# Future Waste Disposal Options Study







# **Scope of the Future Waste Disposal Options Study**

### **Study Objective**

Review potential options for future waste disposal capacity once the City exhausts existing landfill capacity



### **Study Process**

- quantities

- 5. Develop evaluation criteria
- 6. Evaluate options
- 7. Identify preferred option and
- 8. Reporting
- option

1. Review background information 2. Understand future residual waste

3. Review alternative technologies 4. Review landfill related options implementation considerations

9. Consult on the process and preferred





# Project Purpose

## **Decreasing Landfill Capacity**

The City generates 39,000 tonnes of garbage each year, with 1% annual increase projected. Lindsay Ops Landfill is anticipated to reach capacity between 2030 and 2037 (accepts 70%) of City's garbage)

**Provides Focus for Next Steps** Project is essentially a pre-EA study which can potentially help to focus an Individual EA.

## **Implementation Timelines**

Should an EA be required, several years are needed to complete that process.



**Forecasting future** quantities & management options

Pre- EA

**Provide sufficient** time to implement





## **Current Waste Management System** in Kawartha Lakes



#### Demographics

- Services provided to ~75,000 residents
  - 31,000 seasonal
  - 44,000 permanent

## MAKING WASTE MATTER

**Goal: Divert 70% by 2048** 



Note: The 2022 waste diversion rate is being verified.



#### **Curbside Collection Programs**

- Residential recycling & garbage
- Commercial waste
- Leaf & yard waste
- Batteries lacksquare
- Large items, mattresses and appliances

### **INCREASING DIVERSION IN KAWARTHA LAKES**



Making Waste Matter Integrated Waste Management Strategy

2020-2024









#### **Depots & Drop Offs**

- Electronics • Scrap metal Mattresses Construction &
  - **Demolition Waste**
- Textiles
- Household
  - Hazardous Waste
- Batteries

## **Current Waste Management System** in Kawartha Lakes

### Landfill Information

Landfill	Garbage Received in 2022 (tonnes)	Expected Closure Date*
Lindsay Ops	30,700	2030 to 2037
Fenelon Falls	4,300	2023 to 2024
Laxton	1,300	2023 to 2024
Eldon	1,600	2046
Somerville	4,500	2084

\*Closure date based on 2015 Making Waste Matters and ongoing separate City study and subject to change based on diversion rates and new programs, closure of other landfills and redirection to remaining sites, etc.



Jan 2021, OWMA Annual Landfill Report

Somerville 11%

> LIndsay Ops 72%



#### **Breakdown of waste landfilled** at each site (2022)





# Future Residual Waste Quantities in Kawartha Lakes

Year	Status Quo	35% Diversion Achieved	53% Diversion Achieved	70% Diversion Achieved	
2021	47,000	47,000	47,000	47,000	
2035	60,000	55,800	51,100	46,400	
2048	91,500	75,300	55,000	34,700	
Making Waste Matter					

# diversion target







# **Options Evaluation**

#### **EVALUATION CRITERIA AND** INDICATORS

#### **Economic Feasibility**

- Capital costs
- Operational costs
- Level of risk

#### **Social Impacts**

- Public acceptance
- **Collaboration potential**
- Proven/unproven
- Level of effort

#### **Environmental Impacts**

- Climate change impacts
- Energy
- Nuisance impacts
- Air quality impacts
- Land requirements
- Impacts to ground/surface water
- Diversion potential



#### CONSIDERATIONS

- Process description
- Operational experience
- Target material
- Outputs
- Operations & capital costs
- Advantages & disadvantages
- Technology status (proven, unproven, pilot)
- Applicability to the City

#### **KEY EVALUATION** ASSUMPTIONS

- Able to manage 44,000 to 67,000 tonnes annually
- Process and manage only residual waste generated within the municipality
- Facility is located within Kawartha Lakes
- Will require an ECA at minimum



# **Alternative Technology** Disposal Options



#### **MIXED WASTE** PROCESSING

A process which can recover recyclables, organics and/or reusable materials from garbage. Extracted materials are removed through manual sorting and/or the use of equipment.

Garbage is burned in a controlled facility and the heat that is created generates energy. Two types of ash are produced: bottom ash and fly ash.



Cons





**Proven, Diversion** 

**Proven, Climate Impacts, Energy**, **Diversion** 





#### **MASS BURN** INCINERATION

#### GASIFICATION

Heats municipal solid waste in an oxygen-free environment and produces a combustible gaseous or liquid product and a carbon char residue.

#### **Capital Costs, Effort**

**Operating & Capital** Costs, Risk, Unproven, Effort

**Climate Change Impacts, Energy, Diversion** 



#### **PYROLYSIS**

Involves heating garbage in an oxygen-free environment to produce a gas or liquid product and a carbon char residue.

> **Capital & Operating** Costs, Risk, Effort

**Climate Impacts, Energy**, Diversion

## Landfill Related Disposal Options



#### LANDFILL **EXPANSION**

Expand an existing City landfill site(s) vertically and/or horizontally.



LANDFILL

City develops a new landfill site within Kawartha Lakes.



Pros



#### LANDFILL MINING

Previously landfilled waste is excavated to recover valuable materials, increase space, and/or improve environmental conditions at City site(s).

Garbage is hauled to disposal facility outside of Kawartha Lakes.

**Climate Change** Impacts, Diversion, Nuisances

**Ground/Surface** Water Impacts, Land Required, **Capital Costs** 





#### **EXPORTING** WASTE



Options range from cooperative agreements with private firms to management contracts, asset sales or complete reliance on market for services.

#### **Diversion, Climate Change Impacts**

#### **Diversion**, **Risk**

Effort, **Collaboration**, **Capital Costs** 

Land Required, Air **Quality, Operating** & Capital Costs

# **Preliminary Preferred Waste Disposal Option** Landfill Expansion

#### **Economic Feasibility**

- Operating costs will be similar or less than existing operating costs
- Capital cost is in medium range compared to other options
- Low risk given familiarity

#### **Social Impact**

- Proven approach in Ontario and at the City
- Requires lengthy EA process and consultation
- Public concerns anticipated through consultation and current methods

#### **Environmental Impact**

- More landfill gas will be generated potentially allowing for energy to be captured
- Land requirements depend on the site(s) selected and expansion method
- Current environmental management and monitoring practices will continue

#### Financial

\$2 - \$5 million for an Environmental Assessment

\$10 - 50 million for additional approvals, engineering, design & construction

#### Environmental

Horizontal expansion may require clearing of trees/vegetation

Environmental investigations anticipated to determine suitability





10

# **2022 Engagement Activities Timeline**





11

# What We Heard Survey Summary

## Almost 200 responses to the online survey

## Question: Overall, how supportive are you of landfill expansion? (197 responses)

Nearly 60% of survey respondents were supportive or very supportive

## Follow up question: Please tell us why. (165 responses)

 Supportive: cost effective, proven & familiar approach • Unsupportive: short term solution & concern of space requirements

## Question: Expanding a landfill will extend its life and allow the City to explore other alternatives. Are there other options you would like to see explored?

#### (133 responses)

- 56% supported mixed waste processing
- 53% supported mass burn incineration



12

# Next Steps

- Complete Environmental Assessment study
- Implement capital works as required

## Seek Council approval to move forward with the preferred option Develop terms of reference for Environmental Assessment study



