Future Waste Disposal Stud City of Kawartha Lakes

Project Overview

February 8, 2022



-81 SOO

Objective:

- Review the findings of the project
- Provide an overview on the evaluation results
- Review the implementation plan and next steps

AGENDA

- 1. Scope of Project
- 2. Current State
- 3. Future State
- 4. Options and Evaluation
- 5. Next Steps
- 6. Discussion







Scope for Future Waste Options Study

Scope:

Review potential options for future waste disposal capacity once the City exhausts existing landfill capacity

| 01 | Project Initiation Meeting & Site Visit |
|----|---|
| 02 | Review of Background and Historical Information |
| 03 | Future Residual Waste Management Quantities |
| 04 | Review of Alternative Technologies & Operational Experiences |
| 05 | Review of Landfill Related Options & Operational Experiences |
| 06 | Confirmation of Potential Options & Evaluation Criteria |
| 07 | Evaluation of Potential Disposal Options |
| 08 | Identification of Preferred Option & Implementation Considerations |
| 09 | Reporting |
| | |





Decreasing Landfill Capacity

The City generates 39,000 tonnes of garbage each year, with 1% annual increase projected.

 Lindsay Ops Landfill is anticipated to reach capacity between 2030 and 2037 (accepts 70% of City's garbage)

Provides Focus for Next Steps

Project is essentially a pre-EA study which can potentially help to focus an Individual EA.

Implementation Timelines

Should an EA be required, several years are needed to complete that process.





Current State



Current Waste Management System



CONSULTIN



Kawartha Lakes lump In





Year

35%

2019



Figure 12 – Ontario's Remaining Landfill Capacity in Years

~3.3 million tonnes is exported to the US annually

Depletion of remaining capacity will be reached:

- By 2036 if continue US export
- By 2032 without exporting •

60% of ON capacity is in 7 landfills







Landfill Information





DILLON CONSULTING



Landfill Information

| Landfill Site | Forecasted Closure Date* | Remaining Capacity as per 2020 Annual Reports (m3) | Expansion | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Lindsay Ops | 2030 - 2037 | 654,547 (14.5 years) | \checkmark | - |
| Fenelon | 2024 | 34,300 tonnes (3 - 4 years) | \checkmark | Vertical only |
| Somerville | 2084 | 430,016 (61 years) | \checkmark | Sufficient space |
| Eldon | 2046 | 99,919 (20 years) | \checkmark | Requires additional land |
| Laxton | 2024 | 12,834 (3 - 4 years) | \checkmark | Vertical only |



DILLON CONSULTING

*Closure date based on 2015 Making Waste Matters and ongoing separate City study and subject to change based on diversion rates and new programs, closure of other landfills and redirection to remaining sites, etc.



Future State

Future Residual Waste Quantities



Waste Generation Projections



Diversion Scenarios

| Scenario | 2021 (tonnes) | 2035 (tonnes) | 2048 (tonnes) | Additional Air Space Required (m ³) |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| Status Quo | 47,000 | 60,000 | 91,500 | -1,570,000 |
| 35% Diversion | 47,000 | 55,800 | 75,300 | -1,294,000 |
| 53% Diversion | 47,000 | 51,100 | 55,000 | -951,000 |
| 70% Diversion | 47,000 | 46,400 | 34,700 | -608,000 |





Options & Evaluation









Options

Options Evaluated:

Alternative Technologies

- Mixed Waste Processing
- Mass Burn Incineration
- Gasification
- Pyrolysis

Landfill-related Options

- Landfill Expansion
- New Landfill
- Landfill Mining
- Exporting Waste
- Privatization of City Facilities

Considerations:

- Process description
- Operational experience
- Target material
- Outputs
- Operations & capital costs
- Advantages & disadvantages
- Technology status (proven, unproven, pilot)
- Applicability to the City

Evaluation Criteria & Indicators:

Economic Feasibility

- Capital costs
- Operational costs
- Level of risk

Social Impacts

- Public acceptance
- Collaboration potential
- Proven/unproven
- Level of effort

Environmental Impacts

- Climate change impacts
- Energy
- Nuisance impacts
- Air quality impacts
- Land requirements
- Impacts to ground/surface water
- Diversion potential





Evaluation Results - Weighted Averages of Options







+

Economic Feasibility

- Operating costs will be similar or less than existing operating costs
- Capital cost is in medium range compared to other options
- Low risk given familiarity

Social Impact

- Proven approach in Ontario and at the City
- Requires lengthy EA process and consultation

+

 Public concerns anticipated through consultation and current methods

Environmental Impact

- More landfill gas will be generated potentially allowing for energy to be captured
- Land requirements depend on the site(s) selected and expansion method
- Current environmental management and monitoring practices will continue





Implementation Considerations for the Preferred Option



Considerations for Landfill Expansion Option

| Financial | Environmental | Social | Technical/Operationa | Regulatory |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| \$2 - \$5 million for an Environmental Assessment \$10 - 50 million for additional approvals, engineering, design & construction | Horizontal expansion may require clearing of vegetation/trees Environmental investigations anticipated to determine suitability | Consultation program may mitigate public concerns Minimal changes to noise/odour/traffic | Minimal changes to staffing needs Construction cannot begin until regulatory approvals secured (~ 5 years) | Individual EA or amendment required Vertical expansion can take 3-5 years for approval |





- Allows time for alternative technologies to further demonstrate their suitability and feasibility for managing MSW
- Allows time for potential partners to continue planning for facilities (noting several were in the early stages)
- City can still track and consider the use of alternative technologies which will further the life of the landfill
- Lower level of risk to have this asset within the City











Proposed Consultation Program

- Develop Consultation and Communications Plan
- Develop content for social media, Jump In and FAQs
- Virtual stakeholder meeting
- Two virtual public consultation events
- Online survey via Jump In
- Other ideas:
 - Informal polls on social media
 - Short video or fact sheet/newsletter
 - Prizes as incentives to complete the survey
 - QR code to complete the survey
- Public Consultation Summary Report





Questions?

