

## CORRESPONDENCE FOR DEC. 14TH

The task force proposals are lacking in many areas. To be effective they need to provide and implement details on the following criteria:

### CRITERIA

1. Complaints.
2. Collisions & accidents.
3. ER visits and hospitalizations.
4. Deaths.
5. Charges.
6. A Revenue/Costs analysis is very important.

### COMPLAINTS

1. Signage to identify permitted and prohibited routes.
2. Contact numbers for complaints.
3. Registering a complaint with the City should be User Friendly. One call. The complaint is then automatically distributed to all relevant agencies.
4. All complaints should be registered. No subjective judgement of staff. That is, no censorship. This is a democracy, not a dictatorship.
5. Allow all citizens to register to complaints.

### DATA COLLECTION

1. Identify methods for collection of data.
2. Identify City staff who will gather the information and report to Council.
3. Track the # of ATVs using roads

### ECONOMIC IMPACT – GENERAL PRINCIPLE

There should be an independent economic impact assessment of ATVs on roads. This would involve all areas impacted for a revenue/cost comparison.

### ECONOMIC IMPACT – HEALTH CARE

1. The financial cost to the Health Care system – ER Visits & Hospitalizations.
2. The social cost to the Health Care system – time required of Health Care Staff.

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT – ROAD USE COSTS

1. Cost of regular road maintenance.
2. Cost of regular safety inspections.
3. Cost of enforcement.
4. Health Cre costs.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL COST & IMPACT

1. A valuation of any “on the ground” damage.
2. A quantitative report on the City’s GHG emissions now.
3. An annual quantitative report on the City’s GHG gas emissions.

#### REGARDING ENFORCEMENT

There needs to be provisions for pro-active and timely responses to outlying areas. This will require additional manpower and thus additional costs.

#### STAKEHOLDERS

1. Communicate with stakeholders.
2. Set a timeline for a Report back to Council and Notice to stakeholders
3. Survey residents who travel or live on or near routes at end of first year and ask about impact on quality of life, personal health and safety
4. Survey residents again at the end of the term of the pilot and ask if they are in favour of continuing to allow road access to ATVs. This survey should be area sensitive.

It is a well established democratic principle that democracies recognize areas within their jurisdiction – especially for non-essential proposals, which this wish list is. The Lindsay vote should have counted.

#### PUBLIC MEETING

There should be a proper public meeting where citizens are not limited to 5 deputations.

\*\*Submitted by William Steffler