to implement inclusiveness and equal access to all, to the best of their abilities, granting exclusive waterfront rights to a small group of homes while excluding the majority in Thurstonia would be a mistake

- Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?
- Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

Yes

not answered

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?

Please feel free to clarify your response below: Not with the current licensing system in place

Thurstonia is unique, the community should continue as it is, I restate my comment from question #3 below The licensing system implemented by the city is a good way to manage the partnership between dock users and the city. With the skyrocketing costs of waterfront properties throughout the region, it is essential the city maintains waterfront accessibility for all, particularly for Thurstonia, which has evolved and flourished around it's waterfront access through it's history. If the city takes away the docks from the backlot owners, this Hamlet may lose it's uniqueness and inclusiveness. Front lot owners having exclusive access to the waterfront will blunt the attractiveness and desire for future real estate investors to buy and improve the backlot homes and cottages. The City of Kawartha Lakes, like all modern municipalities throughout Canada are striving to implement inclusiveness and equal access to all, to the best of their abilities, granting exclusive waterfront rights to a small group of homes while excluding the majority in Thurstonia would be a mistake

Respondent No: 245 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 28, 2021 12:00:12 pmLast Seen:Jul 28, 2021 15:56:25 pm			
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	e No			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? not answered				
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?				
8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered				
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	o Yes			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Docks limiting your view of the water			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?				

I believe that ONLY owners with adjacent properties should be allowed to place private docks on municipal property.

Respondent No: 246 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 28, 2021 17:32:13 pmLast Seen:Jul 28, 2021 20:44:01 pm			
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? not answered				
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Νο			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?			
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view			

We have been addressing this issue for the past 3 yrs approx. We own a property on Salmon Lake . According to information you provided in your Proposed amendments to Dock Encroachment Policy...you stated " Back lot owners elsewhere in the Municipality other than those areas stated in you Policy, will not be approved for a licensing agreement as access to water is limited and , our shoreline areas do not have enough space to accommodate everyone ." Currently the back lot owner on Salmon Lake has constructed approximately 50' of unsafe dock on a very small portion of unopened road allowance leading to water (approx 30' wide). This small portion of unopened road allowance just so happens to be the top portion of our driveway (which is part of the unopened road allowance). On any given weekend , you can see many people on the dock with a gazebo , coolers etc...and a couple of boats and water toys. The boat is tied there all summer....

Respondent No: 247 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 28, 2021 19:55:49 pmLast Seen:Jul 28, 2021 23:46:07 pm			
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawa Lakes?	ha Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the area for an agreement (please see Addition Resources for listing)?	-			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your do	k? not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock polic not answered	would affect you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have p docks on municipal property?	ivate No			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees woul \$150 per year, but boathouses would it to \$400 per year to help recover additi administrative costs. Do you think the increase to boathouses is fair? 	\$150 for a dock if far too cheap. With waterfront property I pay a huge amount in taxes. These owners get the benefits of waterfront crease without the cost. Try to rent at a marina for a season.			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered				
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowd docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes'	ig due to Yes			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view			

Municipal property is for public use, not private use.

		Respondent No: 248 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 28, 2021 21:43:03 pm Jul 29, 2021 01:34:15 am
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	No		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	Hickory Beach		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affect	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain her year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered				
Q9.	-	I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	-	a have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Safety concerr	is due to docks	
Q11	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?				

Some dock owners in the Hickory beach area who do not have back lot dock spaces as part of the original road allowance and are treating the dock as a vacation property, sitting with lawn chairs and coolers for the day, driving sport utility vehicles on the road allowance repeatedly all day over the weekends. There does not appear to be any guidelines on dock shape, length or width in spaces.

Respondent No: 249 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 28, 2021 22:08:15 pmLast Seen:Jul 29, 2021 01:45:21 am				
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes				
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No				
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered				
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered				
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? not answered					
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes				
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes				
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	28. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered				
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes				
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks				

I live in western Trent. There is a common area behind our house which myself and next door neighbour maintain the town property. I have lived here for six years. The neighbourhood is changing with more younger families living and using the common area. My neighbour and myself own the dock, maintain it, have allowed other neighbours to freely use the dock and park boats. However... the amount of people traffic has increased as not only more families but neighbours from other areas using the dock. Since this has now become a " community area" why don't you have each common area in western Trent as a community association where residence pay for dockage and an association fee. This will encourage residence to take responsibility of use of both common area and private docks and insurance. Community Associations can add multiple benefits to town property. In the past six years all investment of dock, picnic table, garden, maintenance, weed raking has been done by two families while other enjoy at no expense. If an association fee is required by each residential family the funds can equally be spread to pay for dock lease and maintenance. Perhaps this will encourage residence to take part in responsibility and helping to maintain. I came from keswick. This system is applied to several waterfront beaches along Cooks Bay. Residence pay an association fee to cover the cost of dockage and maintence. A committee is formed where individuals volunteer to take on responsibilities. It brings community together. Differences are generally resolved by the residence through the committee. The town benifits by receiving a portion paid and no requirement to maintain as all maintence is done by the community association who must follow town guidelines and Bylaws. Besides..... I'm really tired of watching neighbours do nothing while we do all the work. You do not have western Trent listed. You should. This community is growing.

Respondent No: 250 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 28, 2021 22:18:37 pm Jul 29, 2021 01:52:35 am	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? not answered				
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes			
8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks? not answered				
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			

If my dock is safe and insured and spaced adequately and has been in existence for decades in the same spot my feeling is that it should be eligible for a license, even though I am on a back lot in Hickory Beach. We have already lost our boat launch in hickory beach. if the city intends on selling off the park land where the docks are to the waterfront properties to make some money this would be very disappointing. These docks have been here for many years and for some generations have used them. Removing them would be taking away a lot of joy and memories for many families. Our association has been doing a great job of managing the dock owners and outside of one or two very hard to please members everything has run quite smoothly. Removing the docks would have a very negative effect on our great little community both in property values, and the overall charm of Hickory Beach. Please allow us to continue the use of these docks the way we have for so many years. Thank you.

Respondent No: 251 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 29, 2021 08:22:29 amLast Seen:Jul 29, 2021 12:15:14 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh this sounds like a tax grab against tax payers	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end docks should be grandfathered in weather its on RA c	croachment of private docks on municipal property? r other city property. This should not be a complaint based system,

where one person can ruin access for an entire street where no problem exsists (like Stanley Rd). common sense here. This stinks of a tax grab by a city that was millions in surplus last year. People will not stand for it. And there are numerous work arounds when dealing with the water because its federal regs on the water these changes will not change anything

Respondent No: 252 Login: Registered		Responded At: .ast Seen:	Jul 29, 2021 08:32:04 a Jul 29, 2021 12:28:37 p	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	st you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouses h	have a higher fe	e than docks?	
none please, this is a tax grab and property value ha suffice	s skyrocketed thro	ough the pandem	ic, property tax revenue	e should
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of priv	vate docks on r	nunicipal property?	

if it aint broke don't fix it, my neighbor is a certified CUNT. new docks should be grandfathered in, going forward new docks should be held to new standards

Respondent No: 253 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 29, 2021 08:33:08 amLast Seen:Jul 29, 2021 12:29:57 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She Docks should be grandfathered in	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

This should be changed from a complaint based system to panel review type of system

Respondent No: 254 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 29, 2021 08:33:29 amLast Seen:Jul 29, 2021 12:31:27 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh We pay high property taxes already!	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 255 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 29, 2021 08:34:26 am Jul 29, 2021 12:28:56 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would afferent not answered	ect you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the er The fee is a ridiculous money grab. docks should be a			

system.

		Respondent No: 256 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 29, 2021 08:36:06 am Jul 29, 2021 12:28:39 pm
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affect	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both o propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What on the work of the work o	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	a have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

docks should be grandfathered in

Respondent No: 257 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 29, 2021 08:38:24 amLast Seen:Jul 29, 2021 12:30:28 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
come first serve. A complaint based system is absolu	croachment of private docks on municipal property? not lose their dock because new construction, dock should be first rely flawed. one constant complainer can cause major issues where ems to be a bit of a tax grab where the city has been in surplus for

years.

		Respondent No: 258 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 29, 2021 08:48:44 am Jul 29, 2021 12:44:19 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakesʻ	currently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes		
Q2.	for an	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo vered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admini	tly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase o per year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What o	o you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	-	i feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	I have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
		provide any additional comments to the end	-		

unfair, due to one individual being able to submit multiple complaints on one certain issue.

Respondent No: 259 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 30, 2021 09:11:57 amLast Seen:Jul 30, 2021 13:00:52 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas elig for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	jible No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy wou not answered	Id affect you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	e No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would ren \$150 per year, but boathouses would increa to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees increase to boathouses is fair?	IF IN THESE SITUATIONS THAT THEY DON'T OWN THEIR ACTUAL WATERFRONT LET THEM PURCHASE IT AND PAY TAXES ON IT
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if an not answered	ny? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding do docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	ue to No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to	the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

Respondent No: 260 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 30, 2021 09:24:41 amLast Seen:Jul 30, 2021 13:21:41 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She \$150.00	uld boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	roachment of private docks on municipal property?

We have enough restrictions in this province as is. Let it alone.

	Respondent No: 261 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 30, 2021 Jul 30, 2021	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
Q8.	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks	?
	I don't believe fees should be charged unless they a think \$150 for having a dock is a bit much but unders the boat house fee should be at max \$50 higher the seasonal visitors, the city should not be making the are	tandable but \$40 on the dock fee.	0 is slightly outrage CKL is an area th	eous for a boat	house fee. I think
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11	. Please provide any additional comments to the er	croachment of	private docks on I	municipal proj	perty?
	not answered				

Respondent No: 262 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Jul 30, 2021 19:36:32 pm Jul 30, 2021 22:20:45 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawa Lakes?	rtha Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the area for an agreement (please see Addition Resources for listing)?	-			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your do	ck? not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy not answered	y would affect you, if at all?	,		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have p docks on municipal property?	rivate No			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees woul \$150 per year, but boathouses would i to \$400 per year to help recover additionadministrative costs. Do you think the increase to boathouses is fair? 	e Ild remain Increase onal			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee \$150 is minimum in my mind for boathous	-	_	ee than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowd docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	-			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to de	ocks	
Q11. Please provide any additional commer Thank you for the opportunity to provide in		private docks on	municipal property?	

Respondent No: 263 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 31, 2021 07:19:28 amLast Seen:Jul 31, 2021 11:07:49 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	Yes
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	on the water free of weeds
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: I pay hefty taxes to have nearly 90ft of shoreline
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view Other (please specify) neighbours dock(s) too close to my property. Trent Severn laws specify 15ft minimum

I am talking about Snug Harbour on Sturgeon Lake where weeds have become issue

Respondent No: 264 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 31, 2021 16:04:40 pmLast Seen:Jul 31, 2021 19:41:09 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ot you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Other (please specify) People who increase their dock space foot print without approval encroaching outside of their own licensed space. Also I have called to complain multiple times about people parking on both sides of the road on Hazel Street. There are serious close call events around pedestrians and I can't watch this anymore (everyone deserves to go home safe to their families).

I thought that people that lived in the back lot areas in Dunsford weren't supposed to be granted dock license agreements that was what I was told back in 2018, then multiple dock spaces were added to those who don't live on Hazel Street. There seems to be a disconnect somewhere within Kawartha Lakes Reality. It makes it difficult for those to watch who are abiding by your license agreement.

Respondent No: 265 Login: Registered	Responded At:Jul 31, 2021 16:58:30 pmLast Seen:Jul 31, 2021 20:53:18 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She As a waterfront cottager I spend a couple thousand do dock/boathouse at a fraction of the cost?	buld boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks

Who is responsible for legalities regarding slip and fall accidents, etc?

		Respondent No: 266 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 01, 2021 17:16:31 pm Aug 01, 2021 21:07:30 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What of the second seco	lo you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	a feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
		provide any additional comments to the end b keep my dock space. Everyone is pleasant a	-		

the water without having a waterfront property.

		Respondent No: 267 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 01, 2021 18:15:07 pm Aug 01, 2021 22:13:25 pm	
Q1.	Do you Lakes?	currently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes			
Q2.	for an a	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo vered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both de propos \$150 pe to \$400 admini	tly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase oper year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What d	o you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	-	feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided			
Q10	-	have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	Safety concerr	as due to docks		
	. Please not ansv	provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?	

		Respondent No: 268 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 03, 2021 09:0 Aug 03, 2021 12:5	
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	No			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
		o you anticipate this dock policy would affect athouse is encroaching on the road allowance	t you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain her year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No			
		do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho ne as a dock.	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11	. Please	provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property	?

The encroachment issue is frankly not fair as most of these boathouses were present prior to amalgamation. In addition, the area of the road allowance in Sturgeon Point Village has extended to the waters edge which is in the domain of the Conservation Authority. The likelihood of the CKL developing the roadway to the allowance area is highly unlikely and appears to be a money grab.

Respondent No: 269 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 04, 2021 10:28:03 amLast Seen:Aug 04, 2021 14:21:50 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: We do not have a Boathouse so it seems unfair to comment on this issue.
both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	We do not have a Boathouse so it seems unfair to comment on this issue.
both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	We do not have a Boathouse so it seems unfair to comment on this issue.
 both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to 	We do not have a Boathouse so it seems unfair to comment on this issue.

We are particularity concerned about the additional restrictions for hydro and water on the Grove road allowance. These seem to impose inequitable restrictions on Grove Road owners and should be removed.

	spondent No: 270 gin: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 04, 2021 Aug 04, 2021	
Q1. Do you cu Lakes?	rrently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes			
for an agr	ck located in one of the areas eligible eement (please see Additional s for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you	I like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where wo	uld you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do yo	ou anticipate this dock policy would affected	t you, if at all?			
-	ink it is acceptable to have private municipal property?	Yes			
both dock proposed \$150 per y to \$400 pe administra	the licensing fee is \$150 per year for s and boathouses. Under the amendments dock fees would remain rear, but boathouses would increase or year to help recover additional ative costs. Do you think the fees and o boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do y	ou think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
-	el that waterfront overcrowding due to n issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
-	ve a concern with any of the ? Select all that apply.	to own a boat a space - I barely dock due to ba also seems to l	specify) owner & feel it my and access to that I v have enough spa cklot owners taking be no enforcement vhich is wrong and	pooat. Currently ce to swim from more space th on this issue fr	l do not have n either side of my an allotted. There

I have issue with backlot owners having more dock space than front lot owners, as well as other front lot owners being allowed to have double lots ... how do I get this same treatment? I'd like to know! I think it only fair that all front lot owners be allowed to have more dock space than backlot owners. More than a 6 feet space should be enforced between dock spaces. Why are so-called policies not regulated? Why are some owners allowed to have fires when other owners are not? Why are there no "No Parking" signs in front of our dock spaces? People have removed signs and they are not replaced. The list of "issues" with dock space goes on & on!

	Respondent No: 271 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 04, 2021 20:10:21 pm Aug 05, 2021 00:05:36 am
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?		ee to clarify your r eed rules and orde	response below: r - otherwise people take
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic Docks reducing Other (please Looks bad. No	specify)	s of your property and view values. Over crowding. Current

		Respondent No: 272 Login: Registered			Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 04, 2021 23:20: Aug 05, 2021 03:15:	
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Ka ?	wartha	No			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the a agreement (please see Addit rces for listing)?	-	not answered			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?		No			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your	dock?	not answered			
		o you anticipate this dock po	-	-	our lake fronts.		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to hav on municipal property?	e private	No			
Q7.	both o propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 p locks and boathouses. Under sed amendments dock fees w her year, but boathouses wou 0 per year to help recover add istrative costs. Do you think is se to boathouses is fair?	the yould remain Id increase ditional	People will pay enough waterfr	ont lands available	response below: what they want. There e in our city for the res buld be prepared to sh	t of us who
	What on the work of the work o	do you think is an acceptable	fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.		u feel that waterfront overcro is an issue for Kawartha Lak	5	Yes			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of ing? Select all that apply.	the		your access to wat		
Q11	. Please	provide any additional com	nents to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?	

Respondent No: 273 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 05, 2021 07:50 Aug 05, 2021 11:48	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on I	nunicipal property?	

		Respondent No: 274 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 05, 2021 11:28:42 am Aug 05, 2021 15:26:34 pm	
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	a Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas e agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	ligible No			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answere	d		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answere	d		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy we	ould affect you, if at al	1?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have priva on municipal property?	ate No			
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per yea ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would r per year, but boathouses would incr 0 per year to help recover additiona istrative costs. Do you think the fee se to boathouses is fair?	emain ease I			
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if wered	any? Should boathou	ses have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	due to Yes			
Q10	-	a have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Safety conc	erns due to docks		
Q11	. Please	provide any additional comments	to the encroachment o	of private docks on	municipal property?	

Respondent No: 275 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 05, 2021 16:03:45 pm Aug 05, 2021 19:55:06 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect its a money grab.	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
no, its municipal property. if the municipality has anoth require the property, consider selling. if municipality v docks to remain for no fee. The proposed fees do not re administer this policy.	vishes to keep t	ne property for no	purpose, they should allow the	е
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en Sell the property.	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?	

	Respondent No: 276 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 05, 2021 18:41:09 pm Sep 03, 2021 22:21:28 pm			
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes					
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No					
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered					
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered					
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?					
Q6.	6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private No docks on municipal property?						
		Please feel free to clarify your response below: Should not have it to begin with. Causes too many arguments with surrounding inhabitants.					
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Should not have	e it to begin with.	-			
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	Should not have surrounding inh	e it to begin with.	Causes too many arguments with			
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? SI	Should not have surrounding inh	e it to begin with.	Causes too many arguments with			

There is a park on Elm Street in Fenelon Falls that has docks that are a safety concern, limit view of the water, limit access (in the winter docks are stored on the park shore, and not taken back to owners property), family property beside it resulting in reducing attractiveness and value of property. Has also let to arguments about docking rights. I, and my family would like this issue to be further looked into.

		Respondent No: 277 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 07, 2021 07:27:07 am Jul 24, 2021 12:51:15 pm
	o you akes?	currently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes		
fo	or an a	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	No		
Q3. W	Vould	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. W	Vhere	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	low do t answ	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo vered	ct you, if at all?		
	-	think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Undecided		
bo pr \$- to ac	oth do ropos 150 po 5 \$400 dmini	tly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the ed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase per year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No		
		o you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She		_	e than docks?
	-	feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
	-	have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.		your access to wat concerns due to do	
Q11. P	lease	provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

	ondent No: 278 : Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 07, 2021 15 Aug 07, 2021 19	-
Q1. Do you curre Lakes?	ntly have a dock in Kawartha	No			
-	located in one of the areas eligible nent (please see Additional or listing)?	not answered			
Q3. Would you lil	ke to have a dock?	Yes			
Q4. Where would	you like to have your dock?	Hazel street Th	nurstonia		
Q5. How do you a not answered	anticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?			
-	it is acceptable to have private nicipal property?	Yes			
both docks a proposed am \$150 per yea to \$400 per y administrativ	e licensing fee is \$150 per year for and boathouses. Under the mendments dock fees would remain r, but boathouses would increase ear to help recover additional re costs. Do you think the fees and moathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you not answered	think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
-	hat waterfront overcrowding due to ssue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided			
-	a concern with any of the elect all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? We need to make sure whoever currently has a dock, have only the amount of space they're allowed, so others can have a chance to have a dock as well. The docks need to be taken care of and if there falling apart and not maintained they should					

chance to have a dock as well. The docks need to be taken care of and if there falling apart and not maintained they should be taken away and offered to who are waiting to enjoy this perk of living by the water. Also if users own the dock spot but are not using it or there's no dock there, maybe this could also be offered to people waiting on a waiting list. I have lived most my life down in Thurstonia park and now I own my own home down there but can't get a dock space. We have a boat and are frequent users of the water. I hope we can figure this out so we all have a chance to enjoy the docks!

		Respondent No: 279 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 07, 2021 22:05:30 pm Aug 08, 2021 01:55:28 am
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain her year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	a feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	. Please	provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

when I pay a licensing fee to the city will they ensure that the dock use will remain mine exclusively

		Respondent No: 280 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 08, 2021 18:39:44 pm Aug 08, 2021 22:33:22 pm	
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	No			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
		o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo ess to the water	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No			
		do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	a feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10		u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Safety concern Parking/traffic Docks limiting	your access to wat is due to docks concerns due to do your view of the wa g the attractiveness	ocks	

It is trespassing if you are building on property that is not your.

		Respondent No: 281 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 08, 2021 20:23:35 pm Aug 09, 2021 00:19:33 am
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional Irces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affect wered	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No		
		do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	ocks
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Respondent No: 282 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 08, 2021 20:31:24 pm Aug 09, 2021 00:29:56 am			
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No					
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered					
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No					
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered					
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect We want our community to be peaceful	ct you, if at all?					
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes					
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes					
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?			
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes					
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerr	ns due to docks				
11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? not answered						

		Respondent No: 283 Login: Registered			Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 09, 2021 16 Aug 09, 2021 20	-
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock	in Kawartha	No			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of agreement (please see rces for listing)?	_	not answered			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a docl	</th <th>Yes</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Yes			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have	your dock?	Rodman Dr an	d long beach rd		
	How d	o you anticipate this do wered	ock policy would affec	t you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable t on municipal property?	-	Undecided			
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is ocks and boathouses. sed amendments dock er year, but boathouse 0 per year to help recov istrative costs. Do you se to boathouses is fair	Under the fees would remain s would increase rer additional think the fees and	Yes			
	What on the state of the state	do you think is an acce	otable fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront ov is an issue for Kawarth	-	Yes			
Q10	-	u have a concern with a ing? Select all that appl	-	Safety concern Parking/traffic o	s due to docks concerns due to do	ocks	
Q11	211. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?						

Way too many people have put docks into the lakes and have not gone to city of kawartha lakes, Kawartha conservation or/and parks Canada to have the right to use this property. People who pay for the right should have a permit showing that they have the right. If people put in docks with out authorization they should lose the right to do so in the future.

	Respondent No: 284 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 10, 2021 08 Aug 10, 2021 11	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
Q8.	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
	\$150. Show me anywhere on the planet, in any busines	s or service that	thinks a 300% incr	ease on anything	is justified.
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	icks	
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal proper	ty?

I think council has way more important things to focus on than this. The roads in this "city" are abysmal, with no plan to improve them. Just a poor schedule for when re-paving is scheduled. As a side note, off-road vehicles are designed to be used "off road". Hence the name... when people bought them, they were aware of the limitations for their use.

	Respondent No: 285 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 10, 2021 17:18:30 Sep 06, 2021 23:27:33	-
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	Sturgeon lake			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
	. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on I	nunicipal property?	

	Respondent No: 286 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 11, 2021 11:46:01 am Aug 11, 2021 15:44:08 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concern Parking/traffic Docks limiting	your access to wat ns due to docks concerns due to do your view of the wa g the attractiveness	ocks

No private structures or docks should be located on municipal property, these areas should be accessible to be used by all

Respondent No: 287 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 11, 2021 17:1 Aug 11, 2021 16:3	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			

We're proud new owners! Recently purchasing a property with a dock... I know we paid a premium for the property so we could have a dock. It was very important to us to have a dock to use we will be purchasing a boat in the near future. I have been a guest to Thurstonia for over 25 years. Have friends there that have owned their docks for many many years and raised their families around them and they will be raising their grandkids also. I admit that a small few of the dock spaces weren't being managed properly by the dock owner. But a vast number of docks are well maintained and with a little over site from the municipal government I don't see any problem with them. The neighborhood hasn't looked so good.

	Respondent No: 288 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 13, 2021 14:07:3 Aug 13, 2021 18:03:1	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	-	ns due to docks concerns due to do	ocks	
		-		s of your property and v	riew
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the er	croachment of	private docks on	nunicipal property?	

	Respondent No: 289 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 13, 2021 18:18:31 pm Aug 13, 2021 22:12:16 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?		ee to clarify your not the to rules and restrict	response below: rictions and proper upkeep of dock
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8.	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Other (please		ocks overcrowding & encroachment of

Boat launch has been reduced and restricted due to set up of docks on either side of boat launch.

	Respondent No: 290 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 13, 2021 19:00:42 Aug 13, 2021 22:57:59	
	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha .akes?	No			
fc	s your dock located in one of the areas eligible or an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3. W	Vould you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4. W	Vhere would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	low do you anticipate this dock policy would aff	fect you, if at all?			
	Do you think it is acceptable to have private locks on municipal property?	Yes			
b p \$ to a	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain c150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	Vhat do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? S	hould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to locks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided			
	Do you have a concern with any of the ollowing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
	Please provide any additional comments to the e	encroachment of	private docks on I	nunicipal property?	

		Respondent No: 291 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 15, 2021 17:18:14 pm Aug 15, 2021 21:02:21 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes	i currently have a dock in Kawartha	No		
Q2.	for an	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	Canal lake, Ma	cPherson Cres. m	unicipal lot between
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	I think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admini	tly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What o	lo you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	2	I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	I have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

We applied for a dock licence in the last 30 days, for an existing dock. This dock has been in place on this lot since 1967. Our application has been denied and we just had the dock removed yesterday Aug 14 2021, as required by a MLEO. We are extremely disappointed in Kawartha Lakes decisions in this matter. Neighbors are in disbelief of such harsh measures.

Respondent No: 292 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 17, 2021 14:09:28 pmLast Seen:Aug 17, 2021 17:52:45 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	Yes
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	Grove Rd We live on the 'no exit' street behind the cottages on Grove Rdsurvey of the area shows access to the lakefront and all residents of our street were told we had access to the lakefront and we would like to be able to utilize an open spot.
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Yes it is only if their are sufficient areas where the streets that abut Grove Rd have access to the water.
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: On Cameron lake, not so much, yet but there must continue to be public access without problems related to getting that access and the cottagers
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Docks limiting your view of the water

We as residents of Kawartha lakes should be afforded the same access to all municipal waterfronts as the cottages that are encroaching on the municipal properties

		Respondent No: 293 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 17, 2021 15:35 Aug 28, 2021 13:21	
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	No			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligib agreement (please see Additional Irces for listing)?	le not answered			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	Yes			
Q4.	Where	e would you like to have your dock?	-	e to have access to y children. I am on	waterfront and right Avonline Blvd	of way/ trail
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would	affect you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	both o propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would rema per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees an se to boathouses is fair?	in 9			
	What on the work of the work o	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any wered	? Should boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	to Yes			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting	your access to wat	er	
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to th	e encroachment of	orivate docks on i	nunicipal property?	

Respondent No: 294 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 17, 2021 18:51:15 pmLast Seen:Sep 05, 2021 19:40:02 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No
Q4 Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered

Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all?

I like to kayak, and enjoy the natural beauty of CKL, but am disappointed at the derelict debris and rotting docks and boat houses in CKL waterways. It is like the CKL cares neither about the natural environment nor the water trails value for our quality of life and passive recreation opportunities. It is like paddling in a slum in some places. Very sad, and disrespectful to the environment.

Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for	Please feel free to clarify your response below:
both docks and boathouses. Under the	I do not agree with boathouses on waterways. They are a vestige
proposed amendments dock fees would remain	in of a bygone era where the natural environment of lakes and rivers

\$150 per year, but boathouses would increasewere notto \$400 per year to help recover additionalbodies' ofadministrative costs. Do you think the fees andno needincrease to boathouses is fair?

I do not agree with boathouses on waterways. They are a vestige of a bygone era where the natural environment of lakes and rivers were not a consideration, a time when only the shores of water bodies' only purpose was for human use and exploitation. There is no need for boat houses.

Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Other (please specify) As mentioned above, Docks reducing the attractiveness and natural integrity of OUR communal property. Again, your survey is an example of the archaic notion that only the property owners on
	the lakes and rivers are the ones with a stake in the game. We ALL have a right to our waterways, and to ensure they are kept in natural and environmentally healthy conditions.

Please read above again, carefully. And I am not alone; many kayakers and canoeists feel depressed when seeing encroachment on waterways by docks and boat houses, and large motored boats that create waves that decimate the shorelines, ruining habitat for many wild species that bring joy and environmental integrity to an area. For instance in Lindsay, there is an incredible opportunity for CKL to purchase and RETAIN at least one hundred feet of depth on the east side of the river, but it is apparent by the monster homes creeping southward, that CKL does not care about its waterways. These homes have destroyed and removed all vegetation down to the river - how is this even allowed?! - and on steep slopes as well, so they have a 'view'. This kind of environmental destruction serves to destroy the shade and water filtration ability of the river, destroys the environmental beauty in its midst that CKL for some reason cannot even recognize, and that destroys the habitat for the birds, turtles, muskrats and other creatures than we enjoy in and around the river. We all need TREES. The CKL needs to develop 'linear parks' as I suggest herein, along all waterways within CKL. It is the best and easiest way to create quality of life for its residents, instead of giving away ALL our public rights piece by piece to wealthy landowners who do not respect nor understand the environment (either).

Respondent No: 295 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 17, 2021 23:00:36 pmLast Seen:Aug 18, 2021 02:59:18 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view

Ongoing neighbourly disputes as a result of the dock situation are unwanted.

Respondent No: 296 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 18, 2021 18:59:27 pm Aug 18, 2021 22:11:22 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho I would propose NO FEE and have owners spend \$ problem.		-	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Grove Road ha	cerns noted here a ve this issue. Docl	and none of our neighbours on the ks are beautifully spaced and eir waterfront and dock properties.

As an affected owner on Grove Road, I am very interested in either purchase or license for the exclusive use of the waterfront with CKL. If this could be enacted by CKL, it would provide the City with several benefits, including (a) no more liability (b) a one-time cash infusion for the sale (c) increased long term property tax revenues / licensing dues and (d) relieves the City of the dock monitoring and associated staffing costs to expedite same. The prospect of cutting hydro and water on the Grove shoreline road allowance and the setback requirement of handrails has all owners very concerned. For over a hundred years, my family has used hydro to pull water and filter it from the lake for our drinking water. We have lighting and a handrail to safely navigate the stairs to the boathouse and waterfront. We must have railings to support our walk down those stairs as it is very steep. Not just the elderly, but all ages rely on the support and safety of the handrail. How would we access the waterfront safely without the use of the handrail? Do you want to put people at risk? We also use hydro for our boat lift to both use and store our boat. The Byrnell Golf Course would be negatively impacted as they have a pumphouse on the Grove Road to water their grounds and replenish their ponds. Why has Grove Road has been designated to lose hydro and water access? How does this serve the needs of long-established members of the community? I understand that the deadline for owners to submit their concerns to CKL is July 31, 2021. As we have only heard about this proposed policy a few weeks ago, please consider extending the time for submissions to late Fall of 2021 as there are owners who have not yet returned this summer due to Covid. Thank you for taking my concerns into consideration. I hope that licensing the exclusive use or purchasing our waterfronts is possible. Best regards,

Fenelon Falls

Respondent No: 297 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 18, 2021 22:54:12 pmLast Seen:Aug 19, 2021 02:46:25 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: I do not feel this is an issue on Lake Dalrymple.
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Other (please specify) Where we are located, I do not have any of the above concerns.
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

	Respondent No: 298 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 19, 2021 15:18:11 pm Sep 06, 2021 12:12:37 pm			
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No					
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered					
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes					
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	Pinewood Blvd, Bolsover (the back lot owners were told when they originally purchased their properties that the right-of-ways in the subdivision were created for their use. The back lot owners have maintained and used the access' for decades.)					
	Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all?						
docks on municipal property? Yes bee			Please feel free to clarify your response below: Yes. In the case of Pinewood Blvd. the water access property has been and should be for the exclusive use of the back lot residentsas it has been for decades.				
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes - condition property. The c been left to the for having a do	care and maintenant residents of the since the	response below: lity has never taken care of the nce of the property has always ubdivision. If a fee is to be charged e expected that the municipality cut grass, spray for noxious			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?			
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No					
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns Other (please There is still co		residents of the subdivision that			

the waterfront access that was originally set aside for the exclusive use of the back lot owners should be deeded to the back lot owners.

The back lot residents of Pinewood Blvd. are concerned that the City of Kawartha lakes will deem at some point to sell the waterfront access lots which would greatly devalue the properties across the road from the water access. They are concerned that by maintaining the water access themselves that the City feels they do not need to come and maintain the properties. They are also concerned that if they stopped maintaining the water front access that the City will take it as a sign that they are not being used and take it as an indication that they can sell them.

Respondent No: 299 Login: Registered		Responded At: .ast Seen:	Aug 19, 2021 15:56:56 pm Aug 19, 2021 19:53:44 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	e Please feel free to clarify your response below: Yes for over 50 years			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	he What about hoists? What about decks? uld remain l increase tional			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouses I	have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic co Other (please sp No dock decreas	pecify)	cks	

What about grandfathering? As for front and back lots, every lot is south of the road and should not make a difference.

Respondent No: 300 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 19, 2021 21:17:20 pmLast Seen:Aug 20, 2021 01:07:26 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	Yes
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic concerns due to docks
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end not answered	roachment of private docks on municipal property?

		Respondent No: 301 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 20, 2021 14: Aug 20, 2021 18:	
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	No			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	atly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain her year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh wered	nould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	-	I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	-	a have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	-	ns due to docks concerns due to do	ocks	
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the er	ncroachment of	private docks on I	municipal propert	y?

	Responde Login: Re	nt No: 302 egistered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 21, 2021 12:35 Aug 21, 2021 16:33	
Q1.	Do you currently Lakes?	have a dock in Kawartha	Yes			
Q2.	-	ted in one of the areas eligible (please see Additional ting)?	No			
Q3.	Would you like to	have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you	like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5.	How do you antic	ipate this dock policy would affeo	et you, if at all?			
Q6.	Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private Yes docks on municipal property?					
Q7.	both docks and b proposed amendr \$150 per year, but to \$400 per year to	nsing fee is \$150 per year for oathouses. Under the ments dock fees would remain t boathouses would increase o help recover additional sts. Do you think the fees and ouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you thinl	< is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	raterfront overcrowding due to for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	Do you have a confollowing? Select	ncern with any of the all that apply.		dock licence for a c neighbours dock a	lock that has been ex pplication was rejecte	-
Q11	. Please provide an	y additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?)

Respondent No: 303 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 23, 2021 Aug 23, 2021	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			

I think that the more residents that can have their own docks means less traffic and parking of cars. The only vehicles I ever see parked are of those that do not have a dock so they bring a car to the water , park along the road side and swim at the public dock . Also anyone who doesn't have a dock for their boat , those residents and tourists park along the roads with cars and boat trailers and leave for the day to go boating. The cars are the eye sore due to lack of dock spaces. The backlot people , some of them are 30 + year residents. Don't take away their dock access while leaving a front lot owner alone , practically every front lot owner is a new resident. This is not fair to those who have been here for many years. Seniority should def be considered first. Most importantly , we love our docks. It's our happy place and a safe space for our children to play and swim privately . I think the dock space fee is more that reasonable and I would willing to pay more to accommodate any administration costs just to ensure I am able to keep my dock space. Thank you

	Respondent No: 304 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 23, 2021 Aug 23, 2021	-
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	a good swimm	ing area		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concerr Parking/traffic	your access to wat is due to docks concerns due to do your view of the wa	ocks	

If private docks are allowed on municipal property, appropriate standardized signage should be present, at the cost of the dock owner, stating that it is a private dock and not for public use. At the same location there needs to be public access as well to the same waterfront. The entire waterfront and parking area should not be considered private and made accessible to the public.

		dent No: 305 Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 23, 2021 14:45:21 pm Aug 23, 2021 18:35:26 pm	
Q1.	Do you current Lakes?	ly have a dock in Kawartha	No			
Q2.	-	cated in one of the areas eligible ent (please see Additional listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would you like	to have a dock?	Yes			
Q4.	Where would y	ou like to have your dock?	Hazel Street a	rea 1 near pavillion	road and viamede street	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? not answered						
Q6.	Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private Yes docks on municipal property?					
Q7.	both docks and proposed amer \$150 per year, to \$400 per yea administrative	censing fee is \$150 per year for d boathouses. Under the ndments dock fees would remain but boathouses would increase ar to help recover additional costs. Do you think the fees and athouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you th not answered	ink is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	nt waterfront overcrowding due to sue for Kawartha Lakes?	Dock space wi always busy w far away. There used they shou	ith boat launching. e should be more c	n. The government dock is The other public swimming is so lock space and if dock space no should be shared dock space	
Q10	-	concern with any of the ect all that apply.	No concerns			

We had a dock space and were not aware of these changes. We live in Mississauga and unfortunately did not find out in time to apply to continue with the dock space we had for over 30 years. Very unfair. We have been in Thurstonia Park since 1984 and it is hard to believe our dock space was taken away from us. I hope Council allows extensions for applications for historically occupied dock space. This is totally different from a new application with no prior dock space.

Respondent No: 306 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 23, 2021 18:4 Aug 23, 2021 22:33	-
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	-			

The dock structure should be limited to docking space for the water craft; users that are building decks and extended docks so they can party and disturb the peacefulness of a local area should not be allowed. Docks and dock use should be limited to the access of one's watercraft, not for a place to party.

	Respondent No: 307 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 25, 2021 07:5 Aug 25, 2021 11:2	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe Would not affect me personallynot on water	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	I feel it is area Most lots have This should be	sufficient width to	rfront lots are now d accommodate one p private docks for bac	vrivate dock.
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns Other (please No concern pe	specify) prsonally as I don't	live on waterfront	

Curious on rationale for target area. Is it based on past complaints? Notice on Lake Dalrymple not all residents will be affected..ie Fulsom Cres, Campbell Beach, etc. Is this due to proximity of travelled road to Avery Pt and Lake dalrymple rd.? I think anyone who would be eligible to purchase shore road allowances should be encouraged to do so and if they decline should be subject to licensing of docks, etc. I feel this should apply to all lakes with 66ft municipally owned shore rd allowances. This would seem less punitive and more equitable.

	Respondent No: 308 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 25, 2021 09:59:38 am Aug 25, 2021 13:51:19 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ect you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?		ee to clarify your s not touch the road	response below: d allowance so don't understand
		how it is consi	dered to be on mur	nicipal property
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel fr	dered to be on mur ee to clarify your bugh info about boa	response below:
Q8.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	Please feel fr	ee to clarify your	response below: athouse to anawer
Q8.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	Please feel fr	ee to clarify your	response below: athouse to anawer

In my area of lake Dalrymple Road, docks are well separated and don't raise safety concerns as owners take care of their docks and unaware of any neighbour disputes. Docks don't appear to be on municipal property as they sit in the water and don't touch the road allowance. Frankly, don't see need for what seems to be a tax as no discernible benefit coming back to residents for this fee. If liability is the concern, suggest the city sell any municipal property where a private dock is situated on it to the resident property owner so as to shift liability and insurance responsibility from the city to the resident.

		Respondent No: 309 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 25, 2021 1 Aug 25, 2021 1	
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional Irces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No			
		do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh es should cover our use of the lakefront	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
		e provide any additional comments to the en uld like the option of purchasing the waterfront				-

to purchase

		Respondent No: 310 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 25, 2021 16:58:59 pm Aug 25, 2021 20:56:53 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes		
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain her year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	-	a feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11	. Please	provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

Suggest the purchase of waterfront at fair market value

		Respondent No: 311 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 25, 2021 20:46:14 pm Aug 26, 2021 00:44:25 am
Q1.	Do you Lakes?	currently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes		
Q2.	for an a	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
	How de	o you anticipate this dock policy would affect	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	both de propos \$150 p to \$400 admini	tly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase o per year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What d	o you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	-	i feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided		
Q10	-	i have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	ocks
	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?				

		Respondent No: 312 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 26, 2021 (Aug 26, 2021 1	
Q1.	Do you Lakes?	currently have a dock in Kawartha	No			
Q2.	for an a	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional ces for listing)?	not answered			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
		you anticipate this dock policy would affect on ally but generally within the CoKL	t you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both do propos \$150 pe to \$400 adminis	thy the licensing fee is \$150 per year for bocks and boathouses. Under the ed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase per year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and e to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What d	o you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	feel that waterfront overcrowding due to s an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	-	have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	Other (please too many dock unappealing vis	s in one area along	the shoreline is	s very
Q11	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?					erty?

since waterlot owners are paying higher taxes, they should have the option to have a dock

		Respondent No: 313 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 26, 2021 09:05:06 Aug 26, 2021 12:59:02	
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	orivate docks on r	nunicipal property?	

I belive we should have the option of buying the water front

	Respondent No: 314 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 27, 2021 11:55:25 am Sep 03, 2021 21:05:34 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	as a resident i land on the wa	-	have a dock, but you have to own
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	es have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting	your access to wat	er

as the city is quickly selling off road access to water and the municipal boat launches my concern is two fold. Previously, the end of roads that went to the water, were kept available for water access to allow fire rescue launches, and access for fire tanker fill up. This also allowed smaller craft to launch. As the city has sold off or let cottagers and associations take over areas, rescue and launches are reduced to 1 or 2 areas in Balsam, Cameron and Sturgeon. (8 in scugog). The noted sites on Hickory and Long beach used to be available for the public, those tax payers, that live here but don't own property on the water. As I read the amendments, all these sites will end up like Killarney Bay road. The city let the association take over, (of course this saved money), you cant launch here, the area which was used by local farms as a picnic and swim area is now reduced and frowned upon by the local cottagers. I have spoken to lots of fishermen who now fish elsewhere because there are so few launches. The amendment will just let people take over what few dock areas are left.

Respondent No: 315 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 27, 2021 15:36:33 pmLast Seen:Aug 27, 2021 19:35:06 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Only if public could access
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Parking/traffic concerns due to docks Docks limiting your view of the water Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the er	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

	Respondent No: 316 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 27, 2021 15:44:40 pmLast Seen:Aug 27, 2021 19:36:50 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: I do not think this is fair. It is not clear to me what administrative costs are related to boat houses and docks. If owners continue to take responsibility for their waterfront property installations, and they do not pose a risk to the users, there should be no need for the municipality to take on another responsibility, and related costs Too much government is rarely productive.
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and	I do not think this is fair. It is not clear to me what administrative costs are related to boat houses and docks. If owners continue to take responsibility for their waterfront property installations, and they do not pose a risk to the users, there should be no need for the municipality to take on another responsibility, and related costs Too much government is rarely productive.
Q8.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	I do not think this is fair. It is not clear to me what administrative costs are related to boat houses and docks. If owners continue to take responsibility for their waterfront property installations, and they do not pose a risk to the users, there should be no need for the municipality to take on another responsibility, and related costs Too much government is rarely productive.
Q8. Q9.	both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to	I do not think this is fair. It is not clear to me what administrative costs are related to boat houses and docks. If owners continue to take responsibility for their waterfront property installations, and they do not pose a risk to the users, there should be no need for the municipality to take on another responsibility, and related costs Too much government is rarely productive.

Respondent No: 317 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 27, 2021 16:01:16 Aug 27, 2021 20:00:18	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	No			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe Not at all.	ect you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	No			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	nould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	-	your access to wat your view of the wa		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the er	ncroachment of	private docks on	municipal property?	

not answered

	Respondent No: 318 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 27, 2021 16 Aug 27, 2021 18	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
Q8.	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
	would propose NO FEE and have owners spend \$ problem.	5's to implement	liability insurance	to indemnify CK	to solve this
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Grove Road ha	specify) erns noted here ar ave this issue. Doc ride in caring for the	ks are beautifully s	paced and
Q11	Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	orivate docks on i	nunicipal proper	y?

My wife, **where**, and I are second generation cottage owners at **where**. The cottage is approximately 100 years old, having been purchased by my parents, **where** and **where** in **where**. We require hydro in the boathouse to operate our boatlift, lighting and water pump to draw water for washing facilities and toilet. We are not on a well. Loss of any of these amenities would be a sever hardship and diminish the value of our property. This is a very emotional and highly charged issue for all of us who are affected!

	Respondent No: 319 Login: Registered		esponded At: ast Seen:	Aug 27, 2021 17:56 Aug 27, 2021 21:50		
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No				
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligit for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	ole not answered				
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No				
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered				
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would Not at all. We need equity for all who do have a d	-				
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?		Please feel free to clarify your response below: Yes, if charged appropriately and close to their home			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would rema \$150 per year, but boathouses would increas to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees an increase to boathouses is fair?	Not sure about bo ain e		esponse below:		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any not answered	? Should boathouses h	ave a higher fe	ee than docks?		
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	to Undecided				
Q10). Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting you Parking/traffic cor Docks limiting you	ncerns due to do	ocks		
Q11	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?					

Thanks for well thought out questions

		Respondent No: 320 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 28, 2021 00:06:00 am Aug 28, 2021 04:02:20 am	
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affect	et you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both o propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No			
		do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?	

not answered

	Respondent No: 321 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 28, 2021 11:10 Aug 28, 2021 15:04		
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No				
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered				
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes				
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	On Hazel st by	First st			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer	ct you, if at all?				
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes				
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes				
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?		
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No				
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	ocks		
	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? I feel that we own property here and we Is bought our house knowing that we were going to be able to have a Doc space					
	Because this is what was told to us by the town. We b	-				

doc space. The town has flip flop so much on this topic that it is not right! One person tells us 1 thing and another person tells us another! We have so many people now coming to threstonia to put their boat in that you can't even walk down Hazel street safely it should be only 4 people that have houses here that should be a lot able to use the docs!

Respondent No: 322 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 28, 2021 1 Aug 28, 2021 1	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	orivate docks on r	nunicipal prope	rty?

not answered

Respondent No: 323 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 28, 2021 Aug 28, 2021	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	No			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
I would propose NO FEE and have owners spend s problem. If possible sell waterfront where it makes sen	-	-	-	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of	private docks on	municipal prop	erty?

As a cottage owner on Grove Road there have been ZERO issues with docks - they are properly spaced and cottage owners take great pride in safely maintaining them. SELL the property where it makes sense - I understand there may be areas that could have public access in the future but Grove Road has a steep embankment and there is no area for others to access waterfront (or park) without using causing issues for both cottage owners and the City.

Respondent No: 324 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 28, 2021 12:35:07 pmLast Seen:Aug 28, 2021 16:29:12 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
	it's fair that I pay \$150 for a little tiny space while my neighbours pay the year for a small space and more for boathouses and large
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

I feel there needs to be more definite property lines so it's clear where your dock space is for yourself and for your neighbours! So there isn't arguments and disagreements and large water crafts encroaching on your space!!!!

Respondent No: 325 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 28, 2021 12:59:49 pmLast Seen:Sep 10, 2021 21:14:29 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Yes boat houses should have higher fees. However no on municipal property pays a fee.	fees should be paid unless everyone who has a boathouse or dock
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Other (please specify) Thurstonia dock spaces should be available only to residents of the Thurstonia community . Front and back lots. However no new dock spaces should be issued

I know of at least one property on Scugog in the City of Kawartha Lakes where the boathouse, dock and entire cottage is built on the road shore allowance of the property. Your new policies will be in place for everyone but only policed for some. Insurance for only a few docks in Kawartha Lakes . Simply not right.

		Respondent No: 326 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 28, 2021 13:16:42 pm Aug 28, 2021 17:11:54 pm	
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain her year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11	. Please	provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?	

Why are the properties on Lake Dalrymple Rd assessed as waterfront if in fact they are not?

Respondent No: 327 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 28, 2021 13 Aug 28, 2021 17			
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes					
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes					
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered					
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered					
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	ct you, if at all?					
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes					
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes					
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?			
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No					
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns					
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en	11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?					

When we purchased our home in Thurstonia (backlot) it was because we were able to lease our own dock space. If this is no longer available this will greatly affect our property value and our enjoyment of the area. Also my concern is the wording for the backlot owners who already have a dock space. ("that agreement will remain in affect until it expires") . So when someone's 5 year or 1 year lease is up they wont be able to renew it? This is not acceptable to our family and to many of our neighbour's.

		Respondent No: 3 Login: Registered	328		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 28, 2021 1 Aug 28, 2021 1	
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a d ?	ock in Kawartha	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in on agreement (please s irces for listing)?	e of the areas eligible see Additional	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a d	lock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to h	ave your dock?	not answered			
	How d		s dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptal on municipal prope	-	Yes			
Q7.	both o propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	locks and boathous sed amendments do ber year, but boathous 0 per year to help re	ock fees would remain uses would increase cover additional rou think the fees and	Yes			
	What on the state of the state	-	cceptable fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfron is an issue for Kaw	t overcrowding due to artha Lakes?	No			
Q10	-	u have a concern wi ing? Select all that a	-	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	cks	
Q11	. Please	e provide any addition	onal comments to the end	roachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal prope	erty?

The backlot owner being excluded from dock licences is very unfair to both longterm owners and recent buyers who were led to believe that their property gave them access. The problems with the docks will not be resolved with the proposed changes and a return the the previous policy and practices would be appropriate. There are docks that encroach on the road and create problems for maintenance and this should be addressed by a specific policy in that regard. At one point it was suggested that the movable portion of dock extensions could not be stored at any dock space when this is an issue for a minority of dock spaces. Too many lawyers and not enough common sense.

		Respondent No: 329 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 28, 2021 15:4 Aug 28, 2021 19:4	-
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional Irces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho wered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Parking/traffic	concerns due to do	ocks	
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property	?

Just stop and leave well enough alone. maybe enforce some parking bylaws in thurstonia.

	Respondent No: 330 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 28, 2021 17:37:35 pn Aug 28, 2021 21:10:07 pn	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	In my area, the that they can a crowding their false information	dd another dock o neighbour. I wonde	nts who come with expectat r expand an existing one, th er if real estate agents are g a sale. The waterfront can	ius jiving
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.		vho enlarge their d	ock or boatlift at the expens rights of others to have an e	

My family has owned the property facing the public waterfront for 80 years. Docks have been in the water since the early days, with more being added in recent years. There has been peaceful cooperative use and care of the space for all these years. For the sake of past memories and to honour the farmer who created the first cottages, I would like to see the existing docks have the privilege to remain. People with front lots and those in close proximity are part of a community of mutual respect and sharing for the most part. Others are not discouraged from using the lake for recreational purposes if they wish to. I would encourage the city councillors to advise the real estate agents in surrounding areas against any false advertising or information which would mislead potential buyers of their right to add a dock to the existing ones. We are supportive of the agreement with the city and the fee for licensing to have a dock on municipal property and feel that we should be responsible for the upkeep of the waterfront and maintenance and insurance of the dock.

		Respondent No: 331 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 28, 2021 20:04:02 Aug 29, 2021 00:02:22	
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional Irces for listing)?	e Yes			
Q3.	Would	I you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would a wered	affect you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both o propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?				
	What on the state of the state	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any?	Should boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due t is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	o Undecided			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the	encroachment of	private docks on	nunicipal property?	

not answered

	Respondent No: 332 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 28, 2021 22:20:26 pm Aug 29, 2021 01:08:51 am
Q1. Do y Lake	you currently have a dock in Kawartha	No		
for a	our dock located in one of the areas eligible an agreement (please see Additional ources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3. Wo ı	IId you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4. Whe	ere would you like to have your dock?		a dock before just r perty and put in an	not now. The dock would be in Id remove.
	y do you anticipate this dock policy would affed	ct you, if at all?		
-	you think it is acceptable to have private ks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Yes. It has been an unwritten rule that the property in front of your place is your access. This has been the norm for generations. If one person creates a problem why does everyone pay the price.		
both prop \$150 to \$ adm	rently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for a docks and boathouses. Under the bosed amendments dock fees would remain 0 per year, but boathouses would increase 400 per year to help recover additional inistrative costs. Do you think the fees and ease to boathouses is fair?	No		
	at do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho		s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
-	you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to ks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
-	you have a concern with any of the wing? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Plea	se provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?

You do not mention what started this so it is hard to comment on this issue.

Respondent No: 333 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 28, 2021 22:48:11 pmLast Seen:Aug 29, 2021 02:46:59 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	et you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

not answered

	Respondent No: 334 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 29, 2021 11:02:07 am Aug 29, 2021 14:58:58 pm	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligibl for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	le No			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would not answered	affect you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remai \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees an increase to boathouses is fair?	in ,			
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any for a second secon		-		
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	to No			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
	. Please provide any additional comments to the	e encroachment of p	private docks on I	nunicipal property?	

		Respondent No: 335 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 29, 2021 11:27: Aug 29, 2021 15:18:	
Q1.	Do you Lakes	a currently have a dock in Kawartha	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	a think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admin	attly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase D per year to help recover additional distrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No			
	What on the work of the work o	lo you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	-	a feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	-	I have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	Safety concern Parking/traffic Docks limiting	your access to wat is due to docks concerns due to do your view of the wa g the attractiveness	ocks	view

recently I could not launch my boat due to a dock and boat blocking the right of way launch site. the boat was there during the day and overnight and I was unable to locate the owner.

		Respondent No: 336 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 29, 2021 11:36:11 am Aug 29, 2021 15:31:22 pm	
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affe	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No			
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain her year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No			
	What of the second seco	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	Safety concern Parking/traffic Docks limiting	your access to wat ns due to docks concerns due to do your view of the wa g the attractiveness	ocks	

My family was unable to launch our boat recently due to someone blocking the right of way with their boat and 'lilly pad' floater.

		Respondent No: 337 Login: Registered			Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 29, 2021 1 Aug 29, 2021 1	
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Ka	awartha	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the agreement (please see Addi rces for listing)?	-	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?		not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have you	r dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock p	olicy would affec	t you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to ha on municipal property?	ve private	No			
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$40 admin	atly the licensing fee is \$150 ocks and boathouses. Unde sed amendments dock fees er year, but boathouses wo o per year to help recover ac istrative costs. Do you think se to boathouses is fair?	r the would remain uld increase Iditional	No			
	What on the work of the work o	lo you think is an acceptable	e fee, if any? Sho	uld boathouses	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	I feel that waterfront overcro is an issue for Kawartha La	-	Yes			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of ng? Select all that apply.	f the	Safety concern Parking/traffic o Docks limiting y	your access to wat s due to docks concerns due to do your view of the wa g the attractiveness	ocks ater	and view

I have found our public access point blocked multiple times by boats tied up and cars parked when I've come to try and put our boat on.

	Respondent No: 338 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 29, 2021 1; Aug 29, 2021 1;	
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5.	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No			
Q8.	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
	I would propose NO FEE and have owners spend \$ problem	S's to implement	liability insurance	to indemnify Cł	KL to solve this
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Grove Road ha	specify) erns noted here ar ave this issue. Doc ride in caring for the	ks are beautifully	spaced and

We have just become aware of the proposed dock encroachment policy CP2018-001 and we are thankful that you are conducting a public consultation. In our case, we entered into an Encroachment agreement with the City of Kawartha Lakes for the un-travelled portion of our shoreline road allowance on Grove Road on March 23, 2006. As you may know, the affected owners on Grove Road are very keen to either purchase or license the exclusive use of their waterfront with CKL. If this could be enacted by CKL, it would provide the City with several benefits, including: (a) no more liability (b) a one-time cash infusion for the sale (c) increased long term property tax revenues / licensing dues and (d) relieves the City of the dock monitoring and associated staffing costs to expedite same. The prospect of cutting hydro and water on the Grove shoreline road allowance and the setback requirement of handrails has owners very concerned and stressed at the very thought! For over a hundred years, we have used hydro to pull water and filter it from the lake for our drinking water. As we are on granite, a well is not possible, and we must pull our water from the lake. We have lighting and a handrail to safely navigate the decline of our stairs to the boathouse and waterfront. We also have lighting in the boathouse to monitor our water pump if needed at night. We must have railings to support our walk down those stairs as it is steep. Not just for the elderly, but all ages rely on the support of the handrail. How would we access the waterfront safely without the use of the handrail? I can't imagine that you would want to put everyone at risk. We also use hydro for our boat lift to both use and store our boat. I also think that the Byrnell Golf Course would be alarmed as they have a pumphouse on the Grove to water their grounds and replenish their water ponds. We don't understand why it is only Grove Road that has been designated to lose hydro and water access. .This is a very emotional and highly charged issue for all of us who are affected. Thank you sincerely for taking our concerns into consideration. We all hope that licensing the exclusive use or purchasing our waterfronts is possible. Thank you,

Respondent No: 339 Login: Registered		g 29, 2021 12:34:47 pm g 29, 2021 16:12:04 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes	
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes	
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered	
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered	
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	t you, if at all?	
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes	
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No	
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh	uld boathouses have a higher fee that	an docks?
Instead of fees, City of Kawartha lakes should arrange road and the lake which is not part of the road allowand		portion of land between the
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No	
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns	
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en I have no specific concerns about the encroachment of	-	

of concern about certain provisions in the proposed policy that prohibit the placement of water service pipes and electrical cables under Grove Road. Such a proposal will most certainly create immense difficulties for the multitude of property owners who depend on the lake for their water supply.

		Respondent No: 340 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 29, 2021 Aug 29, 2021	-
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	t you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No			
Q8.	What	do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	,
	We wou	IId prefer to purchase the land from the City of K	awartha Lakes a	and pay taxes on th	iis land as oppo	osed to dock fees.
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal prop	perty?

We have been family cottagers on The Grove Road for 55 years and rather than leasing lands and paying fees would rather purchase current municipal property in question and pay the additional taxes required.

Respondent No: 341 Login: Registered		ponded At: t Seen:	Aug 29, 2021 19:08 Aug 29, 2021 22:53		
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes				
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes				
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered				
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered				
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affe not answered	t you, if at all?				
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes				
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes				
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouses hav	e a higher fe	e than docks?		
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided				
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns				
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? I understand the proposal is 6ft between docksour family cottage has been in Hickory Beach since the 40's with our dockand yes crowding is an issuewe need to honour wishes of docks usage solely for lot onlythis would bring the beach back to acceptable standards that the city is wanting. We plead with the city to bring this back and					

to enforce. This is a public beach and we welcome anyone to enjoy it. But we have had a boat lift and dock and feel these many years of enjoyment should not be squashed due to numerous people moving in on us and not honouring

save our dock space and bring the beach back to normalcy and compliance. It would only require role numbers and letters

wishes. Kind regards

		Respondent No: 342 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 29, 2021 20:46:21 pm Aug 30, 2021 00:31:12 am	
Q1.	Do yo Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha ?	Yes			
Q2.	for an	r dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional Irces for listing)?	Yes			
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affeo wered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6.	-	u think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7.	both d propo \$150 p to \$40 admin	ntly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for locks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain per year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional istrative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No			
		do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Shours a year for docks should be ok for both.	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9.	-	u feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided			
Q10	-	u have a concern with any of the ing? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11	. Please	e provide any additional comments to the en	croachment of p	private docks on r	nunicipal property?	

I think there should be an opportunity to purchase the property in front of your home or cottage.

		Respondent No: 343 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 10:20:04 am Aug 30, 2021 14:15:11 pm
Q1.	Do you Lakes	u currently have a dock in Kawartha	No		
Q2.	for an	dock located in one of the areas eligible agreement (please see Additional rces for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would	you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where	would you like to have your dock?	l'd prefer not to stories from otl		t all after hearing of the horror
	How d	o you anticipate this dock policy would affec	t you, if at all?		
Q6.	-	I think it is acceptable to have private on municipal property?	No		
Q7.	both d propos \$150 p to \$400 admin	ttly the licensing fee is \$150 per year for ocks and boathouses. Under the sed amendments dock fees would remain er year, but boathouses would increase 0 per year to help recover additional strative costs. Do you think the fees and se to boathouses is fair?	No		
	What o	lo you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?
Q9.		I feel that waterfront overcrowding due to is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	-	I have a concern with any of the ng? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

This whole process is complete bullshit. Increasing the price from 150 to 400 for 'administrative costs' is completely outrageous, an additional \$250 is unreasonable and a huge scam. Your only goal is to increase pricing to deter residents from renewing, you should all be ashamed of yourselves.

Respondent No: 344 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 11 Aug 30, 2021 14	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Safety concern	your access to wat is due to docks g the attractiveness		and view

I have lived on the waterfront at Hickory Beach for over 30+ years and have witnessed many changes over those said years, such as the following: -every spring I witness/overhear prospective buyers being told by real estate agents that they have the right to put a dock along this shoreline, this has led to much overcrowding -my understanding when we first moved here was that ' " had bequethed all residents of lot (Pleasant View Dr to Cedarhurst and along one side of Edgewood)access to put in docks along the shore, presently we have numerous households outside with docks, in your terminolgy back lots -one property with more than one dock is also an issue that needs to of stop - would also expect real estate deals to be honoured, seen so-called legal sales not being abided by new owners, thereby leading to "bad blood" between neighbours, entitlement in some areas has become a real issue - at times general cleaning and dock/ pad upkeep is also an issue -guestion how the 6ft between docks is going to be addressed as that may require the shifting of some very old cement pads, and then who will be required to move, in my opinion those that have been owners of said pads for many years should remain status quo - taxes are also questionnable to me, on my taxes it refers to water- view as I do not own the waterfront land and if those not on the shoreline are using this area on a regular basis too why are they being excluded from paying extra taxes, just a question needing clarification - finally I would also like to commend those sitting on the Hickory Beach Dock Association for they do work tirelessly at trying to keep this running smoothly, but at times I feel like their hands are tied as some individuals feel very entitled and are disrespectful and bullying in nature ; I applauded them all for their efforts at a sometimes thankless job

Respondent No: 345 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 1 Aug 30, 2021 1	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			

I feel on the hole people respect their neighbors. Never did i witness any bad behavior. We have had our dock for over 7 years, but previously much more years. We maintain it, cut the grass, pick up doogie poop, respect our neighbors. We are back lot leasers and realise we are very luckie to have a dock space so we treat it with respect, get along with our neighbors. There is no issue in our vicinity of dock hopping. I'm not sure what all the issues are now after all these years as i havent seen any problems. We have a beautiful public dock that the city has installed for anyone who does not have a dock of their own. A beautiful boat launch area as well that is used by many who do not have a dock. I'm sure there are alot of people on a waiting list for a dock, but this has probably been the case for many years. We have followed city protocols in regards to the dock re: up keep, liability insurance etc. Spent alot of money on making a dock, boats, boat lifts, etc. Would be very upsetting to many people if we could not continue leasing the land from the Kawarthas after so many years. I agree if people do not maintain to City standards that they should lose them or if they dont pay the fair fees, unfortunately alot of people will have to wait until one becomes available as some of the dock have been with the same houses for many many years. We would be very disappointed if we would lose our dock at the end of our lease agreement and hopefully this will not be the case. We have a lot invested in our dock for summer, winter and all year round enjoyment. We retired up here in 2019 and are hoping to enjoy the rest of our lives on the lake. Respectfully yours,

Respondent No: 346 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 Aug 29, 2021	-
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would afferent not answered	ect you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sh not answered	ould boathouse	es have a higher fe	e than docks?	,
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the er I feel it would be unfair to take away docks from the		-		-

because it came with the dock. We also have since spent over \$5000.00 to repair and put up approved deck and dock that needed to be approved by Kawartha standards. If a decision is made to take away these docks I believe they should grandfather current clients. Otherwise I feel this is really unfair

Respondent No: 347 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 14 Aug 30, 2021 18	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ect you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? So not answered	nould boathouse	es have a higher fo	ee than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the en		-		-

As a long term resident of Thurstonia it is very disappointing to have the thought of losing our dock space. We are avid water lovers and have a boat, so if we lose our dock space we will have to aquire a spot at a marina and may have to travel out of our community for that.... Which I feel is very unfair and becomes very costly. A big part of the reason we live here is for our dock space.

Respondent No: 348 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 15:1 Aug 30, 2021 19:0	-
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?				

My back lot cottage has been accompanied by a dock at the waters edge since 1942 when it was built. Currently the road is privately owned how can front lot cottages purchase road allowance on a private road from the city of Kawartha lakes?

	Respondent No: 349 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 30, 2021 15:14:43 pmLast Seen:Aug 30, 2021 19:09:29 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Νο
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligil for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	ole not answered
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	No
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would not answered	affect you, if at all?
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would rema \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees an increase to boathouses is fair?	ain e
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any	? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	to No
	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your view of the water Other (please specify) I feel the boat lifts with a canopy above are an eyesore and limit the view for others. I feel no canopies should be allowed.
Q11	. Please provide any additional comments to t	ne encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

Boat lifts with a canopy above are an eyesore and limit views. The metal boat lifts that aren't installed and sitting on shore are also any eyesore and should be removed.

Respondent No: 350 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 16:10:22 pm Aug 30, 2021 20:08:08 pm	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would afferent or answered	ect you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? SI not answered	nould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?				

not answered

	Respondent No: 351 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 16:18:53 pm Aug 30, 2021 20:07:23 pm
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	Municipal Righ	t of Way to access	water across from me.
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? We have 1 dock at our Right of Way, Sector We share with 2-3 Backlots and there is absolutely no overcrowding or blocking any of the Right of Way for access to the water. Our community uses this dock also to help in launabing bacts and for acfety reasons. If we have to remove this dock it would block us from getting on the lake and would			

launching boats and for safety reasons. If we have to remove this dock it would block us from getting on the lake and would greatly affect our enjoyment throughout the summer with our families and community.

Respondent No: 352 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 16:20:56 p Aug 18, 2021 16:21:47 p	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	No			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Undecided			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Undecided			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?				

not answered

Respondent No: 353 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 17:08:56 pm Aug 30, 2021 20:46:30 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

I don't think that the city should be picking and choosing where they are going to police/enforce the policy. You either enforce it across the board or not at all. You can't single out areas within the township and not others. We are on lake dalrymple and there is more than enough space for all the docks that have been here for ages. And singling out backlot owners that have had docks with no issues or concerns is ridiculous. We are backlot owners and have a dock that is shared with people and have never in 18 years had a single complaint or issue within our dock area. If u want to apply a fee for docks that's fine but you cannot single out areas and segregate those who've had docks without issue. Segregation and treating areas and people differently like you have in your policy right now could be likened to being prejudice. If everyone pays taxes and has the right to enjoy the lake and there is ample room for docks it shouldn't just be for front lot owners.

Respondent No: 354 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 17:32:40 pm Aug 30, 2021 21:30:21 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses	have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property? I don't believe that a licensing fee is necessary. We have handled our own issues for years and don't need the city to start charging us under the guise of problem solving.			

Respondent No: 355 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 18:11:19 pm Aug 30, 2021 22:00:48 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She not answered	ould boathouses	have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	not answered		
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of pr	ivate docks on n	nunicipal property?

As a longtime resident of Hickory Beach (almost 70 years), the last few years have been a nightmare dealing with dock issues. As properties are sold in the Hickory Beach area, newcomers are told by real estate agents they have dock space tied to the property they are purchasing. We have seen several new docks be put in along Hickory Beach which has lead to overcrowding and in some cases, longtime residents even losing their dock space. This has divided our community and has led to hostility between some people. The Hickory Beach Dock Association has tried their best to deal with these issues.

Respondent No: 356 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 30, 2021 19:40:00 pmLast Seen:Aug 30, 2021 23:28:58 pm
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: Yes. In areas not intended for a public park and are adjacent to properties that have used and cared for the property for decades and the adjacent landowners have insurance to indemnify the City.
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Please feel free to clarify your response below: No. It is not explained why proactive enforcement is indicated for select areas of the City. If the City continues to enforce all properties on a complaint basis the increase in staffing costs would not be required.
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the end	croachment of private docks on municipal property?

Where I live docks are well spaced apart and do not cause a hazard or block my view.

Respondent No: 357 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 20:58:26 pm Aug 31, 2021 00:33:28 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes		
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes		
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered		
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered		
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	ct you, if at all?		
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes		
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		

I've had my dock for 32 years and over those years I haven't experienced a problem driving down the lake road due to the docks. There is a problem in some areas concerning people that have cottages or homes on the water not having enough parking so they Park on the lake road and park there boats and trailers and guest vehicles on the lake road. As far as having excess to the lake there is a public beach and a public government dock for people without dock space. Because of the rough rocky shore line and steep incline from the road to the lake it would be dangerous for people to try and gain access to the water if the 6 foot opening between docks were implemented. If the 6 foot implement between docks were to go into effect half the dock/deck spaces would be effected. At an average of 4 people per house hold it would be almost impossible for this amount of people to access the public beach safety. Is the City Of Kawartha Lakes planning to build dockage for all the people that will loose there boat docks, these people with boats generate income for the Marinas and Towns on the Lake. It's just not a well thought out plan all around and doesn't support any kind of financial growth to the community.

	Respondent No: 358 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 30, 2021 21:28:04 pm Aug 31, 2021 01:12:49 am
Q1.	Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q2.	Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	not answered		
Q3.	Would you like to have a dock?	Yes		
Q4.	Where would you like to have your dock?	accesses throu	ighout our street ai rs) be able to have	N. We have a number of water nd we would like to (as second dock on one of these accesses
	How do you anticipate this dock policy would affeo	ct you, if at all?		
Q6.	Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes		
Q7.	Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes		
	What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	e than docks?
Q9.	Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No		
Q10	Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns		
	Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?			

Respondent No: 359 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 30, 2021 21:54:26 pmLast Seen:Aug 31, 2021 01:49:22 am
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would an not answered	ect you, if at all?
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	No
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? S	hould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?
I think an additional 200.00 would be fine if an increat spaces and pay to lease them on top of having to obt	se is even necessary. The residents of Thurstonia Park maintain their ain insurance as well
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the e	ncroachment of private docks on municipal property?
I have owned a dock here in Thurstonia Park for 30	years when the fee was 1 dollar per foot. Since it's increased alot and

now requires me to obtain insurance. My husband and I are on a fixed income and find it hard to spend much more than we are. In the mean time we rely on having a dockspace and would be devastated to see it removed because the realty division after all these years has decided to change things up on us. As a tax paying resident here I don't feel any of out neighbors who pay their taxes too to be here should lose their spots. We have never had so many issues since our dock space system has changed hands.

Respondent No: 360 Login: Registered		Responded At: Last Seen:	Aug 31, 2021 07:3 Aug 31, 2021 10:5	
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes			
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes			
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered			
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered			
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affer not answered	ct you, if at all?			
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes			
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?	Yes			
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Sho	ould boathouse	s have a higher fe	ee than docks?	
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	No			
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	No concerns			

I believe the CKL should not be applying a one size fits all model to the management of the lake front property areas on city lands. I live in the Hickory Beach area. Several years back when the city proposed our park/dock area as surplus land to be sold, we as a community worked very hard to research historical records, learning the area was bequethed to the city as a park with historic legal documents stating a term of "forever". Moreover, we have many long term residents and there have been docks on the areas since prior to city acquisition of the area, giving further claim to prescriptive easement rights. We as a community worked very hard with our dock owners and the city to develop a plan which would address the cities concerns and allow us to continued to enjoy an area which has been used for over 50 years for park and docks. We have worked very hard as a community to come together, to develop an association, develop a proposal for leasing the land from the city developed bylaws for the association and area for self-governance to reduce need for city involvement in complaints or issues while having a set out forum for addressing all issues; addressed all city concerns regarding liability by the association insuring the area and separately having all dock owners have individual insurance on their docks which is mandated to put docks in and individuals provide documentation annually. We used historical precedent to individuals with existing spaces. As a result there is no or minimal demand on the city for management and or liability for the city. Since the signing of the lease, we have worked hard to use outlined procedures and unanimously agreed upon bylaws to address any and all concerns raised by individual dock owners, with the executive further working hard to hear all perspectives and working with residents to address individual worries with safety and/or encroachment; there have been no insurance based claims, and to date we have resolved all issues to the point that none have gone to the outlined mediation process which as per bylaws would be the next step if residents do not feel concerns are unresolved appropriately. This unique leasing arrangement and agreement has worked very well. It would be very unfortunate given all the hard work and collaborative community efforts, if the city were to try to apply a different model (such as original proposal where all the community would lose out). The park land area allows all residents, even those without docks lake access, the opportunity to stroll along lake front, etc. We have a unique situation, as many of the other areas have docks across from roads, it is a quiet area with no congestion or traffic/parking access, etc. Again, I believe respecting unique situations and the collaborative efforts of community areas to work with the city should be respected.

Respondent No: 361 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 31, 2021 08:16:58 amLast Seen:Aug 31, 2021 12:13:37 pm				
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes				
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	Yes				
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered				
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered				
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect not answered	t you, if at all?				
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property?	Yes				
 Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair? 	Yes				
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? She	ould boathouses have a higher fee than docks?				
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	Yes				
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Parking/traffic concerns due to docks				
Q11. Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?					

not answered

Respondent No: 362 Login: Registered	Responded At:Aug 31, 2021 10:45:49 amLast Seen:Sep 10, 2021 22:28:27 pm				
Q1. Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?	Yes				
Q2. Is your dock located in one of the areas eli for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?	i gible No				
Q3. Would you like to have a dock?	not answered				
Q4. Where would you like to have your dock?	not answered				
Q5. How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all? not answered					
Q6. Do you think it is acceptable to have privation docks on municipal property?	te No				
Q7. Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would re \$150 per year, but boathouses would incre to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees increase to boathouses is fair?	emain ease				
Q8. What do you think is an acceptable fee, if a not answered	any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?				
Q9. Do you feel that waterfront overcrowding o docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?	due to Yes				
Q10. Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.	Docks limiting your access to water Safety concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks				

THERE ARE DOCK ENCROACHMENTS IN MORE AREAS THAN MENTIONED IN THIS SURVEY. SPECIFICALLY AT ELM STREET IN CRANE BAY. NO FEES BEING PAID AT ALL.

Proposed amendments to Dock Encroachment Policy

Jump In Kawartha Lakes

Survey

Proposed Amendments to Dock Encroachment Policy

Kawartha Lakes is in the process of amending its Dock Encloachment Policy so staff can proactively regulate and address concerns of public safety. After receiving Council's support of the proposed amendments during Committee of the Whole on March 9, 2021, staff are now asking for the public to weigh in on the drafted policy and provide their feedback. This public consultation will take place until the end of July 2021. The public's feedback will then be compiled and presented to Council in the fall, so the public's input before being passed.

.

Proposed amendments to Dock Encroachment Policy

Jump In Kawartha Lakes

Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?

CI	uose any 1	cotions) (Required,
X	Yes	
۲C3		

Answer this question only if you have chosen Yes for Do you currently have a dock in Kawanha Lakes?

Is your dock located in one of the areas eligible for an agreement (please see Additional Resources for listing)?

(Choose any 1 options) (Required, Yes No

Answer this question only if you have chosen No for Do you currently have a dock in Kawartha Lakes?

Would you like to have a dock?

(Choose any Loptions) (Required)

Answer this question only if you have chosen Yes for Would you like to have a dock?

Where would you like to have your dock?

Answer this question only if you have chosen No for Would you like to have a dock?

How do you anticipate this dock policy would affect you, if at all?

Do you think it is acceptable to have private docks on municipal property? It is a little late to ask that question (Croose any 1 options) (Hequired) When it has been allowed for close to 100 years. (I Yes	
(Cross any 1 ophons) (Hequired) When it has been allowed for close to the period	
Is if acceptable to the Trent Canal	_
Plass teel tree to clarify your response below: 12 Dater way that there are decks, docks et	С.
(Creases any 1 options) (Herquired) When II is it acceptable to the Trent Canal Vindecided Please teel tree to clarify your response below: Water way that there are decks, docks et Currently the licensing fee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock fees would remain	

Proposed amendments to Dock Encroachment Policy

Jump In Kawartha Lakes

\$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?

ing any Loptobs) (Required) ×Yes No

Please feel free to clarify your response below:

Answer this question only if you have chosen No for Currently the licensing tee is \$150 per year for both docks and boathouses. Under the proposed amendments dock lees would remain \$150 per year, but boathouses would increase to \$400 per year to help recover additional administrative costs. Do you think the fees and increase to boathouses is fair?

What do you think is an acceptable fee, if any? Should boathouses have a higher fee than docks?

I think you need to clarify the difference between dock and decks. The massive decks currently beingbuilt should be of a size that they can be removed for winter to allow snow plowing. There should be NO boathouses (as are permanent structures) and yes boat hoists, lifts etc take up a lot of space and require the extra fees. Do you leel that waterfront overcrowding due to docks is an issue for Kawartha Lakes?

CKL has a big problem in this issue - a lot has to do with a change in society. People got a long for so many years but real estate has become so valuable that greed is consuming Yes Please tool free to clarify your response bolow: people. This issue will not be solved with a stroke of Do you have a concern with any of the following? Select all that apply.

Docks limiting your access to water sately concerns due to docks Parking/traffic concerns due to docks - and sheds built on road allowance and decks built Safety concerns due to docks close to road. Docks limiting your view of the water] Docks reducing the attractiveness of your property and view No concerns also-speeding.

[]] Olher (please specily)

Please provide any additional comments to the encroachment of private docks on municipal property?

There should not be any designation of front cottage and back cottage. The road divides everyone from the water front. If you take all docks, decks and sheds away from municipal property, then that is fair to all. "Otherwise everyone that has a dock should be able to treep a dock. Your questions are not specific enough. Has the mayor and real estate services physically visited all water fronts involved ! Have you considered sending questionaire out Page 3 of 3 in the municipal tax bills 3 a lot of property do not know anything about this issue. owners Yet - they are affected by it as have to drive by These areas to get to their waterfront cottage or prevlockman permanent home. The outcome on this issue will affect everyone's property values - are you prepared for that ????

I understood the dock policy was for all of municipal encroachments in The City of Kawartha Lakes. However, in a recent newspaper article, the reporter said that only certain areas which were listed and where there are issues, will be subject to the new legislation. How is that going to work? The ruling should apply to every where in CKL!!!